World Justice Project

World Justice Project
Rule of Law Index®
2021

The World Justice Project Rule of Law Index® 2021

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2021* report was prepared by the World Justice Project. The Index's conceptual framework and methodology were developed by Juan Carlos Botero, Mark David Agrast, and Alejandro Ponce. Data collection and analysis for the 2021 report was performed by Erin Campbell, Alicia Evangelides, Emma Frerichs, Joshua Fuller, Natalia Jardon, Sahar Omer, Alejandro Ponce, Tanya Primiani, Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca, and Victoria Thomaides, with the assistance of Jacob Alabab-Moser, James Davis, Nora Futtner, Karelle Matchum, and Mac Simpson.

The graphic design team for this report included Courtney Babcock, Abigail Broussard, and Irene Heras.

Lead website designer was Pitch Interactive, with assistance from Courtney Babcock, Abigail Broussard, Irene Heras, and Natalia Jardon.

ISBN *(print version)*: 978-0-9964094-7-6
ISBN *(digital version)*: 978-0-9964094-6-9

The World Justice Project

Board of Directors: William C. Hubbard, Chair, Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad, Kamel Ayadi, Hassan Bubacar Jallow, Suet-Fern Lee, Mondli Makhanya, M. Margaret McKeown, John Nery, William H. Neukom, James R. Silkenat, and Ellen Gracie Northfleet.

Directors Emeriti: Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai, Emil Constantinescu, and Petar Stoyanov.

Officers: William H. Neukom, Co-Founder and CEO; William C. Hubbard, Co-Founder and Board Chair; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Judy Perry Martinez, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer.

Executive Director: Elizabeth Andersen

Chief Research Officer: Alejandro Ponce

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* report was made possible by the generous supporters of the work of the World Justice Project listed in this report on page 223.

© Copyright 2021 by the World Justice Project. *The WJP Rule of Law Index* and the *World Justice Project Rule of Law Index* are trademarks of the World Justice Project. All Rights Reserved. Requests to reproduce this document should be sent to:

WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions
World Justice Project
1025 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005 USA

E-mail: wjp@worldjusticeproject.org
Subject line: *WJP Rule of Law Index* Permissions

World Justice Project

World Justice Project
Rule of Law Index®
2021

Table of Contents

01

About the WJP Rule of Law Index

09 Introduction
10 Overview of Scores and Rankings
12 Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index
13 Defining the Rule of Law
14 Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law
15 Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index
16 Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

02

Scores and Rankings

22 Rule of Law Around the World
24 Rule of Law by Region
26 Rule of Law by Income
28 Rule of Law by Factor

03

Country Profiles

38 How to Read the Country Profiles
39 Country Profiles

04

Behind the Numbers

180 Methodology
188 Contributing Experts
221 Acknowledgements
222 About the World Justice Project

"Laws of justice which Hammurabi, the wise king, established... That the strong might not injure the weak, in order to protect the widows and orphans..., in order to declare justice in the land, to settle all disputes, and heal all injuries."

Codex Hammurabi

About the WJP Rule of Law Index



1

09 Introduction
10 Overview of Scores and Rankings
12 Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index
13 Defining the Rule of Law
14 Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law
15 Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index
16 Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the world's leading source for original, independent data on the rule of law.

Photo: Aaron Blanco Tejedor (Unsplash)

Introduction

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2021* is the latest report in an annual series measuring the rule of law based on the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts worldwide.

Strengthening the rule of law is a major goal of citizens, governments, donors, businesses, and civil society organizations around the world. To be effective, rule of law development requires clarity about the fundamental features that define the rule of law, as well as an adequate basis for its evaluation and measurement.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* presents a portrait of the rule of law in 139 countries and jurisdictions by providing scores and rankings based on eight factors: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

The scores and rankings in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* are derived from more than 138,000 household surveys and 4,200 legal practitioner and expert surveys worldwide. The Index is the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind and the only to rely principally on primary data, including the perspectives and experiences of ordinary people.

The Index is intended for a broad audience that includes policy makers, civil society organizations, academics, citizens, and legal professionals, among others. It is our hope that this diagnostic tool will help identify strengths and weaknesses within each country or jurisdiction, and encourage policy choices, guide program development, and inform research to strengthen the rule of law within and across these countries and jurisdictions.

Overview of Overall Scores and Rankings

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* in alphabetical order. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Afghanistan	0.35	-0.01	134	1 ▼
Albania	0.49	-0.01	83	2 ▲
Algeria	0.49	0.00	82	8 ▲
Angola	0.43	0.00	114	4 ▲
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.00	39	2 ▲
Argentina	0.56	-0.02	56	1 ▼
Australia	0.79	-0.01	13	0
Austria	0.81	0.00	9	0
The Bahamas	0.61	0.00	47	1 ▲
Bangladesh	0.40	-0.01	124	1 ▲
Barbados	0.65	0.00	35	1 ▲
Belarus	0.48	-0.04	97	21 ▼
Belgium	0.79	0.00	14	2 ▲
Belize	0.48	0.00	93	3 ▲
Benin	0.49	-0.01	91	3 ▼
Bolivia	0.39	0.00	129	2 ▲
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	0.00	72	1 ▼
Botswana	0.59	-0.01	51	1 ▼
Brazil	0.50	-0.01	77	3 ▼
Bulgaria	0.54	-0.01	62	2 ▼
Burkina Faso	0.50	0.00	75	2 ▲
Cambodia	0.32	-0.01	138	0
Cameroon	0.35	-0.01	135	0
Canada	0.80	-0.01	12	1 ▼
Chile	0.66	-0.01	32	0
China	0.47	-0.01	98	2 ▼
Colombia	0.49	-0.01	86	2 ▼
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	0.00	137	0
Congo, Rep.	0.42	-	118	-
Costa Rica	0.68	-0.01	31	0
Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	-0.02	105	2 ▼
Croatia	0.61	-0.01	46	0
Cyprus	0.68	-	28	-
Czech Republic	0.73	0.00	22	1 ▼
Denmark	0.90	0.00	1	0

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Dominica	0.58	0.00	53	0
Dominican Republic	0.48	0.00	94	3 ▲
Ecuador	0.48	0.00	92	1 ▲
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	-0.01	136	0
El Salvador	0.48	-0.02	95	4 ▼
Estonia	0.81	0.00	11	1 ▲
Ethiopia	0.41	0.00	122	2 ▲
Finland	0.88	0.00	3	0
France	0.72	-0.01	23	0
The Gambia	0.49	-0.02	89	8 ▼
Georgia	0.61	0.00	49	0
Germany	0.84	0.00	5	1 ▲
Ghana	0.55	-0.01	58	0
Greece	0.61	0.00	48	1 ▼
Grenada	0.59	0.00	50	1 ▲
Guatemala	0.44	-0.01	109	0
Guinea	0.41	-0.01	120	1 ▲
Guyana	0.50	0.00	78	2 ▲
Haiti	0.38	-	132	-
Honduras	0.39	-0.01	126	0
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.75	-0.02	19	0
Hungary	0.52	-0.01	69	2 ▼
India	0.50	-0.01	79	3 ▼
Indonesia	0.52	-0.01	68	2 ▼
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.42	-0.01	119	0
Ireland	0.81	-	10	-
Italy	0.66	0.00	34	0
Jamaica	0.57	0.00	55	1 ▲
Japan	0.79	0.01	15	2 ▲
Jordan	0.55	-0.02	59	2 ▼
Kazakhstan	0.52	0.00	66	3 ▲
Kenya	0.44	0.00	106	4 ▲
Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.00	20	0
Kosovo	0.55	0.00	60	1 ▲
Kyrgyz Republic	0.47	-0.02	99	4 ▼
Latvia	0.71	-	24	-

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 128 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2020 Index with the rankings of the same 128 countries and jurisdictions in 2021, exclusive of the 11 new additions to the 2021 Index. The 11 new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index this year are: Congo, Rep., Cyprus, Haiti, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Paraguay, Slovak Republic, and Sudan.

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Lebanon	0.45	0.00	104	0
Liberia	0.44	-0.01	110	4 ▼
Lithuania	0.75	-	18	-
Luxembourg	0.83	-	8	-
Madagascar	0.44	0.00	112	1 ▲
Malawi	0.52	0.01	67	5 ▲
Malaysia	0.57	-0.01	54	0
Mali	0.43	-0.01	115	1 ▼
Malta	0.68	-	30	-
Mauritania	0.36	0.00	133	1 ▲
Mauritius	0.61	-0.01	45	0
Mexico	0.43	-0.01	113	1 ▼
Moldova	0.51	0.02	73	16 ▲
Mongolia	0.54	0.01	61	3 ▲
Morocco	0.49	-0.01	90	4 ▼
Mozambique	0.40	-0.01	123	0
Myanmar	0.39	-0.03	128	6 ▼
Namibia	0.62	-0.01	44	2 ▼
Nepal	0.52	-0.01	70	2 ▼
Netherlands	0.83	0.00	6	1 ▼
New Zealand	0.83	0.01	7	0
Nicaragua	0.38	-0.01	131	3 ▼
Niger	0.44	-0.01	111	0
Nigeria	0.41	-0.02	121	3 ▼
North Macedonia	0.53	0.00	64	1 ▲
Norway	0.90	0.00	2	0
Pakistan	0.39	0.00	130	0
Panama	0.52	0.00	71	1 ▼
Paraguay	0.48	-	96	-
Peru	0.49	-0.01	87	0
Philippines	0.46	-0.01	102	3 ▼
Poland	0.64	-0.02	36	1 ▼
Portugal	0.70	0.00	26	1 ▲
Romania	0.63	-0.01	41	2 ▼
Russian Federation	0.46	0.00	101	1 ▲
Rwanda	0.62	0.00	42	2 ▲

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Senegal	0.55	0.00	57	2 ▲
Serbia	0.49	-0.01	81	1 ▲
Sierra Leone	0.44	-0.01	108	0
Singapore	0.78	-0.01	17	3 ▼
Slovak Republic	0.66	-	33	-
Slovenia	0.68	0.00	29	0
South Africa	0.58	0.00	52	0
Spain	0.73	0.00	21	1 ▲
Sri Lanka	0.50	-0.02	76	3 ▼
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	0.00	40	0
St. Lucia	0.62	0.00	43	0
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	0.00	38	0
Sudan	0.42	-	116	-
Suriname	0.49	-0.01	84	1 ▼
Sweden	0.86	0.00	4	0
Tanzania	0.47	-0.01	100	1 ▲
Thailand	0.50	-0.01	80	2 ▼
Togo	0.45	0.00	103	4 ▲
Trinidad and Tobago	0.53	0.00	63	1 ▼
Tunisia	0.53	-0.01	65	2 ▼
Turkey	0.42	-0.01	117	1 ▼
Uganda	0.39	0.00	125	2 ▲
Ukraine	0.51	0.00	74	5 ▲
United Arab Emirates	0.64	-0.01	37	0
United Kingdom	0.79	0.00	16	1 ▼
United States	0.69	-0.02	27	2 ▼
Uruguay	0.71	0.00	25	1 ▲
Uzbekistan	0.49	0.02	85	14 ▲
Venezuela, RB	0.27	0.00	139	0
Vietnam	0.49	0.00	88	4 ▲
Zambia	0.44	-0.01	107	2 ▼
Zimbabwe	0.39	0.00	127	2 ▲

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 128 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2020 Index with the rankings of the same 128 countries and jurisdictions in 2021, exclusive of the 11 new additions to the 2021 Index. The 11 new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index this year are: Congo, Rep., Cyprus, Haiti, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Paraguay, Slovak Republic, and Sudan.

Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The World Justice Project (WJP) developed the *WJP Rule of Law Index* to serve as a quantitative tool for measuring the rule of law in practice. The Index's methodology and comprehensive definition of the rule of law are the products of intensive consultation and vetting with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from more than 100 countries and jurisdictions and 17 professional disciplines.

The scores and rankings of the eight factors and 44 sub-factors of the Index draw from two sources of data collected by the WJP:

1. A General Population Poll (GPP) conducted by leading local polling companies, using a representative sample of 1,000[1] respondents in each country and jurisdiction.

2. Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs) consisting of closed-ended questions completed by in-country legal practitioners, experts, and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health.[2]

Taken together, these two data sources provide current, original information reflecting the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts in 139 countries and jurisdictions worldwide.

Rule of Law in Practice

The Index measures adherence to the rule of law by looking at policy outcomes, such as whether people have access to courts or whether crime is effectively controlled. This stands in contrast to efforts that focus on the written legal code, or the institutional means by which a society may seek to achieve these policy outcomes.

Comprehensive and Multi-Dimensional

While other indices cover particular aspects of the rule of law, such as absence of corruption or human rights, they do not yield a full picture of the state of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the only global instrument that looks at the rule of law comprehensively.

Perspective of Ordinary People

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* puts people at its core. It looks at a country's adherence to the rule of law from the perspective of ordinary individuals and their experiences with the rule of law in their societies. The Index examines practical, everyday situations, such as whether people can access public services and whether a dispute among neighbors can be resolved peacefully and cost-effectively by an independent adjudicator.

New Data Anchored in Actual Experiences

The Index is the only comprehensive set of indicators on the rule of law that is based on primary data. The Index's scores are built from the assessments of residents (1,000 respondents per country or jurisdiction) and local legal practitioners and experts, which ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by actual people, including residents from marginalized sectors of society.

Culturally Competent

The Index has been designed to be applied in countries and jurisdictions with vastly different social, cultural, economic, and political systems. No society has ever attained—let alone sustained—a perfect realization of the rule of law. Every country faces the perpetual challenge of building and renewing the structures, institutions, and norms that can support and sustain a rule of law culture.

Country-Specific Data and Online Tools

In addition to this written report, an interactive online platform for country-specific *WJP Rule of Law Index* data is available at: worldjusticeproject.org. The interactive data site invites viewers to browse each of the 139 country and jurisdiction profiles and explore overall and factor scores.

Scan the QR code or visit worldjusticeproject.org/rule-of-law-index/ to view our interactive data portal.

1 Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 184-187.

2 Please see the "Methodology" section on page 181 of this report for more detailed information regarding data collection and score computation.

Defining the Rule of Law

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace–underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights. Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law.

Despite its profound importance for fair and functioning societies, the rule of law is notoriously difficult to define and measure. A simple way of approaching it is to examine a set of outcomes that the rule of law brings to societies, each of which reflects one aspect of the complex concept of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* seeks to embody these outcomes within a simple and coherent framework.

The W*JP Rule of Law Index* captures adherence to the rule of law as defined by the WJP's universal principles (see following page) through a comprehensive and multi-dimensional set of outcome indicators, each of which reflects a particular aspect of this complex concept. The theoretical framework linking these outcome indicators draws upon two main principles pertaining to the relationship between the state and the governed.

The first principle measures whether the law imposes limits on the exercise of power by the state and its agents, as well as individuals and private entities. This is measured in Factors One, Two, Three, and Four of the Index. The second principle measures whether the state limits the actions of members of society and fulfills its basic duties towards its population so that the public interest is served, people are protected from violence, and all members of society have access to dispute settlement and grievance mechanisms. This is measured in Factors Five, Six, Seven, and Eight of the Index. Although broad in scope, this framework assumes very little about the functions of the state, and when it does, it incorporates functions that are recognized by practically all societies, such as the provision of justice or the guarantee of order and security.

The resulting set of indicators is also an effort to strike a balance between what scholars call a "thin" or minimalist conception of the rule of law that focuses on formal, procedural rules, and a "thick" conception that includes substantive characteristics, such as self-governance and various fundamental rights and freedoms. Striking this balance between "thin" and "thick" conceptions of the rule of law enables the Index to apply to different types of social and political systems, including those that lack many of the features that characterize democratic nations, while including sufficient substantive characteristics to render the rule of law as more than a system of rules. The Index recognizes that a system of law that fails to respect core human rights guaranteed under international law is at best "rule by law" and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system.

The rule of law affects all of us in our everyday lives. Although we may not be aware of it, the rule of law is profoundly important—and not just for lawyers or judges. Every sector of society is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Below are a few examples:

Business Environment

Imagine an investor seeking to commit resources abroad. She would probably think twice before investing in a country where corruption is rampant, property rights are ill-defined, and contracts are difficult to enforce. Uneven enforcement of regulations, corruption, insecure property rights, and ineffective means to settle disputes undermine legitimate business and deter both domestic and foreign investment.

Public Works

Consider the bridges, roads, or runways we traverse daily—or the offices and buildings in which we live, work, and play. What would happen if building codes governing design and safety were not enforced? What if government officials and contractors used low-quality materials to pocket the surplus? Weak regulatory enforcement and corruption decrease the security of physical infrastructure and waste scarce resources, which are essential to a thriving economy.

Public Health and Environment

Consider the implications of pollution, wildlife poaching, and deforestation for public health and the environment. What would happen if a company were pouring harmful chemicals into a river in a highly populated area and the environmental inspector ignored these actions in exchange for a bribe? Adherence to the rule of law is essential to holding governments, businesses, civil society organizations, and communities accountable for protecting public health and the environment.

The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law

The World Justice Project defines the rule of law as a durable system of laws, institutions, norms, and community commitment that delivers:

Universal Principle One

Accountability

The government as well as private actors are accountable under the law.

Universal Principle Two

Just Law

The law is clear, publicized, and stable and is applied evenly. It ensures human rights as well as property, contract, and procedural rights.

Universal Principle Three

Open Government

The processes by which the law is adopted, administered, adjudicated, and enforced are accessible, fair, and efficient.

Universal Principle Four

Accessible and Impartial Justice

Justice is delivered timely by competent, ethical, and independent representatives and neutrals who are accessible, have adequate resources, and reflect the makeup of the communities they serve.

The four universal principles are further developed in the following eight factors of the annual *WJP Rule of Law Index*:

Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.

Factor 1
Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature
1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary
1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review
1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct
1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks
1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Factor 2
Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain
2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain
2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain
2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Factor 3
Open Government



3.1 Publicized laws and government data
3.2 Right to information
3.3 Civic participation
3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Factor 4
Fundamental Rights

4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination
4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed
4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused
4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed
4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed
4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed
4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed
4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Factor 5
Order and Security

5.1 Crime is effectively controlled
5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited
5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Factor 6
Regulatory Enforcement

6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced
6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence
6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay
6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings
6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Factor 7
Civil Justice

7.1 People can access and afford civil justice
7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination
7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption
7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence
7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay
7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced
7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Factor 8
Criminal Justice

8.1 Criminal investigative system is effective
8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective
8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior
8.4 Criminal justice is impartial
8.5 Criminal justice is free of corruption
8.6 Criminal justice is free of improper government influence
8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Informal Justice and the Rule of Law

The conceptual framework of the Index includes a ninth factor on informal justice that is not included in the Index's aggregate scores and rankings. Informal justice systems often play a large role in countries and jurisdictions where formal legal institutions are weak, remote, or perceived as ineffective. For this reason, the WJP has devoted effort to collecting data on informal justice through our surveys. Nonetheless, the complexities of these systems and the difficulties of systematically measuring their fairness and effectiveness make cross-country assessments extraordinarily challenging. For this reason, the informal justice factor is not included in the Index scores and rankings.

Factor 9: Informal Justice

9.1 Informal justice is timely and effective
9.2 Informal justice is impartial and free of improper influence
9.3 Informal justice respects and protects fundamental rights

Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

Factor 1: Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature
Measures whether legislative bodies have the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary
Measures whether the judiciary has the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review
Measures whether comptrollers or auditors, as well as national human rights ombudsman agencies, have sufficient independence and the ability to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct
Measures whether government officials in the executive, legislature, judiciary, and the police are investigated, prosecuted, and punished for official misconduct and other violations.

1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks
Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law
Measures whether government officials are elected or appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures set forth in the constitution. Where elections take place, it also measures the integrity of the electoral process, including access to the ballot, the absence of intimidation, and public scrutiny of election results.

Factor 2: Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain
Measures the prevalence of bribery, informal payments, and other inducements in the delivery of public services and the enforcement of regulations. It also measures whether government procurement and public works contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding process, and whether government officials at various levels of the executive branch refrain from embezzling public funds.

2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain
Measures whether judges and judicial officials refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform duties or expedite processes, and whether the judiciary and judicial rulings are free of improper influence by the government, private interests, or criminal organizations.

2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain
Measures whether police officers and criminal investigators refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform basic police services or to investigate crimes, and whether government officials in the police and the military are free of improper influence by private interests or criminal organizations.

2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain
Measures whether members of the legislature refrain from soliciting or accepting bribes or other inducements in exchange for political favors or favorable votes on legislation.

Factor 3: Open Government

3.1 Publicized laws and government data

Measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicly available, presented in plain language, and made accessible in all languages used in the country or jurisdiction. It also measures the quality and accessibility of information published by the government in print or online, and whether administrative regulations, drafts of legislation, and high court decisions are made accessible to the public in a timely manner.

3.2 Right to information

Measures whether requests for information held by a government agency are granted, whether these requests are granted within a reasonable time period, if the information provided is pertinent and complete, and if requests for information are granted at a reasonable cost and without having to pay a bribe. It also measures whether people are aware of their right to information, and whether relevant records are accessible to the public upon request.

3.3 Civic participation

Measures the effectiveness of civic participation mechanisms, including the protection of the freedoms of opinion and expression, assembly and association, and the right to petition the government. It also measures whether people can voice concerns to various government officers, and whether government officials provide sufficient information and notice about decisions affecting the community.

3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Measures whether people are able to bring specific complaints to the government about the provision of public services or the performance of government officers in carrying out their legal duties in practice, and how government officials respond to such complaints.

Factor 4: Fundamental Rights

4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination

Measures whether individuals are free from discrimination—based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity—with respect to public services, employment, court proceedings, and the justice system.

4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police inflict physical harm upon criminal suspects during arrest and interrogation, and whether political dissidents or members of the media are subjected to unreasonable searches, arrest, detention, imprisonment, threats, abusive treatment, or violence.

4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether members of religious minorities can worship and conduct religious practices freely and publicly, and whether non-adherents are protected from having to submit to religious laws.

4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police or other government officials conduct physical searches without warrants, or intercept electronic communications of private individuals without judicial authorization.

4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether people can freely attend community meetings, join political organizations, hold peaceful public demonstrations, sign petitions, and express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Measures the effective enforcement of fundamental labor rights, including freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the absence of discrimination with respect to employment, and freedom from forced labor and child labor.

Factor 5: Order and Security

5.1 Crime is effectively controlled

Measures the prevalence of common crimes, including homicide, kidnapping, burglary and theft, armed robbery, and extortion, as well as people's general perceptions of safety in their communities.

5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited

Measures whether people are effectively protected from armed conflict and terrorism.

5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Measures whether people resort to intimidation or violence to resolve civil disputes amongst themselves or to seek redress from the government, and whether people are free from mob violence.

Factor 6: Regulatory Enforcement

6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced

Measures whether government regulations, such as labor, environmental, public health, commercial, and consumer protection regulations are effectively enforced.

6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence

Measures whether the enforcement of regulations is subject to bribery or improper influence by private interests; and whether public services, such as the issuance of permits and licenses and the administration of public health services, are provided without bribery or other illegal inducements.

6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay

Measures whether administrative proceedings at the national and local levels are conducted without unreasonable delay.

6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings

Measures whether due process of the law is respected in administrative proceedings conducted by national and local authorities in issue areas such as the environment, taxes, and labor.

6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Measures whether the government respects the property rights of people and corporations, refrains from the illegal seizure of private property, and provides adequate compensation when property is legally expropriated.

Factor 7: Civil Justice

7.1 People can access and afford civil justice

Measures the accessibility and affordability of civil courts, including whether people are aware of available remedies; can access and afford legal advice and representation; and can access the court system without incurring unreasonable fees, encountering unreasonable procedural hurdles, or experiencing physical or linguistic barriers.

7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination

Measures whether the civil justice system discriminates in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of bribery and improper influence by private interests.

7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of improper government or political influence.

7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay

Measures whether civil justice proceedings are conducted and judgments are produced in a timely manner without unreasonable delay.

