

Agri-food safety and health planning

Through planning we can model optimal conditions in the future of an organization and design different scenarios in order to facilitate decision making, prevent crises and resolve conflicts.

National Service of Agrifood Health, Safety and Quality | February 20, 2020
SENASICA

In this context, at SENASICA we link means and ends, and to this end we set objectives, design organizational standards, distribute functions and select alternatives that respond as a priority to the requirements and demands of society in the area of agrifood health and safety.

In addition to the above, proper monitoring of the progress of indicator goals allows us to extract relevant quantitative information on the execution of our programs and verify that they are being carried out correctly, as well as to determine whether there is a match between what was programmed and what was carried out, and thus make adjustments in real time. This monitoring is in turn a relevant source for evaluations.

Finally, evaluation is an indispensable component in the public policy cycle, since on the one hand it generates useful information to overcome the problems presented, and on the other, it legitimizes governmental action, since such information proves that the program is aimed at solving the public problem for which it was created, and that the actors involved are accountable.

This section also includes the requirements of the Results-Based Budget (PbR, by Spanish acronym) for efficient and accurate decision making. In accordance with the Logical Framework Methodology (MML, by Spanish acronym), the Performance Monitoring Instruments (ISD, by Spanish acronym) are created, i.e., the Performance Indicator Sheets (FID, by Spanish acronym) and the Results Indicator Matrix (MIR). As well as the Performance Evaluation System (SED, by Spanish acronym), which seeks to provide quality goods and services that generate public value in society.

1. National guiding plans and programs

- a. [Six-year period 2012-2018](#)
- b. Six-year period 2018-2024

2. Institutional Planning

- a. [SENASICA Strategic Plan](#)
 - i. [Six-year period 2012-2018](#)
 - ii. Six-year period 2018-2024
- b. Diagnostics
- c. Annual Programs
- c. SENASICA Work Programs

3. Indicators

- a. [Matrix of Indicators of Results MIR - Program S](#)
- b. [Matrix of Results Indicators MIR - Program U](#)
- c. Institutional

4. Budget Report

- a. [Six-year period 2012-2018](#)
- d. Six-year period 2018-2024

5. Annual Evaluation Program

a. Internal Evaluation

b. [External Evaluation](#)

6. Government and work reports:

a. Government Reports

[i. Six-year period 2012-2018](#)

ii. Six-year period 2018-2024

b. Labor Reports

i. Six-year period 2012-2018

ii. Six-year period 2018-2024