7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced

Measures the effectiveness and timeliness of the enforcement of civil justice decisions and judgments in practice.

7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Measures whether alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (ADRs) are affordable, efficient, enforceable, and free of corruption.

Factor 8: Criminal Justice

8.1 Criminal investigative system is effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively apprehended and charged. It also measures whether police, investigators, and prosecutors have adequate resources, are free of corruption, and perform their duties competently.

8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively prosecuted and punished. It also measures whether criminal judges and other judicial officers are competent and produce speedy decisions.

8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior

Measures whether correctional institutions are secure, respect prisoners' rights, and are effective in preventing recidivism.

8.4 Criminal justice is impartial

Measures whether the police and criminal judges are impartial and whether they discriminate in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

8.5 Criminal justice is free of corruption

Measures whether the police, prosecutors, and judges are free of bribery and improper influence from criminal organizations.

8.6 Criminal justice is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the criminal justice system is independent from government or political influence.

8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects can access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

"We are all servants of the laws in order that we may be free."

Cicero (106 BCE-43 BCE)

Scores and Rankings





2

22 Rule of Law Around the World
24 Rule of Law by Region
26 Rule of Law by Income
28 Rule of Law by Factor

Rule of Law Around the World

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* by country rank. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.



Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	0.90	1
Norway	0.90	2
Finland	0.88	3
Sweden	0.86	4
Germany	0.84	5
Netherlands	0.83	6
New Zealand	0.83	7
Luxembourg	0.83	8
Austria	0.81	9
Ireland	0.81	10
Estonia	0.81	11
Canada	0.80	12
Australia	0.79	13
Belgium	0.79	14
Japan	0.79	15
United Kingdom	0.79	16
Singapore	0.78	17
Lithuania	0.75	18
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.75	19
Korea, Rep.	0.74	20
Spain	0.73	21
Czech Republic	0.73	22
France	0.72	23
Latvia	0.71	24
Uruguay	0.71	25
Portugal	0.70	26
United States	0.69	27
Cyprus	0.68	28
Slovenia	0.68	29
Malta	0.68	30
Costa Rica	0.68	31
Chile	0.66	32
Slovak Republic	0.66	33

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Italy	0.66	34
Barbados	0.65	35
Poland	0.64	36
United Arab Emirates	0.64	37
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	38
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	39
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	40
Romania	0.63	41
Rwanda	0.62	42
St. Lucia	0.62	43
Namibia	0.62	44
Mauritius	0.61	45
Croatia	0.61	46
The Bahamas	0.61	47
Greece	0.61	48
Georgia	0.61	49
Grenada	0.59	50
Botswana	0.59	51
South Africa	0.58	52
Dominica	0.58	53
Malaysia	0.57	54
Jamaica	0.57	55
Argentina	0.56	56
Senegal	0.55	57
Ghana	0.55	58
Jordan	0.55	59
Kosovo	0.55	60
Mongolia	0.54	61
Bulgaria	0.54	62
Trinidad and Tobago	0.53	63
North Macedonia	0.53	64
Tunisia	0.53	65

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Kazakhstan	0.52	66
Malawi	0.52	67
Indonesia	0.52	68
Hungary	0.52	69
Nepal	0.52	70
Panama	0.52	71
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	72
Moldova	0.51	73
Ukraine	0.51	74
Burkina Faso	0.50	75
Sri Lanka	0.50	76
Brazil	0.50	77
Guyana	0.50	78
India	0.50	79
Thailand	0.50	80
Serbia	0.49	81
Algeria	0.49	82
Albania	0.49	83
Suriname	0.49	84

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.



Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Uzbekistan	0.49	85
Colombia	0.49	86
Peru	0.49	87
Vietnam	0.49	88
The Gambia	0.49	89
Morocco	0.49	90
Benin	0.49	91
Ecuador	0.48	92
Belize	0.48	93
Dominican Republic	0.48	94
El Salvador	0.48	95
Paraguay	0.48	96
Belarus	0.48	97
China	0.47	98
Kyrgyz Republic	0.47	99
Tanzania	0.47	100
Russian Federation	0.46	101
Philippines	0.46	102
Togo	0.45	103

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Lebanon	0.45	104
Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	105
Kenya	0.44	106
Zambia	0.44	107
Sierra Leone	0.44	108
Guatemala	0.44	109
Liberia	0.44	110
Niger	0.44	111
Madagascar	0.44	112
Mexico	0.43	113
Angola	0.43	114
Mali	0.43	115
Sudan	0.42	116
Turkey	0.42	117
Congo, Rep.	0.42	118
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.42	119
Guinea	0.41	120
Nigeria	0.41	121
Ethiopia	0.41	122

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Mozambique	0.40	123
Bangladesh	0.40	124
Uganda	0.39	125
Honduras	0.39	126
Zimbabwe	0.39	127
Myanmar	0.39	128
Bolivia	0.39	129
Pakistan	0.39	130
Nicaragua	0.38	131
Haiti	0.38	132
Mauritania	0.36	133
Afghanistan	0.35	134
Cameroon	0.35	135
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	136
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	137
Cambodia	0.32	138
Venezuela, RB	0.27	139

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Rule of Law Around the World by Region

East Asia and Pacific

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
New Zealand	1/15	0.83	0.01	0.7%	7	0
Australia	2/15	0.79	-0.01	-1.2%	13	0
Japan	3/15	0.79	0.01	0.8%	15	2 ▲
Singapore	4/15	0.78	-0.01	-1.0%	17	3 ▼
Hong Kong SAR, China	5/15	0.75	-0.02	-2.0%	19	0
Korea, Rep.	6/15	0.74	0.00	0.4%	20	0
Malaysia	7/15	0.57	-0.01	-1.4%	54	0
Mongolia	8/15	0.54	0.01	2.0%	61	3 ▲
Indonesia	9/15	0.52	-0.01	-1.0%	68	2 ▼
Thailand	10/15	0.50	-0.01	-2.2%	80	2 ▼
Vietnam	11/15	0.49	0.00	-0.6%	88	4 ▲
China	12/15	0.47	-0.01	-1.9%	98	2 ▼
Philippines	13/15	0.46	-0.01	-2.9%	102	3 ▼
Myanmar	14/15	0.39	-0.03	-6.3%	128	6 ▼
Cambodia	15/15	0.32	-0.01	-2.3%	138	0

Eastern Europe and Central Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Georgia	1/14	0.61	0.00	0.5%	49	0
Kosovo	2/14	0.55	0.00	0.6%	60	1 ▲
North Macedonia	3/14	0.53	0.00	-0.3%	64	1 ▲
Kazakhstan	4/14	0.52	0.00	0.3%	66	3 ▲
Bosnia and Herzegovina	5/14	0.52	0.00	-0.8%	72	1 ▼
Moldova	6/14	0.51	0.02	3.2%	73	16 ▲
Ukraine	7/14	0.51	0.00	0.6%	74	5 ▲
Serbia	8/14	0.49	-0.01	-1.8%	81	1 ▲
Albania	9/14	0.49	-0.01	-1.7%	83	2 ▲
Uzbekistan	10/14	0.49	0.02	4.1%	85	14 ▲
Belarus	11/14	0.48	-0.04	-7.5%	97	21 ▼
Kyrgyz Republic	12/14	0.47	-0.02	-3.7%	99	4 ▼
Russian Federation	13/14	0.46	0.00	-0.7%	101	1 ▲
Turkey	14/14	0.42	-0.01	-2.5%	117	1 ▼

EU, EFTA, and North America *(European Union, European Free Trade Association, and North America)*

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Denmark	1/31	0.90	0.00	0.0%	1	0
Norway	2/31	0.90	0.00	0.5%	2	0
Finland	3/31	0.88	0.00	0.4%	3	0
Sweden	4/31	0.86	0.00	0.0%	4	0
Germany	5/31	0.84	0.00	0.4%	5	1 ▲
Netherlands	6/31	0.83	0.00	-0.5%	6	1 ▼
Luxembourg	7/31	0.83	-	-	8	-
Austria	8/31	0.81	0.00	-0.6%	9	0
Ireland	9/31	0.81	-	-	10	-
Estonia	10/31	0.81	0.00	-0.1%	11	1 ▲
Canada	11/31	0.80	-0.01	-1.0%	12	1 ▼
Belgium	12/31	0.79	0.00	0.6%	14	2 ▲
United Kingdom	13/31	0.79	0.00	-0.4%	16	1 ▼
Lithuania	14/31	0.75	-	-	18	-
Spain	15/31	0.73	0.00	0.1%	21	1 ▲
Czech Republic	16/31	0.73	0.00	-0.4%	22	1 ▼
France	17/31	0.72	-0.01	-0.9%	23	0
Latvia	18/31	0.71	-	-	24	-
Portugal	19/31	0.70	0.00	-0.6%	26	1 ▲
United States	20/31	0.69	-0.02	-2.9%	27	2 ▼
Cyprus	21/31	0.68	-	-	28	-
Slovenia	22/31	0.68	0.00	-0.4%	29	0
Malta	23/31	0.68	-	-	30	-
Slovak Republic	24/31	0.66	-	-	33	-
Italy	25/31	0.66	0.00	0.2%	34	0
Poland	26/31	0.64	-0.02	-2.4%	36	1 ▼
Romania	27/31	0.63	-0.01	-0.8%	41	2 ▼
Croatia	28/31	0.61	-0.01	-1.0%	46	0
Greece	29/31	0.61	0.00	-0.5%	48	1 ▼
Bulgaria	30/31	0.54	-0.01	-1.0%	62	2 ▼
Hungary	31/31	0.52	-0.01	-1.4%	69	2 ▼



*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 128 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2020 Index with the rankings of the same 128 countries and jurisdictions in 2021, exclusive of the 11 new additions to the 2021 Index. The 11 new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index this year are: Congo, Rep., Cyprus, Haiti, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Paraguay, Slovak Republic, and Sudan.

Latin America and Caribbean

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Uruguay	1/32	0.71	0.00	0.6%	25	1 ▲
Costa Rica	2/32	0.68	-0.01	-0.8%	31	0
Chile	3/32	0.66	-0.01	-0.8%	32	0
Barbados	4/32	0.65	0.00	0.7%	35	1 ▲
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	5/32	0.64	0.00	-0.2%	38	0
Antigua and Barbuda	6/32	0.63	0.00	0.4%	39	2 ▲
St. Kitts and Nevis	7/32	0.63	0.00	-0.2%	40	0
St. Lucia	8/32	0.62	0.00	-0.1%	43	0
The Bahamas	9/32	0.61	0.00	0.5%	47	1 ▲
Grenada	10/32	0.59	0.00	0.1%	50	1 ▲
Dominica	11/32	0.58	0.00	-0.6%	53	0
Jamaica	12/32	0.57	0.00	0.4%	55	1 ▲
Argentina	13/32	0.56	-0.02	-3.7%	56	1 ▼
Trinidad and Tobago	14/32	0.53	0.00	-0.5%	63	1 ▼
Panama	15/32	0.52	0.00	-0.7%	71	1 ▼
Brazil	16/32	0.50	-0.01	-2.9%	77	3 ▼
Guyana	17/32	0.50	0.00	-0.7%	78	2 ▲
Suriname	18/32	0.49	-0.01	-1.9%	84	1 ▼
Colombia	19/32	0.49	-0.01	-2.2%	86	2 ▼
Peru	20/32	0.49	-0.01	-2.0%	87	0
Ecuador	21/32	0.48	0.00	-0.1%	92	1 ▲
Belize	22/32	0.48	0.00	0.0%	93	3 ▲
Dominican Republic	23/32	0.48	0.00	0.0%	94	3 ▲
El Salvador	24/32	0.48	-0.02	-3.3%	95	4 ▼
Paraguay	25/32	0.48	-	-	96	-
Guatemala	26/32	0.44	-0.01	-1.2%	109	0
Mexico	27/32	0.43	-0.01	-2.9%	113	1 ▼
Honduras	28/32	0.39	-0.01	-2.2%	126	0
Bolivia	29/32	0.39	0.00	0.9%	129	2 ▲
Nicaragua	30/32	0.38	-0.01	-3.7%	131	3 ▼
Haiti	31/32	0.38	-	-	132	-
Venezuela, RB	32/32	0.27	0.00	-1.5%	139	0

Middle East and North Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
United Arab Emirates	1/8	0.64	-0.01	-0.9%	37	0
Jordan	2/8	0.55	-0.02	-3.0%	59	2 ▼
Tunisia	3/8	0.53	-0.01	-1.5%	65	2 ▼
Algeria	4/8	0.49	0.00	-0.5%	82	8 ▲
Morocco	5/8	0.49	-0.01	-2.6%	90	4 ▼
Lebanon	6/8	0.45	0.00	-0.9%	104	0
Iran, Islamic Rep.	7/8	0.42	-0.01	-2.3%	119	0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	8/8	0.35	-0.01	-2.8%	136	0

South Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Nepal	1/6	0.52	-0.01	-1.1%	70	2 ▼
Sri Lanka	2/6	0.50	-0.02	-3.0%	76	3 ▼
India	3/6	0.50	-0.01	-1.9%	79	3 ▼
Bangladesh	4/6	0.40	-0.01	-2.8%	124	1 ▲
Pakistan	5/6	0.39	0.00	-0.4%	130	0
Afghanistan	6/6	0.35	-0.01	-2.7%	134	1 ▼

Sub-Saharan Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
Rwanda	1/33	0.62	0.00	0.5%	42	2 ▲
Namibia	2/33	0.62	-0.01	-1.2%	44	2 ▼
Mauritius	3/33	0.61	-0.01	-0.9%	45	0
Botswana	4/33	0.59	-0.01	-1.5%	51	1 ▼
South Africa	5/33	0.58	0.00	-0.4%	52	0
Senegal	6/33	0.55	0.00	0.5%	57	2 ▲
Ghana	7/33	0.55	-0.01	-2.2%	58	0
Malawi	8/33	0.52	0.01	1.0%	67	5 ▲
Burkina Faso	9/33	0.50	0.00	-0.9%	75	2 ▲
The Gambia	10/33	0.49	-0.02	-3.1%	89	8 ▼
Benin	11/33	0.49	-0.01	-2.3%	91	3 ▼
Tanzania	12/33	0.47	-0.01	-1.1%	100	1 ▲
Togo	13/33	0.45	0.00	0.9%	103	4 ▲
Côte d'Ivoire	14/33	0.45	-0.02	-3.4%	105	2 ▼
Kenya	15/33	0.44	0.00	-0.9%	106	4 ▲
Zambia	16/33	0.44	-0.01	-2.3%	107	2 ▼
Sierra Leone	17/33	0.44	-0.01	-1.5%	108	0
Liberia	18/33	0.44	-0.01	-2.6%	110	4 ▼
Niger	19/33	0.44	-0.01	-1.5%	111	0
Madagascar	20/33	0.44	0.00	-0.6%	112	1 ▲
Angola	21/33	0.43	0.00	0.5%	114	4 ▲
Mali	22/33	0.43	-0.01	-2.9%	115	1 ▼
Sudan	23/33	0.42	-	-	116	-
Congo, Rep.	24/33	0.42	-	-	118	-
Guinea	25/33	0.41	-0.01	-1.4%	120	1 ▲
Nigeria	26/33	0.41	-0.02	-3.7%	121	3 ▼
Ethiopia	27/33	0.41	0.00	-1.0%	122	2 ▲
Mozambique	28/33	0.40	-0.01	-2.9%	123	0
Uganda	29/33	0.39	0.00	-0.9%	125	2 ▲
Zimbabwe	30/33	0.39	0.00	0.0%	127	2 ▲
Mauritania	31/33	0.36	0.00	0.9%	133	1 ▲
Cameroon	32/33	0.35	-0.01	-2.1%	135	0
Congo, Dem. Rep.	33/33	0.35	0.00	1.2%	137	0

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 128 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2020 Index with the rankings of the same 128 countries and jurisdictions in 2021, exclusive of the 11 new additions to the 2021 Index. The 11 new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index this year are: Congo, Rep., Cyprus, Haiti, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Paraguay, Slovak Republic, and Sudan.

Rule of Law Around the World by Income*

Low Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Income Rank	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score†	% Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
Rwanda	1/18	0.62	0.00	0.5%	42	2 ▲
Malawi	2/18	0.52	0.01	1.0%	67	5 ▲
Burkina Faso	3/18	0.50	0.00	-0.9%	75	2 ▲
The Gambia	4/18	0.49	-0.02	-3.1%	89	8 ▼
Togo	5/18	0.45	0.00	0.9%	103	4 ▲
Sierra Leone	6/18	0.44	-0.01	-1.5%	108	0
Liberia	7/18	0.44	-0.01	-2.6%	110	4 ▼
Niger	8/18	0.44	-0.01	-1.5%	111	0
Madagascar	9/18	0.44	0.00	-0.6%	112	1 ▲
Mali	10/18	0.43	-0.01	-2.9%	115	1 ▼
Sudan	11/18	0.42	-	-	116	-
Guinea	12/18	0.41	-0.01	-1.4%	120	1 ▲
Ethiopia	13/18	0.41	0.00	-1.0%	122	2 ▲
Mozambique	14/18	0.40	-0.01	-2.9%	123	0
Uganda	15/18	0.39	0.00	-0.9%	125	2 ▲
Haiti	16/18	0.38	-	-	132	-
Afghanistan	17/18	0.35	-0.01	-2.7%	134	1 ▼
Congo, Dem. Rep.	18/18	0.35	0.00	1.2%	137	0

Lower-Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Income Rank	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score†	% Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
Senegal	1/35	0.55	0.00	0.5%	57	2 ▲
Ghana	2/35	0.55	-0.01	-2.2%	58	0
Mongolia	3/35	0.54	0.01	2.0%	61	3 ▲
Tunisia	4/35	0.53	-0.01	-1.5%	65	2 ▼
Nepal	5/35	0.52	-0.01	-1.1%	70	2 ▼
Moldova	6/35	0.51	0.02	3.2%	73	16 ▲
Ukraine	7/35	0.51	0.00	0.6%	74	5 ▲
Sri Lanka	8/35	0.50	-0.02	-3.0%	76	3 ▼
India	9/35	0.50	-0.01	-1.9%	79	3 ▼
Algeria	10/35	0.49	0.00	-0.5%	82	8 ▲
Uzbekistan	11/35	0.49	0.02	4.1%	85	14 ▲
Vietnam	12/35	0.49	0.00	-0.6%	88	4 ▲
Morocco	13/35	0.49	-0.01	-2.6%	90	4 ▼
Benin	14/35	0.49	-0.01	-2.3%	91	3 ▼
El Salvador	15/35	0.48	-0.02	-3.3%	95	4 ▼
Kyrgyz Republic	16/35	0.47	-0.02	-3.7%	99	4 ▼
Tanzania	17/35	0.47	-0.01	-1.1%	100	1 ▲
Philippines	18/35	0.46	-0.01	-2.9%	102	3 ▼
Côte d'Ivoire	19/35	0.45	-0.02	-3.4%	105	2 ▼
Kenya	20/35	0.44	0.00	-0.9%	106	4 ▲
Zambia	21/35	0.44	-0.01	-2.3%	107	2 ▼
Angola	22/35	0.43	0.00	0.5%	114	4 ▲
Congo, Rep.	23/35	0.42	-	-	118	-
Nigeria	24/35	0.41	-0.02	-3.7%	121	3 ▼
Bangladesh	25/35	0.40	-0.01	-2.8%	124	1 ▲
Honduras	26/35	0.39	-0.01	-2.2%	126	0
Zimbabwe	27/35	0.39	0.00	0.0%	127	2 ▲
Myanmar	28/35	0.39	-0.03	-6.3%	128	6 ▼
Bolivia	29/35	0.39	0.00	0.9%	129	2 ▲
Pakistan	30/35	0.39	0.00	-0.4%	130	0
Nicaragua	31/35	0.38	-0.01	-3.7%	131	3 ▼
Mauritania	32/35	0.36	0.00	0.9%	133	1 ▲
Cameroon	33/35	0.35	-0.01	-2.1%	135	0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	34/35	0.35	-0.01	-2.8%	136	0
Cambodia	35/35	0.32	-0.01	-2.3%	138	0



*Income groups used in this year's report are based on the World Bank's 2021 fiscal year income group classifications. For the 2021 fiscal year, low-income economies are defined as those with a GNI per capita, calculated using the World Bank Atlas method, of $1,035 or less in 2019; lower middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between $1,036 and $4,045; upper middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between $4,046 and $12,535; high-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of $12,535 or more. https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups.

†Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

‡The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 128 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2020 Index with the rankings of the same 128 countries and jurisdictions in 2021, exclusive of the 11 new additions to the 2021 Index. The 11 new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index this year are: Congo, Rep., Cyprus, Haiti, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Paraguay, Slovak Republic, and Sudan.

Upper-Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Income Rank	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score†	% Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
Costa Rica	1/40	0.68	-0.01	-0.8%	31	0
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	2/40	0.64	0.00	-0.2%	38	0
St. Lucia	3/40	0.62	0.00	-0.1%	43	0
Namibia	4/40	0.62	-0.01	-1.2%	44	2▼
Georgia	5/40	0.61	0.00	0.5%	49	0
Grenada	6/40	0.59	0.00	0.1%	50	1▲
Botswana	7/40	0.59	-0.01	-1.5%	51	1▼
South Africa	8/40	0.58	0.00	-0.4%	52	0
Dominica	9/40	0.58	0.00	-0.6%	53	0
Malaysia	10/40	0.57	-0.01	-1.4%	54	0
Jamaica	11/40	0.57	0.00	0.4%	55	1▲
Argentina	12/40	0.56	-0.02	-3.7%	56	1▼
Jordan	13/40	0.55	-0.02	-3.0%	59	2▼
Kosovo	14/40	0.55	0.00	0.6%	60	1▲
Bulgaria	15/40	0.54	-0.01	-1.0%	62	2▼
North Macedonia	16/40	0.53	0.00	-0.3%	64	1▲
Kazakhstan	17/40	0.52	0.00	0.3%	66	3▲
Indonesia	18/40	0.52	-0.01	-1.0%	68	2▼
Bosnia and Herzegovina	19/40	0.52	0.00	-0.8%	72	1▼
Brazil	20/40	0.50	-0.01	-2.9%	77	3▼
Guyana	21/40	0.50	0.00	-0.7%	78	2▲
Thailand	22/40	0.50	-0.01	-2.2%	80	2▼
Serbia	23/40	0.49	-0.01	-1.8%	81	1▲
Albania	24/40	0.49	-0.01	-1.7%	83	2▲
Suriname	25/40	0.49	-0.01	-1.9%	84	1▼
Colombia	26/40	0.49	-0.01	-2.2%	86	2▼
Peru	27/40	0.49	-0.01	-2.0%	87	0
Ecuador	28/40	0.48	0.00	-0.1%	92	1▲
Belize	29/40	0.48	0.00	0.0%	93	3▲
Dominican Republic	30/40	0.48	0.00	0.0%	94	3▲
Paraguay	31/40	0.48	-	-	96	-
Belarus	32/40	0.48	-0.04	-7.5%	97	21▼
China	33/40	0.47	-0.01	-1.9%	98	2▼
Russian Federation	34/40	0.46	0.00	-0.7%	101	1▲
Lebanon	35/40	0.45	0.00	-0.9%	104	0
Guatemala	36/40	0.44	-0.01	-1.2%	109	0
Mexico	37/40	0.43	-0.01	-2.9%	113	1▼
Turkey	38/40	0.42	-0.01	-2.5%	117	1▼
Iran, Islamic Rep.	39/40	0.42	-0.01	-2.3%	119	0
Venezuela, RB	40/40	0.27	0.00	-1.5%	139	0

High Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Income Rank	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score†	% Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
Denmark	1/46	0.90	0.00	0.0%	1	0
Norway	2/46	0.90	0.00	0.5%	2	0
Finland	3/46	0.88	0.00	0.4%	3	0
Sweden	4/46	0.86	0.00	0.0%	4	0
Germany	5/46	0.84	0.00	0.4%	5	1▲
Netherlands	6/46	0.83	0.00	-0.5%	6	1▼
New Zealand	7/46	0.83	0.01	0.7%	7	0
Luxembourg	8/46	0.83	-	-	8	-
Austria	9/46	0.81	0.00	-0.6%	9	0
Ireland	10/46	0.81	-	-	10	-
Estonia	11/46	0.81	0.00	-0.1%	11	1▲
Canada	12/46	0.80	-0.01	-1.0%	12	1▼
Australia	13/46	0.79	-0.01	-1.2%	13	0
Belgium	14/46	0.79	0.00	0.6%	14	2▲
Japan	15/46	0.79	0.01	0.8%	15	2▲
United Kingdom	16/46	0.79	0.00	-0.4%	16	1▼
Singapore	17/46	0.78	-0.01	-1.0%	17	3▼
Lithuania	18/46	0.75	-	-	18	-
Hong Kong SAR, China	19/46	0.75	-0.02	-2.0%	19	0
Korea, Rep.	20/46	0.74	0.00	0.4%	20	0
Spain	21/46	0.73	0.00	0.1%	21	1▲
Czech Republic	22/46	0.73	0.00	-0.4%	22	1▼
France	23/46	0.72	-0.01	-0.9%	23	0
Latvia	24/46	0.71	-	-	24	-
Uruguay	25/46	0.71	0.00	0.6%	25	1▲
Portugal	26/46	0.70	0.00	-0.6%	26	1▲
United States	27/46	0.69	-0.02	-2.9%	27	2▼
Cyprus	28/46	0.68	-	-	28	-
Slovenia	29/46	0.68	0.00	-0.4%	29	0
Malta	30/46	0.68	-	-	30	-
Chile	31/46	0.66	-0.01	-0.8%	32	0
Slovak Republic	32/46	0.66	-	-	33	-
Italy	33/46	0.66	0.00	0.2%	34	0
Barbados	34/46	0.65	0.00	0.7%	35	1▲
Poland	35/46	0.64	-0.02	-2.4%	36	1▼
United Arab Emirates	36/46	0.64	-0.01	-0.9%	37	0
Antigua and Barbuda	37/46	0.63	0.00	0.4%	39	2▲
St. Kitts and Nevis	38/46	0.63	0.00	-0.2%	40	0
Romania	39/46	0.63	-0.01	-0.8%	41	2▼
Mauritius	40/46	0.61	-0.01	-0.9%	45	0
Croatia	41/46	0.61	-0.01	-1.0%	46	0
The Bahamas	42/46	0.61	0.00	0.5%	47	1▲
Greece	43/46	0.61	0.00	-0.5%	48	1▼
Trinidad and Tobago	44/46	0.53	0.00	-0.5%	63	1▼
Hungary	45/46	0.52	-0.01	-1.4%	69	2▼
Panama	46/46	0.52	0.00	-0.7%	71	1▼

†Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

‡The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 128 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2020 Index with the rankings of the same 128 countries and jurisdictions in 2021, exclusive of the 11 new additions to the 2021 Index. The 11 new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index this year are: Congo, Rep., Cyprus, Haiti, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Paraguay, Slovak Republic, and Sudan.

Constraints on Government Powers

Factor 1 measures the extent to which those who govern are bound by law. It comprises the means, both constitutional and institutional, by which the powers of the government and its officials and agents are limited and held accountable under the law. It also includes non-governmental checks on the government's power, such as a free and independent press. For a further breakdown of Constraints on Government Powers by sub-factor, please refer to page 16.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.94	1
Norway	0.94	2
Finland	0.92	3
Sweden	0.87	4
Germany	0.86	5
New Zealand	0.86	6
Netherlands	0.85	7
Austria	0.85	8
Estonia	0.83	9
Belgium	0.83	10
Ireland	0.82	11
Luxembourg	0.82	12
Canada	0.82	13
United Kingdom	0.81	14
Australia	0.81	15
Portugal	0.78	16
Costa Rica	0.76	17
Uruguay	0.76	18
Lithuania	0.75	19
Spain	0.73	20
Czech Republic	0.72	21
Japan	0.72	22
France	0.72	23
Chile	0.72	24
Korea, Rep.	0.71	25
Italy	0.71	26
Latvia	0.70	27
United States	0.68	28
Slovak Republic	0.68	29
Greece	0.68	30
Indonesia	0.67	31
Singapore	0.67	32
Namibia	0.67	33
Ghana	0.66	34
Cyprus	0.66	35
Slovenia	0.65	36
Malta	0.65	37
Barbados	0.64	38
Jamaica	0.64	39
South Africa	0.63	40
The Bahamas	0.62	41
Rwanda	0.62	42
Romania	0.61	43
Antigua and Barbuda	0.61	44
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.61	45
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.61	46
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.60	47
Peru	0.60	48
Botswana	0.60	49
Tunisia	0.60	50
Mauritius	0.59	51
India	0.59	52
St. Lucia	0.59	53
The Gambia	0.59	54
Nepal	0.59	55
Malawi	0.58	56
Argentina	0.57	57
Grenada	0.57	58
Malaysia	0.57	59
Senegal	0.57	60
Burkina Faso	0.57	61
Croatia	0.56	62
United Arab Emirates	0.56	63
Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	64
Guyana	0.54	65
Mongolia	0.54	66
Poland	0.54	67
Georgia	0.54	68
Sri Lanka	0.54	69
Dominica	0.53	70
Panama	0.53	71
Colombia	0.53	72
Kosovo	0.53	73
Guatemala	0.52	74
Morocco	0.51	75
Brazil	0.51	76
Tanzania	0.51	77
Benin	0.50	78
Liberia	0.50	79
Nigeria	0.50	80
Ecuador	0.50	81
Sierra Leone	0.49	82
Paraguay	0.49	83
Lebanon	0.49	84
Philippines	0.48	85
Kenya	0.48	86
Suriname	0.48	87
Algeria	0.48	88
Pakistan	0.47	89
Moldova	0.47	90
Dominican Republic	0.47	91
North Macedonia	0.47	92
El Salvador	0.47	93
Ukraine	0.47	94
Bulgaria	0.46	95
Thailand	0.46	96
Jordan	0.46	97
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.45	98
Vietnam	0.45	99
Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	100
Mali	0.45	101
Mexico	0.45	102
Sudan	0.45	103
Madagascar	0.44	104
Belize	0.44	105
Zambia	0.43	106
Angola	0.43	107
Kazakhstan	0.43	108
Albania	0.43	109
Afghanistan	0.42	110
Mozambique	0.42	111
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.40	112
Uganda	0.40	113
Niger	0.39	114
Myanmar	0.39	115
Haiti	0.39	116
Hungary	0.39	117
Guinea	0.38	118
Ethiopia	0.38	119
Congo, Rep.	0.38	120
Uzbekistan	0.38	121
Serbia	0.38	122
Côte d'Ivoire	0.38	123
Bolivia	0.37	124
Bangladesh	0.37	125
Togo	0.36	126
Cameroon	0.36	127
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.35	128
Russian Federation	0.35	129
Mauritania	0.34	130
Honduras	0.33	131
Zimbabwe	0.33	132
China	0.31	133
Turkey	0.28	134
Cambodia	0.28	135
Belarus	0.27	136
Nicaragua	0.25	137
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.24	138
Venezuela, RB	0.17	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Absence of Corruption

Factor 2 measures the absence of corruption in government. The factor considers three forms of corruption: bribery, improper influence by public or private interests, and misappropriation of public funds or other resources. These three forms of corruption are examined with respect to government officers in the executive branch, the judiciary, the military, police, and the legislature. For a further breakdown of Absence of Corruption by sub-factor, please refer to page 16.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.95	1
Norway	0.94	2
Singapore	0.91	3
Sweden	0.90	4
Finland	0.89	5
New Zealand	0.88	6
Netherlands	0.87	7
Luxembourg	0.87	8
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.85	9
Germany	0.83	10
Canada	0.82	11
United Kingdom	0.82	12
Japan	0.82	13
Austria	0.82	14
Australia	0.81	15
Belgium	0.80	16
Ireland	0.80	17
United Arab Emirates	0.80	18
Estonia	0.80	19
France	0.74	20
Spain	0.74	21
Uruguay	0.73	22
United States	0.72	23
Portugal	0.72	24
Poland	0.72	25
Lithuania	0.69	26
Chile	0.69	27
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.69	28
Barbados	0.69	29
Malta	0.68	30
Georgia	0.68	31
Cyprus	0.68	32
Korea, Rep.	0.67	33
Latvia	0.66	34
Grenada	0.66	35
Slovenia	0.66	36
Costa Rica	0.65	37
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.65	38
Czech Republic	0.65	39
Rwanda	0.65	40
The Bahamas	0.64	41
Italy	0.64	42
St. Lucia	0.63	43
Dominica	0.60	44
Antigua and Barbuda	0.59	45
Jordan	0.59	46
Botswana	0.58	47
Mauritius	0.58	48
Malaysia	0.58	49
Greece	0.56	50
Croatia	0.56	51
Romania	0.55	52
Jamaica	0.55	53
Senegal	0.54	54
China	0.53	55
Namibia	0.52	56
Belarus	0.51	57
Slovak Republic	0.51	58
Hungary	0.49	59
Trinidad and Tobago	0.49	60
Sri Lanka	0.49	61
Kazakhstan	0.49	62
Argentina	0.48	63
Tunisia	0.48	64
South Africa	0.48	65
Thailand	0.47	66
The Gambia	0.47	67
Kosovo	0.46	68
Turkey	0.46	69
Uzbekistan	0.46	70
Ethiopia	0.46	71
North Macedonia	0.45	72
Guyana	0.45	73
Algeria	0.45	74
Suriname	0.44	75
Bulgaria	0.44	76
Philippines	0.44	77
Myanmar	0.44	78
Angola	0.44	79
Brazil	0.43	80
Mongolia	0.43	81
Togo	0.43	82
Burkina Faso	0.43	83
Serbia	0.43	84
Belize	0.43	85
Malawi	0.43	86
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.42	87
Russian Federation	0.42	88
Morocco	0.42	89
Tanzania	0.42	90
Panama	0.41	91
Vietnam	0.41	92
Nepal	0.41	93
Ecuador	0.41	94
India	0.40	95
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.40	96
Sudan	0.40	97
Indonesia	0.40	98
Niger	0.40	99
Dominican Republic	0.39	100
Colombia	0.39	101
Ghana	0.39	102
Benin	0.38	103
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.38	104
Albania	0.37	105
Zambia	0.36	106
Mozambique	0.36	107
El Salvador	0.36	108
Lebanon	0.36	109
Sierra Leone	0.36	110
Moldova	0.36	111
Bangladesh	0.35	112
Côte d'Ivoire	0.35	113
Guatemala	0.33	114
Ukraine	0.33	115
Nicaragua	0.32	116
Mali	0.32	117
Peru	0.32	118
Nigeria	0.32	119
Zimbabwe	0.31	120
Liberia	0.31	121
Honduras	0.31	122
Pakistan	0.31	123
Congo, Rep.	0.31	124
Paraguay	0.30	125
Kyrgyz Republic	0.30	126
Afghanistan	0.30	127
Mauritania	0.30	128
Venezuela, RB	0.29	129
Haiti	0.28	130
Bolivia	0.27	131
Kenya	0.27	132
Madagascar	0.27	133
Guinea	0.27	134
Mexico	0.26	135
Uganda	0.26	136
Cameroon	0.25	137
Cambodia	0.23	138
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.16	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Open Government

Factor 3 measures the openness of government defined by the extent to which a government shares information, empowers people with tools to hold the government accountable, and fosters citizen participation in public policy deliberations. This factor measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicized and evaluates the quality of information published by the government. For a further breakdown of Open Government by sub-factor, please refer to page 17.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.89	1
Denmark	0.87	2
Finland	0.87	3
Sweden	0.86	4
Netherlands	0.82	5
New Zealand	0.82	6
Ireland	0.80	7
Australia	0.80	8
Estonia	0.80	9
Germany	0.80	10
Canada	0.80	11
United Kingdom	0.79	12
Luxembourg	0.79	13
Belgium	0.78	14
France	0.76	15
United States	0.75	16
Latvia	0.74	17
Lithuania	0.73	18
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.73	19
Uruguay	0.72	20
Korea, Rep.	0.71	21
Austria	0.70	22
Chile	0.70	23
Japan	0.70	24
Spain	0.70	25
Costa Rica	0.70	26
Slovak Republic	0.69	27
Czech Republic	0.68	28
Portugal	0.66	29
Slovenia	0.65	30
Malta	0.63	31
South Africa	0.63	32
Italy	0.63	33
Singapore	0.63	34
Colombia	0.62	35
Argentina	0.62	36
Romania	0.62	37
Cyprus	0.61	38
Greece	0.61	39
India	0.60	40
Brazil	0.60	41
Croatia	0.60	42
Mexico	0.60	43
Poland	0.59	44
Georgia	0.59	45
Rwanda	0.59	46
Panama	0.58	47
Paraguay	0.58	48
Namibia	0.58	49
Moldova	0.57	50
Ukraine	0.57	51
Jamaica	0.56	52
Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	53
Bulgaria	0.56	54
Kosovo	0.55	55
Peru	0.54	56
Antigua and Barbuda	0.54	57
Indonesia	0.54	58
Dominican Republic	0.53	59
Barbados	0.53	60
Kyrgyz Republic	0.52	61
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.52	62
The Bahamas	0.52	63
Sri Lanka	0.52	64
Mauritius	0.52	65
Nepal	0.52	66
Guatemala	0.51	67
Ecuador	0.51	68
El Salvador	0.51	69
Dominica	0.50	70
Philippines	0.50	71
Mongolia	0.50	72
Tunisia	0.50	73
North Macedonia	0.50	74
Ghana	0.49	75
Kenya	0.49	76
Thailand	0.49	77
Russian Federation	0.49	78
St. Lucia	0.48	79
Burkina Faso	0.48	80
Botswana	0.48	81
Albania	0.47	82
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	83
Kazakhstan	0.46	84
Madagascar	0.46	85
Vietnam	0.46	86
Serbia	0.46	87
Mali	0.45	88
Malawi	0.45	89
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.45	90
Liberia	0.44	91
Hungary	0.44	92
Grenada	0.44	93
Belize	0.44	94
Guyana	0.44	95
Senegal	0.43	96
Morocco	0.43	97
Bolivia	0.43	98
Honduras	0.42	99
Lebanon	0.42	100
Pakistan	0.42	101
Bangladesh	0.42	102
Nigeria	0.42	103
Malaysia	0.41	104
Sudan	0.40	105
Jordan	0.40	106
Turkey	0.40	107
Afghanistan	0.39	108
Angola	0.39	109
Benin	0.39	110
China	0.38	111
Uganda	0.38	112
Zambia	0.38	113
Haiti	0.38	114
Sierra Leone	0.38	115
Suriname	0.38	116
Nicaragua	0.38	117
Mozambique	0.37	118
Côte d'Ivoire	0.37	119
Tanzania	0.37	120
The Gambia	0.37	121
Uzbekistan	0.37	122
Guinea	0.36	123
Niger	0.36	124
Congo, Rep.	0.36	125
Myanmar	0.36	126
Algeria	0.35	127
United Arab Emirates	0.35	128
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.33	129
Belarus	0.33	130
Ethiopia	0.33	131
Cameroon	0.32	132
Zimbabwe	0.32	133
Togo	0.30	134
Mauritania	0.29	135
Venezuela, RB	0.28	136
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.28	137
Cambodia	0.26	138
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.22	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Fundamental Rights

Factor 4 recognizes that a system of positive law that fails to respect core human rights established under international law is at best "rule by law," and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system. Since there are many other indices that address human rights, and because it would be impossible for the Index to assess adherence to the full range of rights, this factor focuses on a relatively modest menu of rights that are firmly established under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are most closely related to rule of law concerns. For a further breakdown of Fundamental Rights by sub-factor, please refer to page 17.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.92	1
Norway	0.91	2
Finland	0.90	3
Sweden	0.87	4
Austria	0.85	5
Germany	0.85	6
Luxembourg	0.85	7
Netherlands	0.84	8
Belgium	0.84	9
Ireland	0.82	10
New Zealand	0.82	11
Estonia	0.82	12
Canada	0.82	13
United Kingdom	0.80	14
Spain	0.80	15
Uruguay	0.79	16
Czech Republic	0.79	17
Japan	0.78	18
Costa Rica	0.78	19
Australia	0.78	20
Portugal	0.77	21
Slovenia	0.76	22
Korea, Rep.	0.75	23
Latvia	0.75	24
Lithuania	0.75	25
Malta	0.73	26
Slovak Republic	0.73	27
Italy	0.73	28
Barbados	0.73	29
Cyprus	0.72	30
Chile	0.72	31
France	0.71	32
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.71	33
Antigua and Barbuda	0.70	34
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.70	35
Argentina	0.69	36
The Bahamas	0.68	37
Singapore	0.67	38
St. Lucia	0.67	39
Romania	0.67	40
Croatia	0.67	41
United States	0.66	42
Namibia	0.65	43
Greece	0.65	44
South Africa	0.64	45
Mauritius	0.63	46
Panama	0.63	47
Jamaica	0.63	48
Grenada	0.63	49
Georgia	0.63	50
Dominica	0.62	51
Peru	0.61	52
Poland	0.61	53
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.61	54
Ukraine	0.61	55
Senegal	0.60	56
Bulgaria	0.60	57
Kosovo	0.59	58
North Macedonia	0.59	59
Trinidad and Tobago	0.59	60
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.59	61
Ghana	0.58	62
Mongolia	0.58	63
Malawi	0.58	64
Botswana	0.58	65
Albania	0.58	66
Dominican Republic	0.57	67
Guyana	0.56	68
Burkina Faso	0.56	69
Hungary	0.56	70
Moldova	0.56	71
Serbia	0.56	72
Tunisia	0.55	73
Guatemala	0.53	74
Paraguay	0.53	75
Sri Lanka	0.53	76
Suriname	0.53	77
Benin	0.53	78
Ecuador	0.52	79
The Gambia	0.52	80
Mali	0.51	81
Liberia	0.51	82
Belize	0.51	83
Malaysia	0.51	84
Nepal	0.51	85
Sierra Leone	0.51	86
Colombia	0.51	87
Indonesia	0.51	88
El Salvador	0.50	89
Rwanda	0.50	90
Mexico	0.49	91
Guinea	0.49	92
India	0.49	93
Jordan	0.48	94
Brazil	0.48	95
Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	96
Niger	0.48	97
Lebanon	0.47	98
Thailand	0.47	99
Bolivia	0.47	100
Kenya	0.47	101
Madagascar	0.46	102
Togo	0.46	103
Vietnam	0.45	104
United Arab Emirates	0.45	105
Algeria	0.45	106
Kazakhstan	0.45	107
Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	108
Uzbekistan	0.44	109
Morocco	0.43	110
Haiti	0.43	111
Tanzania	0.43	112
Nigeria	0.43	113
Russian Federation	0.42	114
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.41	115
Belarus	0.41	116
Honduras	0.41	117
Zambia	0.41	118
Mozambique	0.41	119
Afghanistan	0.40	120
Mauritania	0.40	121
Congo, Rep.	0.40	122
Philippines	0.39	123
Angola	0.39	124
Sudan	0.38	125
Pakistan	0.38	126
Cameroon	0.36	127
Uganda	0.36	128
Zimbabwe	0.34	129
Nicaragua	0.34	130
Cambodia	0.33	131
Ethiopia	0.33	132
Turkey	0.31	133
Bangladesh	0.31	134
Venezuela, RB	0.29	135
China	0.27	136
Myanmar	0.27	137
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.24	138
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.22	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Order and Security

Factor 5 measures how well a society ensures the security of persons and property. Security is one of the defining aspects of any rule of law society and is a fundamental function of the state. It is also a precondition for the realization of the rights and freedoms that the rule of law seeks to advance. For a further breakdown of Order and Security by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Ireland	0.94	1
Luxembourg	0.93	2
Singapore	0.93	3
Norway	0.93	4
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.93	5
Sweden	0.92	6
Malta	0.92	7
Denmark	0.92	8
Japan	0.92	9
Finland	0.92	10
United Arab Emirates	0.91	11
Austria	0.91	12
Hungary	0.90	13
Canada	0.90	14
Slovak Republic	0.90	15
Uzbekistan	0.90	16
Slovenia	0.90	17
Estonia	0.89	18
Czech Republic	0.89	19
Germany	0.89	20
New Zealand	0.89	21
Lithuania	0.89	22
Australia	0.87	23
Poland	0.86	24
Netherlands	0.85	25
Croatia	0.85	26
Korea, Rep.	0.83	27
Latvia	0.83	28
United Kingdom	0.83	29
Romania	0.83	30
Kosovo	0.82	31
Spain	0.82	32
Rwanda	0.82	33
Belgium	0.81	34
Cyprus	0.81	35
China	0.81	36
Moldova	0.81	37
United States	0.81	38
Belarus	0.80	39
North Macedonia	0.79	40
Portugal	0.79	41
Kazakhstan	0.79	42
Georgia	0.79	43
Malaysia	0.78	44
Antigua and Barbuda	0.78	45
Barbados	0.78	46
Albania	0.78	47
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.78	48
Bulgaria	0.77	49
Vietnam	0.77	50
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.77	51
Benin	0.77	52
Serbia	0.77	53
Grenada	0.77	54
Kyrgyz Republic	0.76	55
Jordan	0.76	56
France	0.76	57
Mongolia	0.76	58
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.76	59
Mauritius	0.76	60
Italy	0.75	61
Algeria	0.75	62
Ukraine	0.75	63
Namibia	0.75	64
St. Lucia	0.75	65
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.74	66
Togo	0.74	67
The Bahamas	0.74	68
Dominica	0.74	69
Nepal	0.73	70
Ghana	0.72	71
Guinea	0.72	72
Nicaragua	0.71	73
Greece	0.71	74
Botswana	0.71	75
Thailand	0.71	76
Paraguay	0.71	77
Senegal	0.71	78
Madagascar	0.71	79
The Gambia	0.70	80
Belize	0.70	81
Uruguay	0.70	82
Turkey	0.70	83
Russian Federation	0.70	84
Malawi	0.69	85
Costa Rica	0.69	86
Tanzania	0.69	87
Indonesia	0.68	88
Côte d'Ivoire	0.68	89
Zambia	0.68	90
Morocco	0.68	91
El Salvador	0.67	92
Sierra Leone	0.67	93
Tunisia	0.67	94
Cambodia	0.67	95
Chile	0.67	96
Zimbabwe	0.67	97
Panama	0.67	98
Trinidad and Tobago	0.66	99
Honduras	0.65	100
Brazil	0.64	101
Suriname	0.64	102
Lebanon	0.64	103
Sudan	0.64	104
Mauritania	0.64	105
Myanmar	0.64	106
Dominican Republic	0.64	107
Jamaica	0.63	108
Congo, Rep.	0.63	109
Philippines	0.63	110
Bangladesh	0.63	111
Guyana	0.63	112
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.63	113
Ecuador	0.62	114
Argentina	0.62	115
Niger	0.62	116
Ethiopia	0.61	117
South Africa	0.61	118
Peru	0.61	119
Liberia	0.60	120
India	0.60	121
Uganda	0.59	122
Guatemala	0.59	123
Bolivia	0.59	124
Angola	0.57	125
Colombia	0.56	126
Sri Lanka	0.56	127
Burkina Faso	0.56	128
Kenya	0.55	129
Mexico	0.53	130
Haiti	0.53	131
Venezuela, RB	0.50	132
Mozambique	0.49	133
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.48	134
Mali	0.48	135
Cameroon	0.48	136
Pakistan	0.37	137
Nigeria	0.34	138
Afghanistan	0.30	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Regulatory Enforcement

Factor 6 measures the extent to which regulations are fairly and effectively implemented and enforced. Regulations, both legal and administrative, structure behaviors within and outside of the government. This factor does not assess which activities a government chooses to regulate, nor does it consider how much regulation of a particular activity is appropriate. Rather, it examines how regulations are implemented and enforced. For a further breakdown of Regulatory Enforcement by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.89	1
Norway	0.88	2
Finland	0.87	3
Singapore	0.86	4
New Zealand	0.86	5
Germany	0.86	6
Luxembourg	0.85	7
Netherlands	0.85	8
Sweden	0.84	9
Austria	0.82	10
Australia	0.81	11
Belgium	0.81	12
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.81	13
Ireland	0.81	14
Canada	0.80	15
United Kingdom	0.80	16
Estonia	0.79	17
Japan	0.79	18
France	0.76	19
Lithuania	0.74	20
Korea, Rep.	0.74	21
United States	0.71	22
Latvia	0.71	23
Uruguay	0.71	24
Czech Republic	0.71	25
United Arab Emirates	0.71	26
Spain	0.71	27
Costa Rica	0.67	28
Cyprus	0.65	29
Slovak Republic	0.65	30
Slovenia	0.65	31
Chile	0.64	32
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	33
Mauritius	0.62	34
Barbados	0.62	35
Italy	0.61	36
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.61	37
Poland	0.61	38
Portugal	0.61	39
Botswana	0.60	40
Rwanda	0.59	41
St. Lucia	0.59	42
Namibia	0.59	43
Malta	0.58	44
Romania	0.58	45
Malaysia	0.57	46
Croatia	0.57	47
Greece	0.57	48
Georgia	0.56	49
Grenada	0.56	50
Senegal	0.55	51
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.55	52
Jamaica	0.55	53
Indonesia	0.55	54
South Africa	0.55	55
Jordan	0.55	56
Ghana	0.54	57
Morocco	0.53	58
Dominica	0.53	59
Kazakhstan	0.52	60
Bulgaria	0.52	61
Colombia	0.52	62
Tunisia	0.52	63
Panama	0.51	64
Nepal	0.51	65
Trinidad and Tobago	0.51	66
The Bahamas	0.50	67
El Salvador	0.50	68
Côte d'Ivoire	0.50	69
Mongolia	0.50	70
Argentina	0.50	71
Sri Lanka	0.50	72
Togo	0.49	73
Burkina Faso	0.49	74
Brazil	0.49	75
China	0.49	76
Peru	0.48	77
India	0.48	78
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	79
Algeria	0.48	80
Russian Federation	0.48	81
Philippines	0.48	82
Mali	0.48	83
Ecuador	0.48	84
Congo, Rep.	0.48	85
Benin	0.48	86
Paraguay	0.47	87
Niger	0.47	88
Kosovo	0.47	89
North Macedonia	0.47	90
Malawi	0.47	91
Serbia	0.47	92
Belarus	0.46	93
Hungary	0.46	94
Thailand	0.46	95
Guyana	0.45	96
Suriname	0.45	97
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.45	98
Moldova	0.45	99
Myanmar	0.45	100
Tanzania	0.44	101
Ukraine	0.44	102
Vietnam	0.44	103
Uzbekistan	0.44	104
Mexico	0.44	105
Lebanon	0.44	106
Albania	0.43	107
Kenya	0.43	108
Kyrgyz Republic	0.43	109
Zambia	0.43	110
Nigeria	0.43	111
Angola	0.43	112
Liberia	0.42	113
Uganda	0.42	114
Guatemala	0.42	115
Belize	0.41	116
Dominican Republic	0.41	117
Bolivia	0.41	118
Turkey	0.41	119
Mozambique	0.40	120
Cameroon	0.40	121
Bangladesh	0.40	122
Pakistan	0.39	123
Nicaragua	0.39	124
Madagascar	0.38	125
Ethiopia	0.38	126
Honduras	0.37	127
The Gambia	0.37	128
Guinea	0.36	129
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.36	130
Afghanistan	0.36	131
Sierra Leone	0.36	132
Sudan	0.36	133
Zimbabwe	0.35	134
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	135
Haiti	0.31	136
Mauritania	0.28	137
Cambodia	0.27	138
Venezuela, RB	0.19	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Civil Justice

Factor 7 measures whether ordinary people can resolve their grievances peacefully and effectively through the civil justice system. It measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable as well as free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays and whether decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. For a further breakdown of Civil Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.86	1
Norway	0.85	2
Germany	0.84	3
Netherlands	0.83	4
Sweden	0.83	5
Finland	0.82	6
Estonia	0.80	7
Singapore	0.80	8
Luxembourg	0.79	9
New Zealand	0.78	10
Lithuania	0.78	11
Japan	0.77	12
Korea, Rep.	0.77	13
Belgium	0.76	14
Austria	0.75	15
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.75	16
Australia	0.74	17
Ireland	0.74	18
Uruguay	0.73	19
United Kingdom	0.71	20
France	0.70	21
Canada	0.70	22
St. Lucia	0.69	23
Antigua and Barbuda	0.68	24
Portugal	0.68	25
Czech Republic	0.67	26
Spain	0.67	27
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.67	28
Latvia	0.66	29
United Arab Emirates	0.66	30
Rwanda	0.66	31
Slovenia	0.65	32
Namibia	0.64	33
Malaysia	0.64	34
Barbados	0.64	35
Cyprus	0.63	36
Romania	0.63	37
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.63	38
Chile	0.63	39
Mauritius	0.63	40
United States	0.62	41
Kazakhstan	0.62	42
Grenada	0.61	43
Poland	0.61	44
Malta	0.61	45
Jordan	0.61	46
South Africa	0.61	47
Costa Rica	0.60	48
Botswana	0.60	49
Greece	0.60	50
Trinidad and Tobago	0.58	51
Belarus	0.57	52
Ghana	0.57	53
Slovak Republic	0.57	54
Argentina	0.57	55
Croatia	0.57	56
The Bahamas	0.57	57
Dominica	0.56	58
Italy	0.56	59
Malawi	0.56	60
Senegal	0.56	61
Algeria	0.55	62
Mongolia	0.55	63
Ukraine	0.54	64
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.54	65
Bulgaria	0.54	66
Georgia	0.54	67
Jamaica	0.53	68
Morocco	0.53	69
Russian Federation	0.53	70
North Macedonia	0.53	71
Guyana	0.53	72
Belize	0.52	73
China	0.52	74
Brazil	0.52	75
El Salvador	0.50	76
The Gambia	0.50	77
Uzbekistan	0.50	78
Tunisia	0.50	79
Côte d'Ivoire	0.50	80
Moldova	0.50	81
Serbia	0.50	82
Ecuador	0.49	83
Togo	0.49	84
Suriname	0.48	85
Nigeria	0.48	86
Tanzania	0.48	87
Thailand	0.48	88
Kosovo	0.48	89
Kyrgyz Republic	0.47	90
Colombia	0.47	91
Albania	0.47	92
Panama	0.47	93
Kenya	0.47	94
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	95
Nepal	0.46	96
Zimbabwe	0.46	97
Vietnam	0.46	98
Zambia	0.46	99
Burkina Faso	0.46	100
Philippines	0.45	101
Hungary	0.45	102
Sri Lanka	0.45	103
Niger	0.45	104
Indonesia	0.45	105
Madagascar	0.45	106
Paraguay	0.44	107
Mozambique	0.44	108
Congo, Rep.	0.44	109
India	0.44	110
Dominican Republic	0.44	111
Angola	0.44	112
Turkey	0.43	113
Peru	0.43	114
Ethiopia	0.43	115
Liberia	0.43	116
Lebanon	0.42	117
Sierra Leone	0.42	118
Mali	0.42	119
Benin	0.42	120
Uganda	0.41	121
Haiti	0.41	122
Guinea	0.41	123
Pakistan	0.40	124
Sudan	0.40	125
Honduras	0.40	126
Cameroon	0.39	127
Mauritania	0.39	128
Bangladesh	0.38	129
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.37	130
Mexico	0.37	131
Nicaragua	0.36	132
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.36	133
Guatemala	0.36	134
Afghanistan	0.35	135
Myanmar	0.35	136
Bolivia	0.33	137
Venezuela, RB	0.27	138
Cambodia	0.25	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Criminal Justice

Factor 8 evaluates a country's criminal justice system. An effective criminal justice system is a key aspect of the rule of law, as it constitutes the conventional mechanism to redress grievances and bring action against individuals for offenses against society. An assessment of the delivery of criminal justice should take into consideration the entire system, including the police, lawyers, prosecutors, judges, and prison officers. For a further breakdown of Criminal Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 19.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.85	1
Finland	0.84	2
Denmark	0.83	3
Austria	0.80	4
Sweden	0.80	5
Germany	0.79	6
Singapore	0.78	7
Japan	0.77	8
Netherlands	0.75	9
New Zealand	0.74	10
Canada	0.73	11
Luxembourg	0.73	12
Ireland	0.72	13
Australia	0.72	14
Estonia	0.72	15
United Kingdom	0.71	16
Czech Republic	0.71	17
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.71	18
Korea, Rep.	0.71	19
Cyprus	0.70	20
Belgium	0.70	21
Lithuania	0.68	22
United Arab Emirates	0.67	23
Spain	0.67	24
Latvia	0.65	25
Italy	0.64	26
Malta	0.63	27
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	28
France	0.61	29
United States	0.60	30
The Bahamas	0.60	31
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.59	32
Portugal	0.59	33
Poland	0.58	34
Botswana	0.58	35
Barbados	0.58	36
Slovak Republic	0.57	37
Costa Rica	0.57	38
Uruguay	0.56	39
Dominica	0.56	40
Jordan	0.56	41
Slovenia	0.55	42
Namibia	0.55	43
Chile	0.55	44
Malaysia	0.55	45
Mauritius	0.54	46
St. Lucia	0.54	47
Rwanda	0.53	48
Antigua and Barbuda	0.53	49
Romania	0.53	50
Georgia	0.53	51
Suriname	0.52	52
South Africa	0.52	53
Grenada	0.50	54
Greece	0.50	55
Croatia	0.49	56
Mongolia	0.49	57
Burkina Faso	0.49	58
Jamaica	0.48	59
Senegal	0.47	60
Kosovo	0.47	61
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.46	62
Ghana	0.46	63
Hungary	0.46	64
Vietnam	0.46	65
North Macedonia	0.45	66
Uzbekistan	0.45	67
Kazakhstan	0.45	68
China	0.45	69
Malawi	0.44	70
Bulgaria	0.44	71
Nepal	0.44	72
Sri Lanka	0.43	73
Belarus	0.43	74
Thailand	0.43	75
Algeria	0.43	76
Argentina	0.42	77
Benin	0.42	78
Tunisia	0.42	79
Albania	0.40	80
Zambia	0.40	81
Guyana	0.40	82
Nigeria	0.40	83
Serbia	0.39	84
Kenya	0.39	85
India	0.39	86
The Gambia	0.39	87
Moldova	0.39	88
Tanzania	0.38	89
Indonesia	0.38	90
Ukraine	0.37	91
Sudan	0.37	92
Belize	0.37	93
Zimbabwe	0.37	94
Niger	0.37	95
Dominican Republic	0.36	96
Togo	0.36	97
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.36	98
Morocco	0.36	99
Sierra Leone	0.36	100
Ecuador	0.36	101
Côte d'Ivoire	0.36	102
Turkey	0.36	103
Lebanon	0.35	104
Congo, Rep.	0.35	105
Ethiopia	0.35	106
Angola	0.35	107
Pakistan	0.35	108
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.34	109
Panama	0.33	110
Madagascar	0.33	111
Brazil	0.33	112
Uganda	0.32	113
Mozambique	0.32	114
Peru	0.32	115
Trinidad and Tobago	0.32	116
Bangladesh	0.32	117
Kyrgyz Republic	0.32	118
Colombia	0.32	119
Philippines	0.31	120
Russian Federation	0.31	121
Liberia	0.31	122
Afghanistan	0.31	123
Guinea	0.31	124
El Salvador	0.30	125
Mali	0.29	126
Guatemala	0.29	127
Mauritania	0.29	128
Mexico	0.29	129
Haiti	0.28	130
Nicaragua	0.28	131
Paraguay	0.28	132
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.27	133
Myanmar	0.26	134
Cambodia	0.25	135
Honduras	0.25	136
Cameroon	0.25	137
Bolivia	0.22	138
Venezuela, RB	0.12	139



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

"The Law of Nations, however, is common to the entire human race, for all nations have established for themselves certain regulations exacted by custom and human necessity."

Corpus Juris Civilis

Country Profiles



3

38 How to Read the Country Profiles
39 Country Profiles

How to Read the Country Profiles

This section presents profiles for the 139 countries and jurisdictions included in the *WJP Rule of Law Index® 2021* report. Each profile presents the featured country's scores for each of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*'s factors and sub-factors, and draws comparisons between the scores of the featured country and the scores of other indexed countries in the same regional and income groups.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score (strong adherence to rule of law) and 0 signifies the lowest possible score (weak adherence to rule of law). The country profiles consist of four sections, outlined below.

Displays the country's overall rule of law score; its overall regional, income, and global ranks; and its change in score and rank from the 2020 edition of the Index.

Section 1



Section 2

Displays the featured country's individual factor scores, along with its regional, income, and global group rankings. The regional, income, and global rankings are distributed across three tiers — low, medium, and high — as indicated by the color of the box where the score is found.

Section 4

Presents the individual sub-factor scores underlying each of the factors listed in Section 3 of the country profile.

Each of the 44 sub-factors is represented by a gray line drawn from the center to the periphery of the circle. The center of the circle corresponds to the worst possible score for each sub-factor (0), and the outer edge of the circle marks the best possible score for each sub-factor (1).

The featured country's scores for 2021 are represented by the purple line. The featured country's scores for 2020 are represented by the gray line.

Section 3

Displays the country's disaggregated scores for each of the sub-factors that compose the *WJP Rule of Law Index*.

The featured country's score is represented by the purple bar and labeled at the end of the bar. The average score of the country's region is represented by the orange line. The average score of the country's income group is represented by the green line.

Afghanistan

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.35	6/6	17/18	134/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	-0.01	5/6	10/18	110/139
Absence of Corruption	0.30	-0.01	6/6	13/18	127/139
Open Government	0.39	-0.02	6/6	8/18	108/139
Fundamental Rights	0.40	-0.01	4/6	15/18	120/139
Order and Security	0.30	0.01	6/6	18/18	139/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.01	6/6	14/18	131/139
Civil Justice	0.35	-0.03	6/6	18/18	135/139
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	6/6	14/18	123/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Afghanistan South Asia Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.35
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.33

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.18
2.3	In the police/military	0.42
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.23
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.54
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.32
4.2	Right to life and security	0.28
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.38
4.6	Right to privacy	0.32
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.44

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.46
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.04
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.31
6.2	No improper influence	0.40
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.27
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.39

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.14
7.3	No corruption	0.16
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.30
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.47

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.31
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.23
8.5	No corruption	0.27
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.29
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Albania

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	9/14	24/40	83/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	-0.02	9/14	33/40	109/139
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.00	11/14	34/40	105/139
Open Government	0.47	0.00	8/14	25/40	82/139
Fundamental Rights	0.58	-0.01	6/14	17/40	66/139
Order and Security	0.78	-0.01	8/14	8/40	47/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.01	12/14	35/40	107/139
Civil Justice	0.47	0.00	12/14	30/40	92/139
Criminal Justice	0.40	-0.02	8/14	23/40	80/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Albania Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3	Independent auditing	0.48
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.33
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.22

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.45
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.43
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life and security	0.69
4.3	Due process of law	0.52
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.77
4.6	Right to privacy	0.56
4.7	Freedom of association	0.50
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.46

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.46
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4	Respect for due process	0.33
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.40

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.52
7.3	No corruption	0.29
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.37
8.4	No discrimination	0.52
8.5	No corruption	0.34
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.52

Algeria

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	4/8	10/35	82/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	8 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	-0.01	5/8	14/35	88/139
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.01	4/8	5/35	74/139
Open Government	0.35	0.01	5/8	30/35	127/139
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.02	5/8	16/35	106/139
Order and Security	0.75	0.01	3/8	7/35	62/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.02	5/8	11/35	80/139
Civil Justice	0.55	-0.01	3/8	3/35	62/139
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.01	3/8	8/35	76/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Algeria Middle East and North Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	Independent auditing	0.51
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.47

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.56
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.23
3.2	Right to information	0.35
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life and security	0.54
4.3	Due process of law	0.50
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.18
4.6	Right to privacy	0.44
4.7	Freedom of association	0.41
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.65
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.61

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.41
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.61
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.75
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.27
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4	No discrimination	0.59
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.50

Angola

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	21/33	22/35	114/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	4▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	0.01	21/33	22/35	107/139
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.01	9/33	8/35	79/139
Open Government	0.39	0.02	16/33	21/35	109/139
Fundamental Rights	0.39	0.00	28/33	27/35	124/139
Order and Security	0.57	-0.03*	26/33	30/35	125/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.02	20/33	25/35	112/139
Civil Justice	0.44	-0.01	22/33	24/35	112/139
Criminal Justice	0.35	-0.01	24/33	22/35	107/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Angola Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.41
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.44

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.35
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.48
2.4	In the legislature	0.38

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.15
3.2	Right to information	0.41
3.3	Civic participation	0.39
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.47
4.2	Right to life and security	0.31
4.3	Due process of law	0.32
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.49
4.6	Right to privacy	0.21
4.7	Freedom of association	0.43
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.48
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.30

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4	Respect for due process	0.49
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.42

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.49
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.22
8.4	No discrimination	0.33
8.5	No corruption	0.51
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.34
8.7	Due process of law	0.32

Antigua and Barbuda

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	6/32	37/46	39/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.01	7/32	37/46	44/139
Absence of Corruption	0.59	-0.01	11/32	38/46	45/139
Open Government	0.54	0.02	13/32	40/46	57/139
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.01	6/32	32/46	34/139
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	1/32	35/46	45/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.63	0.03	4/32	32/46	33/139
Civil Justice	0.68	0.02	3/32	23/46	24/139
Criminal Justice	0.53	-0.03	10/32	40/46	49/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Antigua and Barbuda Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.56
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.76

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.55
2.2	In the judiciary	0.80
2.3	In the police/military	0.70
2.4	In the legislature	0.32

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.60
3.3	Civic participation	0.64
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.72
4.2	Right to life and security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.61
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.71
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.84

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.54

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.83
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.56
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.74

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.74
7.2	No discrimination	0.69
7.3	No corruption	0.77
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.78
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.79

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.44
8.4	No discrimination	0.47
8.5	No corruption	0.65
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.55
8.7	Due process of law	0.61

Argentina

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.56	13/32	12/40	56/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.57	-0.04*	12/32	10/40	57/139
Absence of Corruption	0.48	-0.03*	14/32	15/40	63/139
Open Government	0.62	-0.02	5/32	4/40	36/139
Fundamental Rights	0.69	-0.01	8/32	3/40	36/139
Order and Security	0.62	0.00	25/32	34/40	115/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	-0.03*	17/32	17/40	71/139
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.03*	11/32	12/40	55/139
Criminal Justice	0.42	-0.01	14/32	22/40	77/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Argentina Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	Independent auditing	0.60
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.76

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.64
2.3	In the police/military	0.60
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.68
3.2	Right to information	0.50
3.3	Civic participation	0.65
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life and security	0.82
4.3	Due process of law	0.57
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.66
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.65

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.56
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.29

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.48
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.51

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.74
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.55
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.58
8.5	No corruption	0.46
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.37
8.7	Due process of law	0.57

Australia

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	2/15	13/46	13/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.81	-0.01	2/15	15/46	15/139
Absence of Corruption	0.81	-0.01	5/15	15/46	15/139
Open Government	0.80	-0.01	2/15	8/46	8/139
Fundamental Rights	0.78	-0.02	3/15	19/46	20/139
Order and Security	0.87	0.01	5/15	22/46	23/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	3/15	11/46	11/139
Civil Justice	0.74	-0.02	6/15	17/46	17/139
Criminal Justice	0.72	-0.01	4/15	14/46	14/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Australia East Asia and Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.83
1.3	Independent auditing	0.76
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.75
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.77
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.92

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.77
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.90
2.4	In the legislature	0.59

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.90
3.2	Right to information	0.68
3.3	Civic participation	0.77
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.86

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life and security	0.89
4.3	Due process of law	0.77
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.77
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.81
4.7	Freedom of association	0.80
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.72

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
6.2	No improper influence	0.90
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.74
6.4	Respect for due process	0.86
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.85

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.64
7.3	No corruption	0.87
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.65
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.75
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.66
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.69
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.63
8.4	No discrimination	0.57
8.5	No corruption	0.85
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.90
8.7	Due process of law	0.77

Austria

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	8/31	9/46	9/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	7/31	8/46	8/139
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	10/31	14/46	14/139
Open Government	0.70	-0.01	17/31	22/46	22/139
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	5/31	5/46	5/139
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	8/31	12/46	12/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.82	-0.02	8/31	10/46	10/139
Civil Justice	0.75	-0.02	11/31	15/46	15/139
Criminal Justice	0.80	0.00	4/31	4/46	4/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Austria EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.83
1.3	Independent auditing	0.81
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.81
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.84
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.96

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.78
2.2	In the judiciary	0.93
2.3	In the police/military	0.93
2.4	In the legislature	0.63

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.70
3.2	Right to information	0.63
3.3	Civic participation	0.80
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.69

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.73
4.2	Right to life and security	0.95
4.3	Due process of law	0.85
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.84
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	Right to privacy	0.95
4.7	Freedom of association	0.88
4.8	Labor rights	0.81

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.81

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.86
6.2	No improper influence	0.93
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.68
6.4	Respect for due process	0.81
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.81

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.87
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.84
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.65
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.80
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.68
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.81
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.80
8.4	No discrimination	0.71
8.5	No corruption	0.87
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.90
8.7	Due process of law	0.85

The Bahamas

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	9/32	42/46	47/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.02	6/32	35/46	41/139
Absence of Corruption	0.64	0.01	8/32	36/46	41/139
Open Government	0.52	0.01	17/32	42/46	63/139
Fundamental Rights	0.68	0.02	9/32	34/46	37/139
Order and Security	0.74	-0.01	7/32	41/46	68/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	0.00	15/32	45/46	67/139
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.01	12/32	43/46	57/139
Criminal Justice	0.60	-0.02	2/32	30/46	31/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

The Bahamas Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.63
1.3	Independent auditing	0.51
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.80

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.55
2.2	In the judiciary	0.82
2.3	In the police/military	0.77
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.32
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.72
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.58

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.82
4.3	Due process of law	0.57
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.62
4.7	Freedom of association	0.80
4.8	Labor rights	0.65

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.46

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	No improper influence	0.65
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.52

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.70
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.60
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.44
8.4	No discrimination	0.51
8.5	No corruption	0.77
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.80
8.7	Due process of law	0.57

Bangladesh

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.40	4/6	25/35	124/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	6/6	28/35	125/139
Absence of Corruption	0.35	-0.01	4/6	21/35	112/139
Open Government	0.42	-0.01	5/6	19/35	102/139
Fundamental Rights	0.31	-0.02	6/6	33/35	134/139
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	2/6	26/35	111/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	-0.01	4/6	28/35	122/139
Civil Justice	0.38	0.00	5/6	30/35	129/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.02	5/6	25/35	117/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Bangladesh South Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.47
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.26
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.30

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	In the police/military	0.29
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.54
3.3	Civic participation	0.31
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.47

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.42
4.2	Right to life and security	0.16
4.3	Due process of law	0.26
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.26
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.48
4.6	Right to privacy	0.11
4.7	Freedom of association	0.33
4.8	Labor rights	0.44

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.21

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.40
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.27
6.4	Respect for due process	0.35
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.33
7.3	No corruption	0.36
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.19
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.38
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.37
8.4	No discrimination	0.21
8.5	No corruption	0.34
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.26

Barbados

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	4/32	34/46	35/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.64	0.02	4/32	34/46	38/139
Absence of Corruption	0.69	-0.01	4/32	28/46	29/139
Open Government	0.53	0.01	15/32	41/46	60/139
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	3/32	28/46	29/139
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	2/32	36/46	46/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	0.02	5/32	34/46	35/139
Civil Justice	0.64	-0.01	5/32	31/46	35/139
Criminal Justice	0.58	0.00	4/32	34/46	36/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Barbados Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.72
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.72
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.82

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.63
2.2	In the judiciary	0.90
2.3	In the police/military	0.81
2.4	In the legislature	0.40

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.71
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life and security	0.81
4.3	Due process of law	0.56
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.75
4.7	Freedom of association	0.79
4.8	Labor rights	0.74

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.78
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.68
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.66

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.75
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.81
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.47
8.5	No corruption	0.78
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7	Due process of law	0.56

Belarus

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	11/14	32/40	97/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.04 ▼	-21 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.27	-0.08*	14/14	39/40	136/139
Absence of Corruption	0.51	-0.03*	2/14	13/40	57/139
Open Government	0.33	-0.03*	14/14	38/40	130/139
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.06*	13/14	36/40	116/139
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	4/14	3/40	39/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	-0.04*	8/14	28/40	93/139
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.03*	2/14	11/40	52/139
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.04	7/14	20/40	74/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Belarus Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.26
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.25
1.3	Independent auditing	0.28
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.21
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.21

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.71
2.3	In the police/military	0.63
2.4	In the legislature	0.29

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.22
3.2	Right to information	0.32
3.3	Civic participation	0.29
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life and security	0.42
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.21
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6	Right to privacy	0.21
4.7	Freedom of association	0.33
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.52

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.55
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.57
6.4	Respect for due process	0.27
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.32

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.71
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.21
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.43
8.4	No discrimination	0.52
8.5	No corruption	0.53
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.07
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Belgium

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	12/31	14/46	14/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	9/31	10/46	10/139
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	11/31	16/46	16/139
Open Government	0.78	0.02	12/31	14/46	14/139
Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	9/31	9/46	9/139
Order and Security	0.81	0.00	24/31	31/46	34/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	0.01	9/31	12/46	12/139
Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	10/31	14/46	14/139
Criminal Justice	0.70	-0.01	15/31	21/46	21/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Belgium EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.78
1.3	Independent auditing	0.89
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.76
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.80
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.90

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.79
2.2	In the judiciary	0.94
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.61

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.71
3.2	Right to information	0.70
3.3	Civic participation	0.81
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.91

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.80
4.2	Right to life and security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.78
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.80
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.85
4.8	Labor rights	0.82

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.68

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.76
6.2	No improper influence	0.89
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.70
6.4	Respect for due process	0.77
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.93

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.84
7.3	No corruption	0.83
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.87
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.81
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.58
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.65
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.65
8.5	No corruption	0.82
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7	Due process of law	0.78

Belize

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	22/32	29/40	93/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	0.00	27/32	31/40	105/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.01	18/32	26/40	85/139
Open Government	0.44	-0.01	25/32	30/40	94/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	0.01	23/32	25/40	83/139
Order and Security	0.70	0.00	11/32	22/40	81/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.02	26/32	37/40	116/139
Civil Justice	0.52	0.01	16/32	21/40	73/139
Criminal Justice	0.37	0.01	16/32	27/40	93/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Belize Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.24
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.23
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.61

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.64
2.3	In the police/military	0.54
2.4	In the legislature	0.18

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.39
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.52
4.2	Right to life and security	0.64
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6	Right to privacy	0.44
4.7	Freedom of association	0.56
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.54
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.24
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.61
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.52
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.33
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.22
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.50
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.47
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Benin

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	11/33	14/35	91/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.03	12/33	10/35	78/139
Absence of Corruption	0.38	0.00	17/33	16/35	103/139
Open Government	0.39	-0.02	17/33	22/35	110/139
Fundamental Rights	0.53	-0.02	9/33	8/35	78/139
Order and Security	0.77	0.01	2/33	4/35	52/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	-0.03	13/33	14/35	86/139
Civil Justice	0.42	0.00	27/33	25/35	120/139
Criminal Justice	0.42	-0.01	10/33	9/35	78/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Benin Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.44
2.3	In the police/military	0.46
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.30
3.2	Right to information	0.33
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.41

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.69
4.2	Right to life and security	0.43
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.58
4.8	Labor rights	0.60

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.98
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.53

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4	Respect for due process	0.39
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.36
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.36
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.64
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.32
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Bolivia

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	29/32	29/35	129/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	0.01	29/32	27/35	124/139
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.01	31/32	32/35	131/139
Open Government	0.43	0.00	27/32	16/35	98/139
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	28/32	13/35	100/139
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	28/32	29/35	124/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	0.00	28/32	26/35	118/139
Civil Justice	0.33	0.01	31/32	34/35	137/139
Criminal Justice	0.22	0.00	31/32	35/35	138/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Bolivia Latin America and Caribbean Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.38
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.29
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.47

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.20
2.3	In the police/military	0.29
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.41
3.3	Civic participation	0.48
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.39
4.2	Right to life and security	0.43
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.55
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.58
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.19

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.47
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.20
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.43

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.30
7.3	No corruption	0.23
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.21
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.14
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.20
8.4	No discrimination	0.29
8.5	No corruption	0.24
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.12
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	5/14	19/40	72/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	6/14	29/40	98/139
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.01	9/14	27/40	87/139
Open Government	0.47	0.00	9/14	26/40	83/139
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	5/14	15/40	61/139
Order and Security	0.78	0.01	9/14	9/40	48/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	-0.01	3/14	21/40	79/139
Civil Justice	0.47	-0.01	13/14	31/40	95/139
Criminal Justice	0.46	-0.02	3/14	15/40	62/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bosnia and Herzegovina | Eastern Europe and Central Asia | Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.44
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.42
3.2	Right to information	0.48
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life and security	0.67
4.3	Due process of law	0.62
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.44
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.54
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.85
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.63

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.54
7.3	No corruption	0.45
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.44
8.4	No discrimination	0.46
8.5	No corruption	0.47
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.29
8.7	Due process of law	0.62

Botswana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	4/33	7/40	51/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	-0.02	5/33	8/40	49/139
Absence of Corruption	0.58	-0.01	2/33	8/40	47/139
Open Government	0.48	-0.02	8/33	24/40	81/139
Fundamental Rights	0.58	-0.01	7/33	16/40	65/139
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	8/33	19/40	75/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	-0.01	2/33	2/40	40/139
Civil Justice	0.60	-0.01	5/33	10/40	49/139
Criminal Justice	0.58	-0.01	1/33	2/40	35/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Botswana Sub-Saharan Africa Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.62
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.54
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.54
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.73
2.4	In the legislature	0.29

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2	Right to information	0.51
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.58

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2	Right to life and security	0.64
4.3	Due process of law	0.54
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.66
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.66
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.73
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4	Respect for due process	0.59
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.71

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.69
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.64
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4	No discrimination	0.64
8.5	No corruption	0.71
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.67
8.7	Due process of law	0.54

Brazil

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.50	16/32	20/40	77/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.02*	20/32	19/40	76/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.01	17/32	24/40	80/139
Open Government	0.60	-0.01	6/32	5/40	41/139
Fundamental Rights	0.48	-0.03*	27/32	31/40	95/139
Order and Security	0.64	0.01	19/32	27/40	101/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.02*	18/32	18/40	75/139
Civil Justice	0.52	-0.02	17/32	23/40	75/139
Criminal Justice	0.33	-0.01	20/32	33/40	112/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Brazil Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.65
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.62
1.3	Independent auditing	0.39
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.61

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.38
2.2	In the judiciary	0.71
2.3	In the police/military	0.58
2.4	In the legislature	0.06

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.71
3.2	Right to information	0.61
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life and security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.49
4.7	Freedom of association	0.58
4.8	Labor rights	0.45

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.53
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.40

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.27
6.4	Respect for due process	0.43
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.63

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.48
7.3	No corruption	0.65
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.56
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.28
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.25
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.17
8.4	No discrimination	0.14
8.5	No corruption	0.54
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

Bulgaria

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	30/31	15/40	62/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	30/31	26/40	95/139
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	31/31	23/40	76/139
Open Government	0.56	0.00	30/31	11/40	54/139
Fundamental Rights	0.60	-0.01	30/31	12/40	57/139
Order and Security	0.77	0.01	28/31	10/40	49/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	-0.01	30/31	15/40	61/139
Civil Justice	0.54	-0.02	30/31	15/40	66/139
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.01	31/31	19/40	71/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bulgaria EU, EFTA, and North America Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.52

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.58
2.3	In the police/military	0.63
2.4	In the legislature	0.14

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.55
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life and security	0.66
4.3	Due process of law	0.55
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.66
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.49

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.65
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4	Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.49
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.37
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4	No discrimination	0.52
8.5	No corruption	0.49
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.35
8.7	Due process of law	0.55

Burkina Faso

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.50	9/33	3/18	75/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.57	0.01	10/33	4/18	61/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.01	11/33	5/18	83/139
Open Government	0.48	0.01	7/33	2/18	80/139
Fundamental Rights	0.56	-0.01	8/33	2/18	69/139
Order and Security	0.56	-0.07*	27/33	13/18	128/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.01	10/33	3/18	74/139
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.01	17/33	5/18	100/139
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.00	6/33	2/18	58/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Burkina Faso Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.54
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.66

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.49
2.3	In the police/military	0.55
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.51
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.67
4.2	Right to life and security	0.50
4.3	Due process of law	0.49
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.34
4.7	Freedom of association	0.65
4.8	Labor rights	0.54

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.71
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.54
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.35
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.45
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.43
8.4	No discrimination	0.58
8.5	No corruption	0.48
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	Due process of law	0.49

Cambodia

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.32	15/15	35/35	138/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.28	-0.01	15/15	33/35	135/139
Absence of Corruption	0.23	0.00	15/15	35/35	138/139
Open Government	0.26	-0.02	15/15	34/35	138/139
Fundamental Rights	0.33	-0.02	13/15	32/35	131/139
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	13/15	19/35	95/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.27	0.00	15/15	35/35	138/139
Civil Justice	0.25	0.00	15/15	35/35	139/139
Criminal Justice	0.25	-0.01	15/15	32/35	135/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Cambodia East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.39
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.25
1.3	Independent auditing	0.21
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.25
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.29
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.29

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.27
2.2	In the judiciary	0.14
2.3	In the police/military	0.22
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.22
3.3	Civic participation	0.32
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.29

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.44
4.2	Right to life and security	0.26
4.3	Due process of law	0.26
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.29
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.43
4.6	Right to privacy	0.12
4.7	Freedom of association	0.38
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.18

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.25
6.2	No improper influence	0.25
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.13
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.29

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.35
7.2	No discrimination	0.21
7.3	No corruption	0.12
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.16
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.25
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.41

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.22
8.5	No corruption	0.16
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.14
8.7	Due process of law	0.26

Cameroon

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.35	32/33	33/35	135/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	31/33	29/35	127/139
Absence of Corruption	0.25	-0.01	32/33	34/35	137/139
Open Government	0.32	-0.02	30/33	31/35	132/139
Fundamental Rights	0.36	0.00	30/33	29/35	127/139
Order and Security	0.48	0.00	32/33	33/35	136/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	-0.01	24/33	27/35	121/139
Civil Justice	0.39	-0.02	31/33	28/35	127/139
Criminal Justice	0.25	0.01	33/33	34/35	137/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Cameroon Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.39
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.29
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.36
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.36

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.27
2.2	In the judiciary	0.28
2.3	In the police/military	0.25
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.32
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life and security	0.18
4.3	Due process of law	0.32
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.36
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6	Right to privacy	0.08
4.7	Freedom of association	0.45
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.53
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.46
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.28
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.47

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.44
7.3	No corruption	0.29
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.23
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.30
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.27
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.18
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.23
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.07
8.7	Due process of law	0.32

Canada

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.80	11/31	12/46	12/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	12/31	13/46	13/139
Absence of Corruption	0.82	-0.01	8/31	11/46	11/139
Open Government	0.80	-0.01	9/31	11/46	11/139
Fundamental Rights	0.82	0.00	12/31	13/46	13/139
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	10/31	14/46	14/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.80	-0.01	11/31	15/46	15/139
Civil Justice	0.70	-0.01	15/31	22/46	22/139
Criminal Justice	0.73	-0.01	8/31	11/46	11/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Canada EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.78
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.85
1.3	Independent auditing	0.75
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.76
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.84
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.95

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.78
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.68

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.87
3.2	Right to information	0.66
3.3	Civic participation	0.84
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.81

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life and security	0.93
4.3	Due process of law	0.77
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.84
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	Right to privacy	0.89
4.7	Freedom of association	0.87
4.8	Labor rights	0.74

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.80

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.77
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.66
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.76

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.56
7.3	No corruption	0.89
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.72
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.69
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.67
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.67
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.85
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.94
8.7	Due process of law	0.77

Chile

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	3/32	31/46	32/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/32	23/46	24/139
Absence of Corruption	0.69	0.00	2/32	27/46	27/139
Open Government	0.70	-0.01	2/32	23/46	23/139
Fundamental Rights	0.72	-0.01	4/32	30/46	31/139
Order and Security	0.67	-0.01	15/32	44/46	96/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.64	-0.01	3/32	31/46	32/139
Civil Justice	0.63	0.00	7/32	34/46	39/139
Criminal Justice	0.55	-0.01	8/32	38/46	44/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Chile Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.70
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.84
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.56
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.72
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.88

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.62
2.2	In the judiciary	0.85
2.3	In the police/military	0.81
2.4	In the legislature	0.48

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.66
3.2	Right to information	0.71
3.3	Civic participation	0.68
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.75

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.62
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.77
4.6	Right to privacy	0.82
4.7	Freedom of association	0.74
4.8	Labor rights	0.71

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.74
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.26

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.77
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.54
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.77

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.64
7.3	No corruption	0.65
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.69
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.68
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.62

China

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/15	33/40	98/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.31	-0.01	14/15	37/40	133/139
Absence of Corruption	0.53	0.00	8/15	11/40	55/139
Open Government	0.38	-0.04	13/15	36/40	111/139
Fundamental Rights	0.27	-0.02	14/15	39/40	136/139
Order and Security	0.81	0.03	7/15	2/40	36/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.01	10/15	19/40	76/139
Civil Justice	0.52	-0.02	9/15	22/40	74/139
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	10/15	18/40	69/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

China East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.35
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.28
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.11
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.24

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.52
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.67
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.46
3.2	Right to information	0.48
3.3	Civic participation	0.18
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life and security	0.33
4.3	Due process of law	0.52
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.11
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.16
4.6	Right to privacy	0.14
4.7	Freedom of association	0.11
4.8	Labor rights	0.31

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.62

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.61
6.4	Respect for due process	0.33
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.37

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.42
7.3	No corruption	0.43
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.19
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.72
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.36
8.5	No corruption	0.56
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.19
8.7	Due process of law	0.52

Colombia

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	19/32	26/40	86/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	18/32	16/40	72/139
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.00	22/32	33/40	101/139
Open Government	0.62	-0.01	4/32	3/40	35/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.03*	24/32	27/40	87/139
Order and Security	0.56	0.00	29/32	38/40	126/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.00	12/32	16/40	62/139
Civil Justice	0.47	-0.02	21/32	29/40	91/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.02	23/32	35/40	119/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Colombia Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3	Independent auditing	0.51
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.61

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.10

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.65
3.2	Right to information	0.58
3.3	Civic participation	0.55
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.70

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life and security	0.48
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	Right to privacy	0.49
4.7	Freedom of association	0.59
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.49
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.26

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.64
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.47
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.46
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.19
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.30
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.21
8.4	No discrimination	0.27
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.43
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Congo, Dem. Rep.

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.35	33/33	18/18	137/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	0.01	23/33	12/18	112/139
Absence of Corruption	0.16	0.00	33/33	18/18	139/139
Open Government	0.33	-0.01	28/33	16/18	129/139
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.01	23/33	13/18	115/139
Order and Security	0.48	0.00	30/33	16/18	134/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.35	0.00	32/33	17/18	135/139
Civil Justice	0.36	0.01	33/33	17/18	133/139
Criminal Justice	0.27	0.01	32/33	18/18	133/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Congo, Dem. Rep. Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.38
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.41

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.23
2.2	In the judiciary	0.16
2.3	In the police/military	0.19
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.16
3.2	Right to information	0.33
3.3	Civic participation	0.41
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.43

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.55
4.2	Right to life and security	0.19
4.3	Due process of law	0.32
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6	Right to privacy	0.19
4.7	Freedom of association	0.50
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.56
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.56
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.33
6.2	No improper influence	0.35
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.35

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.36
7.2	No discrimination	0.47
7.3	No corruption	0.16
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.22
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.16
8.4	No discrimination	0.42
8.5	No corruption	0.19
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.32

Congo, Rep.

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	24/33	23/35	118/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.38	–	28/33	24/35	120/139
Absence of Corruption	0.31	–	26/33	29/35	124/139
Open Government	0.36	–	27/33	28/35	125/139
Fundamental Rights	0.40	–	27/33	25/35	122/139
Order and Security	0.63	–	20/33	24/35	109/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	–	12/33	13/35	85/139
Civil Justice	0.44	–	21/33	22/35	109/139
Criminal Justice	0.35	–	22/33	21/35	105/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Congo, Rep. Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.41
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.28
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.41
2.3	In the police/military	0.29
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.44
3.3	Civic participation	0.37
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.43

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.50
4.2	Right to life and security	0.21
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.28
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6	Right to privacy	0.11
4.7	Freedom of association	0.44
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.55
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.35

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.47
6.2	No improper influence	0.48
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.49
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.32
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.38
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.48

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.24
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.34
8.4	No discrimination	0.45
8.5	No corruption	0.32
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Costa Rica

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	2/32	1/40	31/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	0.00	1/32	1/40	17/139
Absence of Corruption	0.65	-0.01	6/32	4/40	37/139
Open Government	0.70	-0.01	3/32	1/40	26/139
Fundamental Rights	0.78	-0.01	2/32	1/40	19/139
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	13/32	25/40	86/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.67	0.00	2/32	1/40	28/139
Civil Justice	0.60	-0.02	9/32	9/40	48/139
Criminal Justice	0.57	0.00	5/32	3/40	38/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Costa Rica Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.75
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.68
1.3	Independent auditing	0.80
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.61
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.82
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.64
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.75
2.4	In the legislature	0.42

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.48
3.2	Right to information	0.75
3.3	Civic participation	0.77
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.78

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.69
4.2	Right to life and security	0.91
4.3	Due process of law	0.72
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.82
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.83
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	Labor rights	0.60

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.66
6.2	No improper influence	0.75
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.64
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.80

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.67
7.2	No discrimination	0.73
7.3	No corruption	0.70
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.37
8.4	No discrimination	0.70
8.5	No corruption	0.67
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.68
8.7	Due process of law	0.72

Côte d'Ivoire

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	14/33	19/35	105/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.38	-0.03*	29/33	26/35	123/139
Absence of Corruption	0.35	-0.01	21/33	22/35	113/139
Open Government	0.37	-0.01	22/33	25/35	119/139
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.01	20/33	17/35	108/139
Order and Security	0.68	0.00	14/33	14/35	89/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	-0.02	8/33	7/35	69/139
Civil Justice	0.50	-0.04*	10/33	10/35	80/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	21/33	20/35	102/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Côte d'Ivoire Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.39
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.35
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.33
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.41

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.32
2.2	In the judiciary	0.40
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.44
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.40

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life and security	0.29
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.33
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.09
4.7	Freedom of association	0.54
4.8	Labor rights	0.62

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.52
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.49
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.56
7.3	No corruption	0.37
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.57
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.48
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.49
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.12
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Croatia

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	28/31	41/46	46/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.01	28/31	41/46	62/139
Absence of Corruption	0.56	-0.02	27/31	41/46	51/139
Open Government	0.60	-0.01	28/31	36/46	42/139
Fundamental Rights	0.67	0.00	26/31	37/46	41/139
Order and Security	0.85	0.00	19/31	25/46	26/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.01	28/31	41/46	47/139
Civil Justice	0.57	0.00	28/31	42/46	56/139
Criminal Justice	0.49	-0.02	29/31	43/46	56/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Croatia EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.52
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.49
2.2	In the judiciary	0.71
2.3	In the police/military	0.75
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.55
3.2	Right to information	0.59
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.64

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life and security	0.74
4.3	Due process of law	0.64
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.59
4.7	Freedom of association	0.75
4.8	Labor rights	0.70

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.97
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.57

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.69
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.70
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.56
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.55
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4	No discrimination	0.39
8.5	No corruption	0.60
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.46
8.7	Due process of law	0.64

Cyprus

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	21/31	28/46	28/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.66	–	24/31	31/46	35/139
Absence of Corruption	0.68	–	21/31	30/46	32/139
Open Government	0.61	–	26/31	34/46	38/139
Fundamental Rights	0.72	–	23/31	29/46	30/139
Order and Security	0.81	–	25/31	32/46	35/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.65	–	20/31	28/46	29/139
Civil Justice	0.63	–	21/31	32/46	36/139
Criminal Justice	0.70	–	14/31	20/46	20/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Cyprus EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.73
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.66
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.54
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.90
2.3	In the police/military	0.75
2.4	In the legislature	0.40

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.63
3.2	Right to information	0.56
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.64

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.64
4.2	Right to life and security	0.82
4.3	Due process of law	0.70
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.78
4.6	Right to privacy	0.82
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.59

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.69
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.67
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.66

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.66
7.3	No corruption	0.71
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.71
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.65
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.72
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.73
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.79
8.7	Due process of law	0.70

Czech Republic

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	16/31	22/46	22/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	17/31	20/46	21/139
Absence of Corruption	0.65	0.00	24/31	35/46	39/139
Open Government	0.68	0.01	20/31	27/46	28/139
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	15/31	17/46	17/139
Order and Security	0.89	-0.01	14/31	18/46	19/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.00	18/31	25/46	25/139
Civil Justice	0.67	-0.01	17/31	25/46	26/139
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	13/31	17/46	17/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Czech Republic EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.70
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.72
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.62
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.74
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.86

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.60
2.2	In the judiciary	0.87
2.3	In the police/military	0.79
2.4	In the legislature	0.33

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.67
3.2	Right to information	0.63
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.80
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.74
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.80
4.6	Right to privacy	0.77
4.7	Freedom of association	0.79
4.8	Labor rights	0.75

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.73
6.2	No improper influence	0.87
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.58
6.4	Respect for due process	0.65
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.72

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2	No discrimination	0.69
7.3	No corruption	0.79
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.61
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.68
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.60
8.4	No discrimination	0.67
8.5	No corruption	0.76
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.83
8.7	Due process of law	0.80

Denmark

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.90	1/31	1/46	1/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/139
Absence of Corruption	0.95	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/139
Open Government	0.87	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/139
Fundamental Rights	0.92	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/139
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	6/31	8/46	8/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.89	-0.01	1/31	1/46	1/139
Civil Justice	0.86	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/139
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.01	3/31	3/46	3/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Denmark EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.89
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.95
1.3	Independent auditing	0.93
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.94
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.96
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.98

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.93
2.2	In the judiciary	0.99
2.3	In the police/military	0.98
2.4	In the legislature	0.91

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.87
3.2	Right to information	0.83
3.3	Civic participation	0.94
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.86

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.78
4.2	Right to life and security	0.99
4.3	Due process of law	0.89
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.96
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.99
4.7	Freedom of association	0.98
4.8	Labor rights	0.95

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.95
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.83

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.87
6.2	No improper influence	0.96
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.88
6.4	Respect for due process	0.87
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.86

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.78
7.2	No discrimination	0.90
7.3	No corruption	0.99
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.69
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.91
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.86

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.68
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.76
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.77
8.4	No discrimination	0.81
8.5	No corruption	0.98
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.94
8.7	Due process of law	0.89

Dominica

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	11/32	9/40	53/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.00	16/32	15/40	70/139
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.00	10/32	6/40	44/139
Open Government	0.50	0.00	21/32	19/40	70/139
Fundamental Rights	0.62	-0.01	14/32	10/40	51/139
Order and Security	0.74	0.00	8/32	18/40	69/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	11/32	13/40	59/139
Civil Justice	0.56	-0.02	13/32	13/40	58/139
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.00	7/32	4/40	40/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Dominica Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.66
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.56

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.87
2.3	In the police/military	0.74
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.58
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.60
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.58
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
6.2	No improper influence	0.70
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.77
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.76
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.23
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.40
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.71
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.60
8.7	Due process of law	0.60

Dominican Republic

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	23/32	30/40	94/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.01	24/32	24/40	91/139
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.00	21/32	32/40	100/139
Open Government	0.53	0.00	14/32	15/40	59/139
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.00	17/32	18/40	67/139
Order and Security	0.64	0.00	21/32	30/40	107/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	0.00	27/32	38/40	117/139
Civil Justice	0.44	-0.02	24/32	34/40	111/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	17/32	28/40	96/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Dominican Republic | Latin America and Caribbean | Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.58

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.49
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.22

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.56
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.52
4.2	Right to life and security	0.63
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.50
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.62
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.29

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.61
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.22
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.45
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.22
8.4	No discrimination	0.41
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.31
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

Ecuador

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	21/32	28/40	92/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	0.02	21/32	20/40	81/139
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	20/32	29/40	94/139
Open Government	0.51	0.01	19/32	18/40	68/139
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	22/32	24/40	79/139
Order and Security	0.62	0.00	24/32	33/40	114/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	-0.01	20/32	23/40	84/139
Civil Justice	0.49	-0.01	19/32	25/40	83/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	18/32	30/40	101/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Ecuador Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.40
2.3	In the police/military	0.55
2.4	In the legislature	0.27

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.39
3.2	Right to information	0.48
3.3	Civic participation	0.54
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.45
4.2	Right to life and security	0.52
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6	Right to privacy	0.39
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.59

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.57
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.30

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.51

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.48
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.45
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.33
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Egypt, Arab Rep.

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.35	8/8	34/35	136/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.24	-0.03	8/8	35/35	138/139
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	7/8	17/35	104/139
Open Government	0.22	0.00	8/8	35/35	139/139
Fundamental Rights	0.24	-0.03	7/8	35/35	138/139
Order and Security	0.63	0.06*	8/8	27/35	113/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.01	8/8	32/35	130/139
Civil Justice	0.37	-0.01	8/8	31/35	130/139
Criminal Justice	0.34	-0.03	8/8	24/35	109/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Egypt, Arab Rep. Middle East and North Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.08
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3	Independent auditing	0.27
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.06
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.34

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.44
2.3	In the police/military	0.42
2.4	In the legislature	0.27

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.34
3.2	Right to information	0.10
3.3	Civic participation	0.16
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.30

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.47
4.2	Right to life and security	0.13
4.3	Due process of law	0.29
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.06
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.20
4.6	Right to privacy	0.20
4.7	Freedom of association	0.21
4.8	Labor rights	0.39

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.85
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.28

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.47
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.15
6.4	Respect for due process	0.26
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.31
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.24
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.35
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.23
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.41
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.34
8.7	Due process of law	0.29

El Salvador

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	24/32	15/35	95/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-4 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	-0.03*	25/32	17/35	93/139
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.02	23/32	19/35	108/139
Open Government	0.51	-0.03*	20/32	7/35	69/139
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.03*	25/32	10/35	89/139
Order and Security	0.67	0.02	14/32	17/35	92/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	-0.01	16/32	6/35	68/139
Civil Justice	0.50	-0.03*	18/32	7/35	76/139
Criminal Justice	0.30	-0.01	24/32	28/35	125/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

El Salvador Latin America and Caribbean Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.38
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.58

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.33
2.2	In the judiciary	0.50
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.41
3.2	Right to information	0.55
3.3	Civic participation	0.48
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.58

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.50
4.2	Right to life and security	0.55
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	Right to privacy	0.49
4.7	Freedom of association	0.53
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.54
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.64
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.47
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.16
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.31
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.20
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

Estonia

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	10/31	11/46	11/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	8/31	9/46	9/139
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.01	13/31	19/46	19/139
Open Government	0.80	-0.01	7/31	9/46	9/139
Fundamental Rights	0.82	0.00	11/31	12/46	12/139
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	13/31	17/46	18/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	13/31	17/46	17/139
Civil Justice	0.80	0.00	7/31	7/46	7/139
Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	11/31	15/46	15/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Estonia EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.83
1.3	Independent auditing	0.84
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.78
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.79
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.75
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.91
2.4	In the legislature	0.58

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.87
3.2	Right to information	0.78
3.3	Civic participation	0.78
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.78

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.81
4.2	Right to life and security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.78
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.83
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.77

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.84
6.2	No improper influence	0.91
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.80
6.4	Respect for due process	0.65
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.78

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.70
7.2	No discrimination	0.86
7.3	No corruption	0.90
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.85
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.71
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.86

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.60
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.65
8.4	No discrimination	0.72
8.5	No corruption	0.87
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.83
8.7	Due process of law	0.78

Ethiopia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	27/33	13/18	122/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.38	0.00	27/33	17/18	119/139
Absence of Corruption	0.46	0.00	8/33	3/18	71/139
Open Government	0.33	0.00	29/33	17/18	131/139
Fundamental Rights	0.33	-0.02	33/33	18/18	132/139
Order and Security	0.61	-0.02	22/33	10/18	117/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.38	-0.01	26/33	11/18	126/139
Civil Justice	0.43	0.01	23/33	9/18	115/139
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.01	23/33	9/18	106/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Ethiopia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.41
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.30
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.32

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.40
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.55

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.23
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.30
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.40

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.42
4.2	Right to life and security	0.24
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.30
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.48
4.6	Right to privacy	0.17
4.7	Freedom of association	0.33
4.8	Labor rights	0.34

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.76
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.48

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4	Respect for due process	0.25
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.33
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.26
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Finland

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.88	3/31	3/46	3/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.92	0.00	3/31	3/46	3/139
Absence of Corruption	0.89	0.00	4/31	5/46	5/139
Open Government	0.87	0.01	3/31	3/46	3/139
Fundamental Rights	0.90	-0.01	3/31	3/46	3/139
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	7/31	10/46	10/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.01	3/31	3/46	3/139
Civil Justice	0.82	0.01	6/31	6/46	6/139
Criminal Justice	0.84	0.01	2/31	2/46	2/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Finland EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.87
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.90
1.3	Independent auditing	0.95
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.92
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.90
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.98

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.90
2.2	In the judiciary	0.98
2.3	In the police/military	0.97
2.4	In the legislature	0.72

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.91
3.2	Right to information	0.80
3.3	Civic participation	0.89
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.86

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.85
4.2	Right to life and security	0.95
4.3	Due process of law	0.91
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.90
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.98
4.7	Freedom of association	0.92
4.8	Labor rights	0.86

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.94
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.81

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.83
6.2	No improper influence	0.97
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.82
6.4	Respect for due process	0.94
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.80

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2	No discrimination	0.86
7.3	No corruption	0.94
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.64
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.91
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.60
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.78
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.85
8.4	No discrimination	0.80
8.5	No corruption	0.93
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.98
8.7	Due process of law	0.91

France

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.72	17/31	23/46	23/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	18/31	22/46	23/139
Absence of Corruption	0.74	0.00	14/31	20/46	20/139
Open Government	0.76	-0.02	13/31	15/46	15/139
Fundamental Rights	0.71	-0.02	24/31	31/46	32/139
Order and Security	0.76	0.01	29/31	38/46	57/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.76	0.00	14/31	19/46	19/139
Civil Justice	0.70	-0.01	14/31	21/46	21/139
Criminal Justice	0.61	-0.01	21/31	28/46	29/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

France EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	Independent auditing	0.71
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.64
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.88

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.73
2.2	In the judiciary	0.90
2.3	In the police/military	0.85
2.4	In the legislature	0.49

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.83
3.2	Right to information	0.69
3.3	Civic participation	0.73
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.80

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life and security	0.76
4.3	Due process of law	0.65
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.64
4.7	Freedom of association	0.81
4.8	Labor rights	0.78

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.82
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.54

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.85
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.66
6.4	Respect for due process	0.80
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.80

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2	No discrimination	0.62
7.3	No corruption	0.76
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.72
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.82

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.62
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.55
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.76
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.63
8.7	Due process of law	0.65

The Gambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	10/33	4/18	89/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-8 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	-0.02	7/33	2/18	54/139
Absence of Corruption	0.47	-0.02	7/33	2/18	67/139
Open Government	0.37	0.01	24/33	13/18	121/139
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.03	10/33	3/18	80/139
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	11/33	5/18	80/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	-0.01	27/33	12/18	128/139
Civil Justice	0.50	-0.02	9/33	3/18	77/139
Criminal Justice	0.39	-0.03	14/33	4/18	87/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

The Gambia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.70
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.63
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.57
2.3	In the police/military	0.49
2.4	In the legislature	0.39

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.16
3.2	Right to information	0.35
3.3	Civic participation	0.58
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life and security	0.37
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6	Right to privacy	0.42
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.51

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.38
6.2	No improper influence	0.50
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.27
6.4	Respect for due process	0.29
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.42

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.59
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.51
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.13
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.55
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Georgia

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	1/14	5/40	49/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	0.00	1/14	14/40	68/139
Absence of Corruption	0.68	-0.01	1/14	2/40	31/139
Open Government	0.59	0.01	1/14	7/40	45/139
Fundamental Rights	0.63	0.02	1/14	9/40	50/139
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	7/14	6/40	43/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	-0.01	1/14	6/40	49/139
Civil Justice	0.54	0.01	4/14	16/40	67/139
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	1/14	9/40	51/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Georgia Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.45
1.3	Independent auditing	0.60
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.56

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.58
2.2	In the judiciary	0.72
2.3	In the police/military	0.89
2.4	In the legislature	0.51

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.52
3.2	Right to information	0.66
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life and security	0.75
4.3	Due process of law	0.60
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.50
4.7	Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.79
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.60

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.64
7.2	No discrimination	0.53
7.3	No corruption	0.58
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.33
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.37
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.57
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.63
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.73
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.60

Germany

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.84	5/31	5/46	5/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.86	0.01	5/31	5/46	5/139
Absence of Corruption	0.83	0.00	7/31	10/46	10/139
Open Government	0.80	0.00	8/31	10/46	10/139
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	6/31	6/46	6/139
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	15/31	19/46	20/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	0.01	4/31	6/46	6/139
Civil Justice	0.84	0.00	3/31	3/46	3/139
Criminal Justice	0.79	0.00	6/31	6/46	6/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Germany EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.83
1.3	Independent auditing	0.88
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.82
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.84
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.96

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.82
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.91
2.4	In the legislature	0.63

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.75
3.2	Right to information	0.74
3.3	Civic participation	0.86
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.84

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.78
4.2	Right to life and security	0.95
4.3	Due process of law	0.84
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.84
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.85
4.6	Right to privacy	0.82
4.7	Freedom of association	0.90
4.8	Labor rights	0.84

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.78

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2	No improper influence	0.86
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.84
6.4	Respect for due process	0.90
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.91

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.78
7.2	No discrimination	0.82
7.3	No corruption	0.88
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.91
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.79
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.88
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.85

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.78
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.79
8.4	No discrimination	0.75
8.5	No corruption	0.86
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.92
8.7	Due process of law	0.84

Ghana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	7/33	2/35	58/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.66	-0.01	2/33	1/35	34/139
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.00	16/33	15/35	102/139
Open Government	0.49	-0.01	5/33	11/35	75/139
Fundamental Rights	0.58	-0.03	5/33	3/35	62/139
Order and Security	0.72	0.01	6/33	10/35	71/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.54	-0.01	7/33	2/35	57/139
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.02	6/33	1/35	53/139
Criminal Justice	0.46	-0.03	8/33	3/35	63/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Ghana Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.77
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.65
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.52
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.72
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.73

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.56
2.3	In the police/military	0.36
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.41
3.3	Civic participation	0.69
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.52
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.45
4.7	Freedom of association	0.74
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.60
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.66

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.54
7.3	No corruption	0.56
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.67
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.73

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.40
8.4	No discrimination	0.58
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.59
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Greece

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	29/31	43/46	48/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	0.00	23/31	29/46	30/139
Absence of Corruption	0.56	0.00	26/31	40/46	50/139
Open Government	0.61	0.00	27/31	35/46	39/139
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	28/31	39/46	44/139
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	31/31	42/46	74/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	-0.01	29/31	42/46	48/139
Civil Justice	0.60	0.01	26/31	39/46	50/139
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	28/31	42/46	55/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Greece EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.63
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.64
1.3	Independent auditing	0.69
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.55
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.68
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.87

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.51
2.2	In the judiciary	0.81
2.3	In the police/military	0.73
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.56
3.2	Right to information	0.60
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.55
4.2	Right to life and security	0.78
4.3	Due process of law	0.56
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6	Right to privacy	0.62
4.7	Freedom of association	0.75
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.62
6.2	No improper influence	0.63
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.43
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.67

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.64
7.2	No discrimination	0.62
7.3	No corruption	0.74
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.62
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.51
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.41
8.5	No corruption	0.66
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	Due process of law	0.56

Grenada

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	10/32	6/40	50/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.57	-0.01	13/32	11/40	58/139
Absence of Corruption	0.66	0.01	5/32	3/40	35/139
Open Government	0.44	-0.02	24/32	29/40	93/139
Fundamental Rights	0.63	0.00	13/32	8/40	49/139
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	4/32	12/40	54/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.00	8/32	7/40	50/139
Civil Justice	0.61	0.02	8/32	6/40	43/139
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	12/32	12/40	54/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Grenada Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.65
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.69

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.63
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.74
2.4	In the legislature	0.47

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.70
4.2	Right to life and security	0.69
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.46
4.7	Freedom of association	0.76
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.78
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.58
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.73
7.3	No corruption	0.80
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.73

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.39
8.4	No discrimination	0.46
8.5	No corruption	0.65
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.57
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Guatemala

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	26/32	36/40	109/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.01	19/32	18/40	74/139
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	24/32	36/40	114/139
Open Government	0.51	0.00	18/32	17/40	67/139
Fundamental Rights	0.53	-0.01	19/32	21/40	74/139
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	27/32	37/40	123/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	25/32	36/40	115/139
Civil Justice	0.36	-0.02	30/32	39/40	134/139
Criminal Justice	0.29	-0.01	25/32	37/40	127/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guatemala Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.41
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.63

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.43
2.3	In the police/military	0.50
2.4	In the legislature	0.05

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.64

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.43
4.2	Right to life and security	0.58
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.57
4.7	Freedom of association	0.65
4.8	Labor rights	0.39

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.55
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.23

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	Respect for due process	0.30
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.47

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.34
7.2	No discrimination	0.35
7.3	No corruption	0.45
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.13
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.27
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.23
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.09
8.4	No discrimination	0.35
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.36
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Guinea

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	25/33	12/18	120/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.38	-0.01	26/33	16/18	118/139
Absence of Corruption	0.27	-0.02	30/33	16/18	134/139
Open Government	0.36	0.00	25/33	14/18	123/139
Fundamental Rights	0.49	-0.01	15/33	8/18	92/139
Order and Security	0.72	0.00	7/33	3/18	72/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.01	28/33	13/18	129/139
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	29/33	15/18	123/139
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	29/33	15/18	124/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Guinea Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3	Independent auditing	0.31
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.28
2.2	In the judiciary	0.25
2.3	In the police/military	0.32
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.34
3.3	Civic participation	0.50
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.43
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.36
6.2	No improper influence	0.39
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.14
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.24
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.21
8.4	No discrimination	0.51
8.5	No corruption	0.27
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

Guyana

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.50	17/32	21/40	78/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	-0.01	15/32	13/40	65/139
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.01	15/32	21/40	73/139
Open Government	0.44	-0.01	26/32	31/40	95/139
Fundamental Rights	0.56	0.01	18/32	19/40	68/139
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	23/32	32/40	112/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	22/32	30/40	96/139
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	15/32	20/40	72/139
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.02	15/32	24/40	82/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Guyana Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.61

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.61
2.3	In the police/military	0.43
2.4	In the legislature	0.34

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.28
3.2	Right to information	0.41
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.58
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.47
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.21

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.37
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.44

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.40
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.54
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.16
8.4	No discrimination	0.35
8.5	No corruption	0.53
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.58
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Haiti

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.38	31/32	16/18	132/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	–	28/32	15/18	116/139
Absence of Corruption	0.28	–	30/32	14/18	130/139
Open Government	0.38	–	29/32	10/18	114/139
Fundamental Rights	0.43	–	29/32	12/18	111/139
Order and Security	0.53	–	31/32	14/18	131/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.31	–	31/32	18/18	136/139
Civil Justice	0.41	–	26/32	14/18	122/139
Criminal Justice	0.28	–	27/32	17/18	130/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Haiti Latin America and Caribbean Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.43	
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.39	
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33	
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27	
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.49	
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.41	

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.28
2.2	In the judiciary	0.38
2.3	In the police/military	0.36
2.4	In the legislature	0.10

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.41

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life and security	0.32
4.3	Due process of law	0.29
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.48
4.6	Right to privacy	0.39
4.7	Freedom of association	0.51
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.40
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.18

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.30
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.30
6.4	Respect for due process	0.14
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.39

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.35
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.26
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.26
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.26
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.23
8.4	No discrimination	0.29
8.5	No corruption	0.31
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.35
8.7	Due process of law	0.29

Honduras

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/32	26/35	126/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	-0.01	30/32	31/35	131/139
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	27/32	27/35	122/139
Open Government	0.42	0.00	28/32	17/35	99/139
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.00	30/32	22/35	117/139
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	18/32	21/35	100/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	-0.01	30/32	31/35	127/139
Civil Justice	0.40	-0.02	27/32	27/35	126/139
Criminal Justice	0.25	-0.01	30/32	33/35	136/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Honduras Latin America and Caribbean Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.43
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3	Independent auditing	0.27
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.23
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.45
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.27

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	In the police/military	0.45
2.4	In the legislature	0.10

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.28
3.2	Right to information	0.44
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.41
4.2	Right to life and security	0.28
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.45
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6	Right to privacy	0.21
4.7	Freedom of association	0.52
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.57
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.35
6.2	No improper influence	0.52
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4	Respect for due process	0.16
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.50

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.37
7.3	No corruption	0.37
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.25
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.20
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.27
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.14
8.4	No discrimination	0.28
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.15
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Hong Kong SAR, China

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.75	5/15	19/46	19/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.04*	7/15	38/46	45/139
Absence of Corruption	0.85	0.00	3/15	9/46	9/139
Open Government	0.73	-0.01	3/15	19/46	19/139
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.04*	6/15	43/46	54/139
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/15	5/46	5/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	0.00	4/15	13/46	13/139
Civil Justice	0.75	-0.03	5/15	16/46	16/139
Criminal Justice	0.71	-0.01	5/15	18/46	18/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Hong Kong SAR, China | East Asia and Pacific | High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.52
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.71
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.57

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.82
2.2	In the judiciary	0.94
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.75

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.75
3.2	Right to information	0.78
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.80

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.73
4.2	Right to life and security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.69
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.53
4.8	Labor rights	0.67

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.93
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.87

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.72
6.2	No improper influence	0.98
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.76
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.95
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.76
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.84

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.69
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.67
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.77
8.4	No discrimination	0.69
8.5	No corruption	0.87
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.58
8.7	Due process of law	0.69

Hungary

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	31/31	45/46	69/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	-0.01	31/31	46/46	117/139
Absence of Corruption	0.49	-0.01	30/31	44/46	59/139
Open Government	0.44	-0.01	31/31	45/46	92/139
Fundamental Rights	0.56	-0.02	31/31	45/46	70/139
Order and Security	0.90	0.01	9/31	13/46	13/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	0.00	31/31	46/46	94/139
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	31/31	46/46	102/139
Criminal Justice	0.46	-0.01	30/31	44/46	64/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Hungary EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.35
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.28
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.49
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.49

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.67
2.3	In the police/military	0.68
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.53
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.42
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.41
4.2	Right to life and security	0.80
4.3	Due process of law	0.53
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.52
4.6	Right to privacy	0.57
4.7	Freedom of association	0.48
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.93
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.28
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.30
7.3	No corruption	0.65
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.41
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.63
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.38
8.7	Due process of law	0.53

India

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.50	3/6	9/35	79/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	-0.02	1/6	3/35	52/139
Absence of Corruption	0.40	-0.02	3/6	14/35	95/139
Open Government	0.60	-0.01	1/6	1/35	40/139
Fundamental Rights	0.49	-0.02	3/6	11/35	93/139
Order and Security	0.60	0.01	3/6	28/35	121/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.00	3/6	10/35	78/139
Civil Justice	0.44	-0.01	3/6	23/35	110/139
Criminal Justice	0.39	-0.01	3/6	14/35	86/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

India South Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.69
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.63
1.3	Independent auditing	0.55
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.56
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.73

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.48
2.3	In the police/military	0.42
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.56
3.2	Right to information	0.58
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.45
4.2	Right to life and security	0.41
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.56
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.44
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.69
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.45
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.53
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.39
7.2	No discrimination	0.39
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.60
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.45
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.51
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Indonesia

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	9/15	18/40	68/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.67	-0.01	5/15	2/40	31/139
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.01	14/15	31/40	98/139
Open Government	0.54	-0.01	7/15	14/40	58/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	9/15	28/40	88/139
Order and Security	0.68	0.00	12/15	26/40	88/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	8/15	10/40	54/139
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	13/15	32/40	105/139
Criminal Justice	0.38	-0.01	12/15	26/40	90/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Indonesia East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.80
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	Independent auditing	0.58
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.62
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.33
2.3	In the police/military	0.50
2.4	In the legislature	0.28

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2	Right to information	0.54
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.44
4.2	Right to life and security	0.50
4.3	Due process of law	0.37
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.42
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.64
4.8	Labor rights	0.64

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.85
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.77
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.58
6.2	No improper influence	0.65
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.46
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.29
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.54

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.49
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.25
8.5	No corruption	0.48
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.47
8.7	Due process of law	0.37

Iran, Islamic Rep.

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	7/8	39/40	119/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.35	-0.02	7/8	35/40	128/139
Absence of Corruption	0.40	-0.03	6/8	30/40	96/139
Open Government	0.28	-0.01	7/8	40/40	137/139
Fundamental Rights	0.22	-0.01	8/8	40/40	139/139
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	4/8	17/40	66/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	6/8	32/40	98/139
Civil Justice	0.54	-0.01	4/8	14/40	65/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.01	5/8	29/40	98/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Iran, Islamic Rep. Middle East and North Africa Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.40
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3	Independent auditing	0.38
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.19
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.43

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.51
2.3	In the police/military	0.51
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.34
3.2	Right to information	0.31
3.3	Civic participation	0.17
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.29

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.44
4.2	Right to life and security	0.21
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.19
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.03
4.6	Right to privacy	0.12
4.7	Freedom of association	0.11
4.8	Labor rights	0.24

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.89
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.58

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.51
6.4	Respect for due process	0.45
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.52

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.42
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.56
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.24
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4	No discrimination	0.27
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Ireland

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	9/31	10/46	10/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	–	10/31	11/46	11/139
Absence of Corruption	0.80	–	12/31	17/46	17/139
Open Government	0.80	–	6/31	7/46	7/139
Fundamental Rights	0.82	–	10/31	10/46	10/139
Order and Security	0.94	–	1/31	1/46	1/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	–	10/31	14/46	14/139
Civil Justice	0.74	–	12/31	18/46	18/139
Criminal Justice	0.72	–	10/31	13/46	13/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Ireland EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.89
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.82
1.3	Independent auditing	0.86
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.66
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.79
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.93

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.80
2.2	In the judiciary	0.98
2.3	In the police/military	0.84
2.4	In the legislature	0.60

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.87
3.2	Right to information	0.69
3.3	Civic participation	0.81
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.84

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.77
4.2	Right to life and security	0.90
4.3	Due process of law	0.82
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.87
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	Labor rights	0.82

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.92

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.92
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.63
6.4	Respect for due process	0.87
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.92

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.88
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.93
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.68
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.84

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.72
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.67
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.71
8.4	No discrimination	0.53
8.5	No corruption	0.82
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.81
8.7	Due process of law	0.82

Italy

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	25/31	33/46	34/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	19/31	25/46	26/139
Absence of Corruption	0.64	0.01	25/31	37/46	42/139
Open Government	0.63	0.00	24/31	31/46	33/139
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	22/31	27/46	28/139
Order and Security	0.75	0.01	30/31	40/46	61/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	23/31	35/46	36/139
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	29/31	44/46	59/139
Criminal Justice	0.64	0.00	19/31	26/46	26/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Italy EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.72
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.73
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.61
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.70
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.57
2.2	In the judiciary	0.81
2.3	In the police/military	0.86
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.61
3.2	Right to information	0.62
3.3	Civic participation	0.67
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life and security	0.87
4.3	Due process of law	0.71
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.80
4.7	Freedom of association	0.79
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2	No improper influence	0.78
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4	Respect for due process	0.60
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.64

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.66
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.58
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4	No discrimination	0.61
8.5	No corruption	0.75
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.83
8.7	Due process of law	0.71

Jamaica

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	12/32	11/40	55/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.64	0.00	5/32	4/40	39/139
Absence of Corruption	0.55	0.00	12/32	10/40	53/139
Open Government	0.56	0.00	10/32	10/40	52/139
Fundamental Rights	0.63	-0.01	12/32	7/40	48/139
Order and Security	0.63	0.02	22/32	31/40	108/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.01	10/32	9/40	53/139
Civil Justice	0.53	0.02	14/32	17/40	68/139
Criminal Justice	0.48	-0.02	13/32	13/40	59/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Jamaica Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.67
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.64
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.75

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.49
2.2	In the judiciary	0.82
2.3	In the police/military	0.61
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.59
3.3	Civic participation	0.66
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.61
4.2	Right to life and security	0.57
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.63
4.7	Freedom of association	0.72
4.8	Labor rights	0.62

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.66
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.23

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.72
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.56
7.3	No corruption	0.72
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.32
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.47
8.5	No corruption	0.67
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.71
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Japan

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	3/15	15/46	15/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.02	3/15	21/46	22/139
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	4/15	13/46	13/139
Open Government	0.70	0.02	5/15	24/46	24/139
Fundamental Rights	0.78	0.01	2/15	18/46	18/139
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/15	9/46	9/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.01	5/15	18/46	18/139
Civil Justice	0.77	-0.02	3/15	12/46	12/139
Criminal Justice	0.77	0.01	2/15	8/46	8/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Japan East Asia and Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.74
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.72
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.73
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.83

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.80
2.2	In the judiciary	0.98
2.3	In the police/military	0.94
2.4	In the legislature	0.57

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.75
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.69
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.72

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life and security	0.92
4.3	Due process of law	0.77
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.73
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.85
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.76

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.93
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.84

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.72
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.75
6.4	Respect for due process	0.78
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.77

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.66
7.2	No discrimination	0.77
7.3	No corruption	0.94
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.78
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.69
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.68
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.67
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.82
8.4	No discrimination	0.80
8.5	No corruption	0.91
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.73
8.7	Due process of law	0.77

Jordan

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	2/8	13/40	59/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.03	6/8	28/40	97/139
Absence of Corruption	0.59	-0.01	2/8	7/40	46/139
Open Government	0.40	-0.02	4/8	34/40	106/139
Fundamental Rights	0.48	0.00	2/8	30/40	94/139
Order and Security	0.76	0.00	2/8	13/40	56/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	-0.05	2/8	12/40	56/139
Civil Justice	0.61	-0.01	2/8	7/40	46/139
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	2/8	5/40	41/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Jordan Middle East and North Africa Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.43
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.56
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.38

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.56
2.2	In the judiciary	0.72
2.3	In the police/military	0.77
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.34
3.2	Right to information	0.57
3.3	Civic participation	0.35
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.34

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life and security	0.62
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.37
4.6	Right to privacy	0.44
4.7	Freedom of association	0.37
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.86
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.71
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.57
6.4	Respect for due process	0.49
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.69
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.57
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.62
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.49
8.4	No discrimination	0.56
8.5	No corruption	0.70
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.55
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Kazakhstan

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	4/14	17/40	66/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	0.01	8/14	32/40	108/139
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.00	3/14	14/40	62/139
Open Government	0.46	0.00	10/14	27/40	84/139
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.01	10/14	34/40	107/139
Order and Security	0.79	0.01	6/14	5/40	42/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.01	2/14	14/40	60/139
Civil Justice	0.62	0.00	1/14	5/40	42/139
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.01	6/14	17/40	68/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Kazakhstan Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.36
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.38

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.58
2.3	In the police/military	0.54
2.4	In the legislature	0.36

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.52
3.2	Right to information	0.43
3.3	Civic participation	0.37
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.54
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.36
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.56
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.36
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.59

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.63
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.61
6.4	Respect for due process	0.27
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.55
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.38
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.83
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.73
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.65
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.52
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.26
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Kenya

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	15/33	20/35	106/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	4▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	-0.01	16/33	13/35	86/139
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	28/33	33/35	132/139
Open Government	0.49	0.01	6/33	12/35	76/139
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	17/33	14/35	101/139
Order and Security	0.55	-0.03*	28/33	32/35	129/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.01	17/33	21/35	108/139
Civil Justice	0.47	0.01	14/33	15/35	94/139
Criminal Justice	0.39	0.01	13/33	13/35	85/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Kenya Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.33
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.47

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.28
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.26
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.69

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life and security	0.31
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.26
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.54

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.74
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.33

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.45
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.31
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.43
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.42
8.4	No discrimination	0.39
8.5	No corruption	0.31
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.47
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Korea, Rep.

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.74	6/15	20/46	20/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	-0.01	4/15	24/46	25/139
Absence of Corruption	0.67	0.00	6/15	31/46	33/139
Open Government	0.71	0.00	4/15	21/46	21/139
Fundamental Rights	0.75	0.02	4/15	22/46	23/139
Order and Security	0.83	-0.01	6/15	26/46	27/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.74	0.01	6/15	21/46	21/139
Civil Justice	0.77	0.00	4/15	13/46	13/139
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	6/15	19/46	19/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Korea, Rep. East Asia and Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.67
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3	Independent auditing	0.82
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.69
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.84

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.71
2.2	In the judiciary	0.87
2.3	In the police/military	0.77
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.70
3.2	Right to information	0.75
3.3	Civic participation	0.66
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.72
4.2	Right to life and security	0.93
4.3	Due process of law	0.80
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.87
4.7	Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	Labor rights	0.62

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.58

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2	No improper influence	0.86
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.78
6.4	Respect for due process	0.66
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.78

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2	No discrimination	0.74
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.73
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.81
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.80
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.85

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.60
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.78
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.70
8.4	No discrimination	0.73
8.5	No corruption	0.65
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.69
8.7	Due process of law	0.80

Kosovo

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	2/14	14/40	60/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.00	2/14	17/40	73/139
Absence of Corruption	0.46	0.00	4/14	18/40	68/139
Open Government	0.55	-0.01	4/14	12/40	55/139
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	3/14	13/40	58/139
Order and Security	0.82	-0.01	2/14	1/40	31/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.03	5/14	25/40	89/139
Civil Justice	0.48	0.02	10/14	28/40	89/139
Criminal Justice	0.47	0.00	2/14	14/40	61/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Kosovo Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.55
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.58
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.59

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.62
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.49
3.2	Right to information	0.49
3.3	Civic participation	0.58
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.64

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.65
4.3	Due process of law	0.60
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.51
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.87
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.60

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.53

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.45
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.33
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.61
8.4	No discrimination	0.58
8.5	No corruption	0.49
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.31
8.7	Due process of law	0.60

Kyrgyz Republic

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/14	16/35	99/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-4 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	-0.03*	7/14	20/35	100/139
Absence of Corruption	0.30	-0.02*	14/14	30/35	126/139
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	5/14	4/35	61/139
Fundamental Rights	0.48	-0.03	9/14	12/35	96/139
Order and Security	0.76	0.01	11/14	5/35	55/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.02	13/14	22/35	109/139
Civil Justice	0.47	-0.01	11/14	14/35	90/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.01	13/14	26/35	118/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Kyrgyz Republic | Eastern Europe and Central Asia | Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3	Independent auditing	0.41
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.35
2.2	In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	In the police/military	0.36
2.4	In the legislature	0.13

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.52
3.2	Right to information	0.52
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.48

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.49
4.2	Right to life and security	0.49
4.3	Due process of law	0.32
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.80
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.49

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.31
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.32
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.36
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.51
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.28
8.5	No corruption	0.28
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.19
8.7	Due process of law	0.32

Latvia

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.71	18/31	24/46	24/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.70	–	20/31	26/46	27/139
Absence of Corruption	0.66	–	22/31	32/46	34/139
Open Government	0.74	–	15/31	17/46	17/139
Fundamental Rights	0.75	–	18/31	23/46	24/139
Order and Security	0.83	–	20/31	27/46	28/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	–	17/31	23/46	23/139
Civil Justice	0.66	–	19/31	28/46	29/139
Criminal Justice	0.65	–	18/31	25/139	25/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Latvia EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.75
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.64
1.3	Independent auditing	0.73
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.58
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.80

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.81
2.3	In the police/military	0.72
2.4	In the legislature	0.47

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.80
3.2	Right to information	0.69
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.75

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.77
4.2	Right to life and security	0.86
4.3	Due process of law	0.68
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.78
4.7	Freedom of association	0.78
4.8	Labor rights	0.77

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.82
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.68

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.79
6.2	No improper influence	0.82
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.68
6.4	Respect for due process	0.58
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.70
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.73
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.80
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.64
8.4	No discrimination	0.74
8.5	No corruption	0.67
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.74
8.7	Due process of law	0.68

Lebanon

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	6/8	35/40	104/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.01	4/8	22/40	84/139
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	8/8	35/40	109/139
Open Government	0.42	0.02	3/8	32/40	100/139
Fundamental Rights	0.47	-0.02	3/8	32/40	98/139
Order and Security	0.64	-0.01	7/8	29/40	103/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	-0.01	7/8	34/40	106/139
Civil Justice	0.42	0.00	7/8	37/40	117/139
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.01	7/8	32/40	104/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Lebanon Middle East and North Africa Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.69
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.54
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.48

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.33
2.2	In the judiciary	0.45
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.13

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.47

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.39
4.2	Right to life and security	0.50
4.3	Due process of law	0.45
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.40
4.6	Right to privacy	0.42
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.31

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.51
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.42

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.41
7.3	No corruption	0.39
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.55

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.16
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.24
8.7	Due process of law	0.45

Liberia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	18/33	7/18	110/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	13/33	5/18	79/139
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	25/33	12/18	121/139
Open Government	0.44	-0.02	12/33	6/18	91/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	12/33	5/18	82/139
Order and Security	0.60	-0.01	24/33	11/18	120/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	-0.01	21/33	7/18	113/139
Civil Justice	0.43	-0.01	24/33	10/18	116/139
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.01	28/33	13/18	122/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Liberia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.58
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.63

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.31
2.3	In the police/military	0.38
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.42
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life and security	0.49
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.48
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.43
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.49
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.36

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.48
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.49

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.36
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.36

Lithuania

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.75	14/31	18/46	18/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.75	–	15/31	18/46	19/139
Absence of Corruption	0.69	–	19/31	26/46	26/139
Open Government	0.73	–	16/31	18/46	18/139
Fundamental Rights	0.75	–	19/31	24/46	25/139
Order and Security	0.89	–	16/31	21/46	22/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.74	–	15/31	20/46	20/139
Civil Justice	0.78	–	9/31	11/46	11/139
Criminal Justice	0.68	–	16/31	22/46	22/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Lithuania EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.80
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.68
1.3	Independent auditing	0.75
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.69
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.74
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.86

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.83
2.3	In the police/military	0.75
2.4	In the legislature	0.54

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.79
3.2	Right to information	0.67
3.3	Civic participation	0.73
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.74

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.75
4.2	Right to life and security	0.86
4.3	Due process of law	0.69
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.74
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.73
4.7	Freedom of association	0.78
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.82
6.2	No improper influence	0.74
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.70
6.4	Respect for due process	0.69
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.75

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2	No discrimination	0.84
7.3	No corruption	0.71
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.82
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.80
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.82
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.66
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.60
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.61
8.4	No discrimination	0.76
8.5	No corruption	0.66
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.69

Luxembourg

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.83	7/31	8/46	8/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	–	11/31	12/46	12/139
Absence of Corruption	0.87	–	6/31	8/46	8/139
Open Government	0.79	–	11/31	13/46	13/139
Fundamental Rights	0.85	–	7/31	7/46	7/139
Order and Security	0.93	–	2/31	2/46	2/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	–	5/31	7/46	7/139
Civil Justice	0.79	–	8/31	9/46	9/139
Criminal Justice	0.73	–	9/31	12/46	12/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Luxembourg EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.81
1.3	Independent auditing	0.87
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.73
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.81
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.88

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.87
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.76

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.82
3.2	Right to information	0.71
3.3	Civic participation	0.84
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.79

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.95
4.3	Due process of law	0.88
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.81
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.87
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.91
4.8	Labor rights	0.79

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.89

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2	No improper influence	0.89
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.83
6.4	Respect for due process	0.86
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.87

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.79
7.3	No corruption	0.89
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.87
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.69
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.75
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.76
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.59
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.69
8.4	No discrimination	0.56
8.5	No corruption	0.86
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7	Due process of law	0.88

Madagascar

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	20/33	9/18	112/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	-0.01	19/33	9/18	104/139
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	29/33	15/18	133/139
Open Government	0.46	0.00	9/33	3/18	85/139
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.01	18/33	10/18	102/139
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	10/33	4/18	79/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.38	0.01	25/33	10/18	125/139
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	19/33	7/18	106/139
Criminal Justice	0.33	-0.03	25/33	10/18	111/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Madagascar Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.47
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.48

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.32
2.2	In the judiciary	0.25
2.3	In the police/military	0.35
2.4	In the legislature	0.16

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.34
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.49
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life and security	0.38
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.53
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.65
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.35
6.2	No improper influence	0.37
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.31
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.54
7.3	No corruption	0.31
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.32
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.21
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.31
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

Malawi

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	8/33	2/18	67/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	5 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.01	8/33	3/18	56/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.01	12/33	6/18	86/139
Open Government	0.45	0.02	11/33	5/18	89/139
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.00	6/33	1/18	64/139
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	12/33	6/18	85/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.02	15/33	6/18	91/139
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	7/33	2/18	60/139
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.02	9/33	3/18	70/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malawi Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.65
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.65

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.38
2.2	In the judiciary	0.54
2.3	In the police/military	0.41
2.4	In the legislature	0.38

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.44
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.58

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.55
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.56
4.7	Freedom of association	0.72
4.8	Labor rights	0.55

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.36

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.62
7.3	No corruption	0.49
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.56
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.49
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.49
8.5	No corruption	0.46
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.63
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Malaysia

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	7/15	10/40	54/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.57	-0.01	8/15	12/40	59/139
Absence of Corruption	0.58	-0.02	7/15	9/40	49/139
Open Government	0.41	-0.02	12/15	33/40	104/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	8/15	26/40	84/139
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	8/15	7/40	44/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	-0.01	7/15	5/40	46/139
Civil Justice	0.64	0.01	7/15	3/40	34/139
Criminal Justice	0.55	-0.01	7/15	7/40	45/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Malaysia East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.58
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.57
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.58

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.55
2.2	In the judiciary	0.76
2.3	In the police/military	0.62
2.4	In the legislature	0.38

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.32
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.50
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life and security	0.57
4.3	Due process of law	0.55
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.43
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.47
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.59

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.66
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.60
6.4	Respect for due process	0.59
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.60
7.3	No corruption	0.70
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.55
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.71
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.63
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.59
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.57
8.4	No discrimination	0.41
8.5	No corruption	0.64
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.45
8.7	Due process of law	0.55

Mali

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	22/33	10/18	115/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	-0.02*	17/33	7/18	101/139
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.02	22/33	11/18	117/139
Open Government	0.45	0.00	10/33	4/18	88/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.03	11/33	4/18	81/139
Order and Security	0.48	-0.03*	31/33	17/18	135/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	-0.01	11/33	4/18	83/139
Civil Justice	0.42	0.00	26/33	12/18	119/139
Criminal Justice	0.29	0.00	30/33	16/18	126/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Mali Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3	Independent auditing	0.36
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.55

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.22
2.3	In the police/military	0.32
2.4	In the legislature	0.36

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.44
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.32
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.59

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.48
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.50
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.47
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2	No discrimination	0.42
7.3	No corruption	0.14
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.23
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.30
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.39
8.5	No corruption	0.24
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

Malta

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	23/31	30/46	30/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	–	26/31	33/46	37/139
Absence of Corruption	0.68	–	20/31	29/46	30/139
Open Government	0.63	–	23/31	30/46	31/139
Fundamental Rights	0.73	–	20/31	25/46	26/139
Order and Security	0.92	–	5/31	7/46	7/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	–	26/31	39/46	44/139
Civil Justice	0.61	–	25/31	38/46	45/139
Criminal Justice	0.63	–	20/31	27/139	27/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Malta EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.69
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.56
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.86

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.56
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.82
2.4	In the legislature	0.46

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.65
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.65
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.77

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.67
4.2	Right to life and security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.70
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.84
4.7	Freedom of association	0.75
4.8	Labor rights	0.78

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.87
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.90

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.64
6.2	No improper influence	0.73
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.66
7.2	No discrimination	0.44
7.3	No corruption	0.78
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.75
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.62
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.49
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.60
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.77
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.70

Mauritania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	31/33	32/35	133/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.34	0.01	32/33	30/35	130/139
Absence of Corruption	0.30	0.01	27/33	31/35	128/139
Open Government	0.29	0.02	33/33	33/35	135/139
Fundamental Rights	0.40	0.00	26/33	24/35	121/139
Order and Security	0.64	-0.01	19/33	22/35	105/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.28	0.00	33/33	34/35	137/139
Civil Justice	0.39	0.02	32/33	29/35	128/139
Criminal Justice	0.29	-0.01	31/33	29/35	128/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Mauritania Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.37
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.24
1.3	Independent auditing	0.34
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.35
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.29
2.2	In the judiciary	0.38
2.3	In the police/military	0.25
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.33
3.3	Civic participation	0.37
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.25

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.41
4.2	Right to life and security	0.48
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.35
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.29
4.6	Right to privacy	0.36
4.7	Freedom of association	0.51
4.8	Labor rights	0.45

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.23
6.2	No improper influence	0.31
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4	Respect for due process	0.17
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.28

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.40
7.2	No discrimination	0.34
7.3	No corruption	0.27
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.26
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.34
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.24
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.27
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.18
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

Mauritius

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	3/33	40/46	45/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	-0.01	6/33	40/46	51/139
Absence of Corruption	0.58	-0.01	3/33	39/46	48/139
Open Government	0.52	-0.02	4/33	43/46	65/139
Fundamental Rights	0.63	0.00	3/33	40/46	46/139
Order and Security	0.76	0.00	3/33	39/46	60/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	0.00	1/33	33/46	34/139
Civil Justice	0.63	-0.01	3/33	35/46	40/139
Criminal Justice	0.54	0.01	3/33	39/46	46/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Mauritius Sub-Saharan Africa High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	Independent auditing	0.39
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.71

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.59
2.2	In the judiciary	0.80
2.3	In the police/military	0.60
2.4	In the legislature	0.33

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.56
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.69
4.3	Due process of law	0.54
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6	Right to privacy	0.58
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.62

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.81
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.55
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.71
7.3	No corruption	0.76
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.56
8.5	No corruption	0.68
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.66
8.7	Due process of law	0.54

Mexico

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	27/32	37/40	113/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	-0.02	26/32	30/40	102/139
Absence of Corruption	0.26	-0.01	32/32	40/40	135/139
Open Government	0.60	0.00	7/32	6/40	43/139
Fundamental Rights	0.49	-0.02	26/32	29/40	91/139
Order and Security	0.53	0.00	30/32	39/40	130/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	-0.01	24/32	33/40	105/139
Civil Justice	0.37	-0.02	28/32	38/40	131/139
Criminal Justice	0.29	-0.01	26/32	38/40	129/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Mexico Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.48
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.49
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.60

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.31
2.3	In the police/military	0.33
2.4	In the legislature	0.10

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.67
3.2	Right to information	0.59
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.36
4.2	Right to life and security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.45
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.37
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.23

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.50

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.41
7.2	No discrimination	0.27
7.3	No corruption	0.30
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.19
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.31
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.20
8.4	No discrimination	0.21
8.5	No corruption	0.29
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.42
8.7	Due process of law	0.36

Moldova

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	6/14	6/35	73/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.02 ▲	16 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.02	3/14	16/35	90/139
Absence of Corruption	0.36	0.02	12/14	20/35	111/139
Open Government	0.57	0.02*	2/14	2/35	50/139
Fundamental Rights	0.56	0.01	7/14	5/35	71/139
Order and Security	0.81	0.01	3/14	2/35	37/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	9/14	15/35	99/139
Civil Justice	0.50	0.02	8/14	11/35	81/139
Criminal Justice	0.39	0.02*	10/14	15/35	88/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Moldova Eastern Europe and Central Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3	Independent auditing	0.47
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.53

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.35
2.2	In the judiciary	0.41
2.3	In the police/military	0.51
2.4	In the legislature	0.15

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.62
3.2	Right to information	0.55
3.3	Civic participation	0.53
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life and security	0.69
4.3	Due process of law	0.46
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.52
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.60

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2	No improper influence	0.43
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.31
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.46
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.40
8.4	No discrimination	0.44
8.5	No corruption	0.36
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.27
8.7	Due process of law	0.46

Mongolia

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	8/15	3/35	61/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	0.01	9/15	6/35	66/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.01	12/15	9/35	81/139
Open Government	0.50	0.01	9/15	9/35	72/139
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.01	7/15	4/35	63/139
Order and Security	0.76	-0.01	10/15	6/35	58/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	0.03*	9/15	8/35	70/139
Civil Justice	0.55	0.02	8/15	4/35	63/139
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.00	8/15	1/35	57/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Mongolia East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.68
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.56

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.64
2.4	In the legislature	0.16

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.40
3.2	Right to information	0.49
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.47

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.68
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.46
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.55

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.85
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.58
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.53
7.2	No discrimination	0.54
7.3	No corruption	0.52
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.61
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.58
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.50
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.55
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.36
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Morocco

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	5/8	13/35	90/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	0.00	3/8	8/35	75/139
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	5/8	10/35	89/139
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	2/8	15/35	97/139
Fundamental Rights	0.43	-0.02	6/8	19/35	110/139
Order and Security	0.68	0.00	5/8	16/35	91/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	-0.03	3/8	3/35	58/139
Civil Justice	0.53	0.00	5/8	6/35	69/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.02	6/8	19/35	99/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Morocco Middle East and North Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.47
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.48
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.55

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.49
2.2	In the judiciary	0.41
2.3	In the police/military	0.43
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.43
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.61
4.2	Right to life and security	0.37
4.3	Due process of law	0.37
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.44
4.6	Right to privacy	0.21
4.7	Freedom of association	0.46
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.65
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.47
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.60

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.63
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.32
8.5	No corruption	0.36
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.37

Mozambique

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.40	28/33	14/18	123/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	-0.01	22/33	11/18	111/139
Absence of Corruption	0.36	0.00	19/33	9/18	107/139
Open Government	0.37	0.02	21/33	12/18	118/139
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	25/33	14/18	119/139
Order and Security	0.49	-0.07*	29/33	15/18	133/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.00	23/33	9/18	120/139
Civil Justice	0.44	-0.02	20/33	8/18	108/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.01	27/33	12/18	114/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Mozambique Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.40
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.42

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.38
2.3	In the police/military	0.38
2.4	In the legislature	0.36

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.19
3.2	Right to information	0.35
3.3	Civic participation	0.42
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.55
4.2	Right to life and security	0.27
4.3	Due process of law	0.27
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.19
4.7	Freedom of association	0.45
4.8	Labor rights	0.46

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.61
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.63
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.23

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.29
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.35

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.26
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.31
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.17
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.39
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.42
8.7	Due process of law	0.27

Myanmar

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	14/15	28/35	128/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.03 ▼	-6 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	-0.06*	13/15	23/35	115/139
Absence of Corruption	0.44	-0.02	11/15	7/35	78/139
Open Government	0.36	-0.01	14/15	29/35	126/139
Fundamental Rights	0.27	-0.04*	15/15	34/35	137/139
Order and Security	0.64	-0.02	14/15	23/35	106/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.02	13/15	16/35	100/139
Civil Justice	0.35	-0.03	14/15	33/35	136/139
Criminal Justice	0.26	-0.01	14/15	31/35	134/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Myanmar East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.32
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.31
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.33
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.56

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.52
2.2	In the judiciary	0.24
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.55

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.18
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.40
4.2	Right to life and security	0.12
4.3	Due process of law	0.17
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.33
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.20
4.6	Right to privacy	0.13
4.7	Freedom of association	0.31
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.56
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.52

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.28
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.36

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.35
7.2	No discrimination	0.21
7.3	No corruption	0.30
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.21
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.34
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.16
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.11
8.7	Due process of law	0.17

Namibia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	2/33	4/40	44/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.67	-0.02	1/33	3/40	33/139
Absence of Corruption	0.52	-0.01	5/33	12/40	56/139
Open Government	0.58	0.00	3/33	9/40	49/139
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	1/33	5/40	43/139
Order and Security	0.75	0.01	4/33	15/40	64/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.59	0.00	4/33	4/40	43/139
Civil Justice	0.64	-0.02	2/33	2/40	33/139
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.00	2/33	6/40	43/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Namibia Sub-Saharan Africa Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.73
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.76
1.3	Independent auditing	0.47
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.60
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.73
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.73

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.83
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.27

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.71
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.74

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.76
4.3	Due process of law	0.53
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.73
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.57
4.7	Freedom of association	0.74
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.55

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.67
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.58
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.65

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.82
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.66
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.38
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4	No discrimination	0.70
8.5	No corruption	0.64
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.53

Nepal

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	1/6	5/35	70/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	-0.01	2/6	4/35	55/139
Absence of Corruption	0.41	0.00	2/6	13/35	93/139
Open Government	0.52	0.00	3/6	6/35	66/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	2/6	9/35	85/139
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	1/6	9/35	70/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	1/6	5/35	65/139
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.02	1/6	16/35	96/139
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	1/6	6/35	72/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Nepal South Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.73
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.65

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.47
2.2	In the judiciary	0.37
2.3	In the police/military	0.59
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.53
4.2	Right to life and security	0.42
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6	Right to privacy	0.35
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.49

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.42
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.54

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.43
8.4	No discrimination	0.36
8.5	No corruption	0.49
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.37
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Netherlands

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.83	6/31	6/46	6/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	-0.01	6/31	7/46	7/139
Absence of Corruption	0.87	0.00	5/31	7/46	7/139
Open Government	0.82	0.00	5/31	5/46	5/139
Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	8/31	8/46	8/139
Order and Security	0.85	0.00	18/31	24/46	25/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	6/31	8/46	8/139
Civil Justice	0.83	-0.01	4/31	4/46	4/139
Criminal Justice	0.75	-0.01	7/31	9/46	9/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Netherlands EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.80
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.85
1.3	Independent auditing	0.87
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.83
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.85
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.83
2.2	In the judiciary	0.97
2.3	In the police/military	0.90
2.4	In the legislature	0.80

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.78
3.2	Right to information	0.75
3.3	Civic participation	0.84
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.92

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.81
4.2	Right to life and security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.82
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.85
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6	Right to privacy	0.83
4.7	Freedom of association	0.87
4.8	Labor rights	0.83

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.64

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.77
6.2	No improper influence	0.89
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.87
6.4	Respect for due process	0.79
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.91

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.79
7.2	No discrimination	0.85
7.3	No corruption	0.93
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.68
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.87
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.83

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.69
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.76
8.4	No discrimination	0.69
8.5	No corruption	0.89
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.85
8.7	Due process of law	0.82

New Zealand

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.83	1/15	7/46	7/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.86	0.01	1/15	6/46	6/139
Absence of Corruption	0.88	0.00	2/15	6/46	6/139
Open Government	0.82	0.00	1/15	6/46	6/139
Fundamental Rights	0.82	0.01	1/15	11/46	11/139
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	4/15	20/46	21/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	0.01	2/15	5/46	5/139
Civil Justice	0.78	0.00	2/15	10/46	10/139
Criminal Justice	0.74	0.02	3/15	10/46	10/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

New Zealand East Asia and Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.85
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.88
1.3	Independent auditing	0.84
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.82
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.84
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.94

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.87
2.2	In the judiciary	0.96
2.3	In the police/military	0.92
2.4	In the legislature	0.76

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.86
3.2	Right to information	0.74
3.3	Civic participation	0.84
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.84

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.74
4.2	Right to life and security	0.92
4.3	Due process of law	0.79
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.84
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	Right to privacy	0.79
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	Labor rights	0.78

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.82
6.4	Respect for due process	0.91
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.85

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.73
7.2	No discrimination	0.73
7.3	No corruption	0.94
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.87
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.71
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.72
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.79

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.61
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.73
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.67
8.4	No discrimination	0.59
8.5	No corruption	0.90
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.89
8.7	Due process of law	0.79

Nicaragua

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.38	30/32	31/35	131/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.25	-0.02	31/32	34/35	137/139
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.02	25/32	24/35	116/139
Open Government	0.38	0.00	31/32	24/35	117/139
Fundamental Rights	0.34	-0.04	31/32	31/35	130/139
Order and Security	0.71	0.02	9/32	11/35	73/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	-0.04*	29/32	30/35	124/139
Civil Justice	0.36	-0.01	29/32	32/35	132/139
Criminal Justice	0.28	-0.01	28/32	30/35	131/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Nicaragua Latin America and Caribbean Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3	Independent auditing	0.12
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.13
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.34
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.21

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.27
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.28
3.3	Civic participation	0.33
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.38
4.2	Right to life and security	0.21
4.3	Due process of law	0.27
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.34
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.56
4.6	Right to privacy	0.12
4.7	Freedom of association	0.33
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.68
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.46

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.15
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.37

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.33
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.10
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.32
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.42
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4	No discrimination	0.29
8.5	No corruption	0.39
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.01
8.7	Due process of law	0.27

Niger

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	19/33	8/18	111/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	-0.01	25/33	14/18	114/139
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.00	15/33	8/18	99/139
Open Government	0.36	0.01	26/33	15/18	124/139
Fundamental Rights	0.48	-0.02	16/33	9/18	97/139
Order and Security	0.62	-0.03*	21/33	9/18	116/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	14/33	5/18	88/139
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	18/33	6/18	104/139
Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.01	18/33	6/18	95/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Niger Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3	Independent auditing	0.31
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.47

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.38
2.2	In the judiciary	0.47
2.3	In the police/military	0.45
2.4	In the legislature	0.28

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2	Right to information	0.33
3.3	Civic participation	0.44
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.61
4.2	Right to life and security	0.41
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.22
4.7	Freedom of association	0.54
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.63
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.53

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.45
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.29
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.33
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Nigeria

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	26/33	24/35	121/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-3 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02*	14/33	11/35	80/139
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.01*	23/33	25/35	119/139
Open Government	0.42	-0.01	14/33	20/35	103/139
Fundamental Rights	0.43	-0.03*	22/33	21/35	113/139
Order and Security	0.34	-0.02*	33/33	35/35	138/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.01	19/33	24/35	111/139
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.02	12/33	12/35	86/139
Criminal Justice	0.40	-0.01	12/33	12/35	83/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nigeria Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.66
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.47
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.49

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.30
2.2	In the judiciary	0.47
2.3	In the police/military	0.33
2.4	In the legislature	0.17

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.19
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.50
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.52
4.2	Right to life and security	0.28
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.50
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.56
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.49
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.08
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.32
6.4	Respect for due process	0.44
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.47
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.53
8.5	No corruption	0.35
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.44
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

North Macedonia

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	3/14	16/40	64/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.00	4/14	25/40	92/139
Absence of Corruption	0.45	0.01	7/14	20/40	72/139
Open Government	0.50	0.01	6/14	20/40	74/139
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	4/14	14/40	59/139
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	5/14	4/40	40/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	6/14	26/40	90/139
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.03*	6/14	19/40	71/139
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	4/14	16/40	66/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

North Macedonia Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.52

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.51
2.3	In the police/military	0.67
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.49
3.2	Right to information	0.49
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.49

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life and security	0.70
4.3	Due process of law	0.57
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.44
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.60

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.39
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.56

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.38
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.38
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.48
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.49
8.5	No corruption	0.51
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.31
8.7	Due process of law	0.57

Norway

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.90	2/31	2/46	2/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/139
Absence of Corruption	0.94	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/139
Open Government	0.89	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/139
Fundamental Rights	0.91	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/139
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	3/31	4/46	4/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.88	0.01	2/31	2/46	2/139
Civil Justice	0.85	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/139
Criminal Justice	0.85	0.01	1/31	1/46	1/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Norway EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.95
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.96
1.3	Independent auditing	0.90
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.90
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.95
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.98

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.93
2.2	In the judiciary	0.98
2.3	In the police/military	0.96
2.4	In the legislature	0.90

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.88
3.2	Right to information	0.88
3.3	Civic participation	0.92
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.86

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life and security	0.95
4.3	Due process of law	0.91
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.95
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.89
4.6	Right to privacy	0.92
4.7	Freedom of association	0.96
4.8	Labor rights	0.88

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.96
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.83

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.84
6.2	No improper influence	0.99
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.80
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.91

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.75
7.3	No corruption	0.96
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.95
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.79
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.90
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.91

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.63
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.78
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.93
8.4	No discrimination	0.78
8.5	No corruption	0.95
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.97
8.7	Due process of law	0.91

Pakistan

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	5/6	30/35	130/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	-0.01	4/6	15/35	89/139
Absence of Corruption	0.31	0.00	5/6	28/35	123/139
Open Government	0.42	-0.01	4/6	18/35	101/139
Fundamental Rights	0.38	0.00	5/6	28/35	126/139
Order and Security	0.37	0.00	5/6	34/35	137/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.00	5/6	29/35	123/139
Civil Justice	0.40	0.02	4/6	26/35	124/139
Criminal Justice	0.35	-0.01	4/6	23/35	108/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Pakistan South Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.55
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.49

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.36
2.3	In the police/military	0.28
2.4	In the legislature	0.22

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2	Right to information	0.35
3.3	Civic participation	0.54
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.37
4.2	Right to life and security	0.25
4.3	Due process of law	0.30
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.43
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.56
4.8	Labor rights	0.33

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.19
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.33

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.50
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4	Respect for due process	0.20
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.52

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.40
7.2	No discrimination	0.41
7.3	No corruption	0.37
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.33
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.33
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.39
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.49
8.7	Due process of law	0.30

Panama

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	15/32	46/46	71/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	17/32	45/46	71/139
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	19/32	46/46	91/139
Open Government	0.58	-0.01	8/32	38/46	47/139
Fundamental Rights	0.63	-0.01	11/32	41/46	47/139
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	16/32	45/46	98/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	13/32	43/46	64/139
Civil Justice	0.47	-0.01	22/32	45/46	93/139
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	19/32	45/46	110/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Panama Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.45
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.77

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.56
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.41
3.2	Right to information	0.56
3.3	Civic participation	0.65
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life and security	0.72
4.3	Due process of law	0.50
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.58
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.67

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.40

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.59
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.51
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.56

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.54
7.3	No corruption	0.43
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.22
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.32
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.18
8.4	No discrimination	0.29
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.50

Paraguay

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	25/32	31/40	96/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	–	22/32	21/40	83/139
Absence of Corruption	0.30	–	28/32	38/40	125/139
Open Government	0.58	–	9/32	8/40	48/139
Fundamental Rights	0.53	–	20/32	22/40	75/139
Order and Security	0.71	–	10/32	21/40	77/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	–	21/32	24/40	87/139
Civil Justice	0.44	–	23/32	33/40	107/139
Criminal Justice	0.28	–	29/32	39/40	132/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Paraguay Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.65
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.28
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.67

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.32
2.4	In the legislature	0.13

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.49
3.2	Right to information	0.55
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.66

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life and security	0.52
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.31
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.64
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.49

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.45
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.51

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.53
7.2	No discrimination	0.33
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.31
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.19
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.14
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.28
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Peru

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	20/32	27/40	87/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	10/32	7/40	48/139
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.01	26/32	37/40	118/139
Open Government	0.54	-0.01	12/32	13/40	56/139
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.01	15/32	11/40	52/139
Order and Security	0.61	-0.02	26/32	36/40	119/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	-0.01	19/32	20/40	77/139
Civil Justice	0.43	-0.02	25/32	36/40	114/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.01	21/32	34/40	115/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Peru Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.58
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.74

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.44
2.3	In the police/military	0.39
2.4	In the legislature	0.09

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.57
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life and security	0.75
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.68
4.7	Freedom of association	0.72
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.53
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.30

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.40
7.3	No corruption	0.38
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.16
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.24
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.22
8.4	No discrimination	0.39
8.5	No corruption	0.32
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Philippines

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.46	13/15	18/35	102/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	-0.02	10/15	12/35	85/139
Absence of Corruption	0.44	-0.02	10/15	6/35	77/139
Open Government	0.50	-0.02	8/15	8/35	71/139
Fundamental Rights	0.39	-0.02	12/15	26/35	123/139
Order and Security	0.63	-0.02*	15/15	25/35	110/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.00	11/15	12/35	82/139
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	12/15	20/35	101/139
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	13/15	27/35	120/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Philippines East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.49
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.41
2.3	In the police/military	0.50
2.4	In the legislature	0.40

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.43
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.48
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.46

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.42
4.2	Right to life and security	0.17
4.3	Due process of law	0.27
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.49
4.8	Labor rights	0.44

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.68
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.51

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.59
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.53

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.53
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.50
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.32
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.21
8.4	No discrimination	0.25
8.5	No corruption	0.47
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.24
8.7	Due process of law	0.27

Poland

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.64	26/31	35/46	36/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	-0.04*	29/31	44/46	67/139
Absence of Corruption	0.72	-0.01	18/31	25/46	25/139
Open Government	0.59	0.00	29/31	37/46	44/139
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.03*	29/31	42/46	53/139
Order and Security	0.86	0.00	17/31	23/46	24/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	-0.01	24/31	37/46	38/139
Civil Justice	0.61	-0.02	24/31	37/46	44/139
Criminal Justice	0.58	-0.01	24/31	33/46	34/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Poland EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.48
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.53
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.63
2.2	In the judiciary	0.87
2.3	In the police/military	0.87
2.4	In the legislature	0.51

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.57
3.2	Right to information	0.50
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.69

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life and security	0.72
4.3	Due process of law	0.63
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6	Right to privacy	0.53
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.94
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.63

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.87
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.48
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.60

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.66
7.2	No discrimination	0.77
7.3	No corruption	0.78
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.33
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.51
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.58
8.4	No discrimination	0.59
8.5	No corruption	0.80
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.45
8.7	Due process of law	0.63

Portugal

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.70	19/31	26/46	26/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.78	0.00	14/31	16/46	16/139
Absence of Corruption	0.72	0.00	17/31	24/46	24/139
Open Government	0.66	-0.01	21/31	28/46	29/139
Fundamental Rights	0.77	-0.01	16/31	20/46	21/139
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	27/31	34/46	41/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	-0.02	25/31	38/46	39/139
Civil Justice	0.68	0.00	16/31	24/46	25/139
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.00	23/31	32/46	33/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Portugal EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.75
1.3	Independent auditing	0.76
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.64
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.80
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.87
2.3	In the police/military	0.87
2.4	In the legislature	0.49

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.55
3.2	Right to information	0.60
3.3	Civic participation	0.76
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.72

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life and security	0.85
4.3	Due process of law	0.64
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.80
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.83
4.7	Freedom of association	0.86
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.63
6.2	No improper influence	0.80
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4	Respect for due process	0.51
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.66

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.77
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.49
8.4	No discrimination	0.46
8.5	No corruption	0.78
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.84
8.7	Due process of law	0.64

Romania

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	27/31	39/46	41/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.01	27/31	36/46	43/139
Absence of Corruption	0.55	-0.01	28/31	42/46	52/139
Open Government	0.62	0.01	25/31	33/46	37/139
Fundamental Rights	0.67	-0.03*	25/31	36/46	40/139
Order and Security	0.83	0.00	22/31	29/46	30/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	0.01	27/31	40/46	45/139
Civil Justice	0.63	0.01	22/31	33/46	37/139
Criminal Justice	0.53	-0.03	27/31	41/46	50/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Romania EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.68
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.51
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.52
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.70

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.50
2.2	In the judiciary	0.71
2.3	In the police/military	0.73
2.4	In the legislature	0.27

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.60
3.2	Right to information	0.54
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.73

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.79
4.3	Due process of law	0.58
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.55
4.7	Freedom of association	0.65
4.8	Labor rights	0.73

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.57

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.69
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.54
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.67

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.63
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.66
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.62
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.54
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.57
8.5	No corruption	0.61
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.55
8.7	Due process of law	0.58

Russian Federation

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.46	13/14	34/40	101/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.35	-0.02	12/14	36/40	129/139
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.01	10/14	28/40	88/139
Open Government	0.49	0.00	7/14	22/40	78/139
Fundamental Rights	0.42	-0.02	12/14	35/40	114/139
Order and Security	0.70	0.04	14/14	24/40	84/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	-0.01	4/14	22/40	81/139
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	5/14	18/40	70/139
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	14/14	36/40	121/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Russian Federation Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.34
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.35
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.33
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.37
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.36

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.54
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.57
3.2	Right to information	0.41
3.3	Civic participation	0.37
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.59

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life and security	0.45
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.37
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.49
4.6	Right to privacy	0.18
4.7	Freedom of association	0.39
4.8	Labor rights	0.59

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.38

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2	No improper influence	0.54
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.62
6.4	Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.51
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.55

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.19
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.35
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.35
8.5	No corruption	0.46
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.11
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

Rwanda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	1/33	1/18	42/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.01	4/33	1/18	42/139
Absence of Corruption	0.65	0.02	1/33	1/18	40/139
Open Government	0.59	0.00	2/33	1/18	46/139
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.01	14/33	7/18	90/139
Order and Security	0.82	-0.02	1/33	1/18	33/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.59	0.00	3/33	1/18	41/139
Civil Justice	0.66	0.02	1/33	1/18	31/139
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.00	4/33	1/18	48/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Rwanda Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.59
1.3	Independent auditing	0.63
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.76
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.71

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.72
2.2	In the judiciary	0.61
2.3	In the police/military	0.70
2.4	In the legislature	0.56

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.59
3.2	Right to information	0.59
3.3	Civic participation	0.54
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.69
4.2	Right to life and security	0.35
4.3	Due process of law	0.50
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6	Right to privacy	0.26
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.73
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.61
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.51
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.71

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.70
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.56
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4	No discrimination	0.70
8.5	No corruption	0.68
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.42
8.7	Due process of law	0.50

Senegal

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	6/33	1/35	57/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.57	0.00	9/33	5/35	60/139
Absence of Corruption	0.54	0.01	4/33	1/35	54/139
Open Government	0.43	0.00	13/33	14/35	96/139
Fundamental Rights	0.60	0.01	4/33	2/35	56/139
Order and Security	0.71	0.02	9/33	12/35	78/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	-0.01	5/33	1/35	51/139
Civil Justice	0.56	-0.01	8/33	2/35	61/139
Criminal Justice	0.47	0.02	7/33	2/35	60/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Senegal Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.55
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.54
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.52
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.71

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.47
2.2	In the judiciary	0.62
2.3	In the police/military	0.68
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.47
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.32

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life and security	0.59
4.3	Due process of law	0.46
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.75
4.6	Right to privacy	0.37
4.7	Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2	No improper influence	0.68
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4	Respect for due process	0.49
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.64
7.3	No corruption	0.53
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.59
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.58
8.5	No corruption	0.60
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.46

Serbia

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	8/14	23/40	81/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.38	-0.01	11/14	34/40	122/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.01	8/14	25/40	84/139
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	11/14	28/40	87/139
Fundamental Rights	0.56	-0.01	8/14	20/40	72/139
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	10/14	11/40	53/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	7/14	27/40	92/139
Civil Justice	0.50	-0.01	9/14	24/40	82/139
Criminal Justice	0.39	-0.01	9/14	25/40	84/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Serbia Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.37
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.43

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.50
2.3	In the police/military	0.55
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.53
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.46
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.39

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2	Right to life and security	0.58
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.64

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.46
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.38
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.41
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.24
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Sierra Leone

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	17/33	6/18	108/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.02	15/33	6/18	82/139
Absence of Corruption	0.36	0.00	20/33	10/18	110/139
Open Government	0.38	-0.02	20/33	11/18	115/139
Fundamental Rights	0.51	0.00	13/33	6/18	86/139
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	16/33	7/18	93/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.01	29/33	15/18	132/139
Civil Justice	0.42	-0.02	25/33	11/18	118/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	20/33	8/18	100/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Sierra Leone Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.54
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.56

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.35
2.2	In the judiciary	0.36
2.3	In the police/military	0.36
2.4	In the legislature	0.35

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.09
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.53
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.49
4.2	Right to life and security	0.42
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.39
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.38
6.2	No improper influence	0.38
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.34

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.32
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.48

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.38
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.21
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Singapore

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.78	4/15	17/46	17/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.67	0.00	6/15	30/46	32/139
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	1/15	3/46	3/139
Open Government	0.63	-0.02	6/15	32/46	34/139
Fundamental Rights	0.67	-0.01	5/15	35/46	38/139
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/15	3/46	3/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	-0.01	1/15	4/46	4/139
Civil Justice	0.80	-0.02	1/15	8/46	8/139
Criminal Justice	0.78	-0.01	1/15	7/46	7/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Singapore East Asia and Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.72
1.3	Independent auditing	0.67
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.88
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.47
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.75

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.91
2.2	In the judiciary	0.90
2.3	In the police/military	0.94
2.4	In the legislature	0.89

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.80
3.2	Right to information	0.58
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.83
4.2	Right to life and security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.76
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.80
4.6	Right to privacy	0.59
4.7	Freedom of association	0.44
4.8	Labor rights	0.73

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.98
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.81

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.84
6.2	No improper influence	0.96
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.90
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.74

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.84
7.3	No corruption	0.85
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.68
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.91
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.92
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.83
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.77
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.89
8.4	No discrimination	0.76
8.5	No corruption	0.90
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.55
8.7	Due process of law	0.76

Slovak Republic

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	24/31	32/46	33/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	–	22/31	28/46	29/139
Absence of Corruption	0.51	–	29/31	43/46	58/139
Open Government	0.69	–	19/31	26/46	27/139
Fundamental Rights	0.73	–	21/31	26/46	27/139
Order and Security	0.90	–	11/31	15/46	15/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.65	–	21/31	29/46	30/139
Civil Justice	0.57	–	27/31	41/46	54/139
Criminal Justice	0.57	–	25/31	35/46	37/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Slovak Republic EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.68
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.73
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.89

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.58
2.2	In the judiciary	0.57
2.3	In the police/military	0.56
2.4	In the legislature	0.32

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.64
3.2	Right to information	0.65
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.76

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2	Right to life and security	0.88
4.3	Due process of law	0.68
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.73
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.68
4.6	Right to privacy	0.72
4.7	Freedom of association	0.81
4.8	Labor rights	0.73

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.81

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
6.2	No improper influence	0.67
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.56
6.4	Respect for due process	0.63
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.68

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.47
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.72
8.4	No discrimination	0.42
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.83
8.7	Due process of law	0.68

Slovenia

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	22/31	29/46	29/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.00	25/31	32/46	36/139
Absence of Corruption	0.66	0.00	23/31	33/46	36/139
Open Government	0.65	0.00	22/31	29/46	30/139
Fundamental Rights	0.76	0.00	17/31	21/46	22/139
Order and Security	0.90	0.01	12/31	16/46	17/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.65	0.00	22/31	30/46	31/139
Civil Justice	0.65	-0.01	20/31	30/46	32/139
Criminal Justice	0.55	-0.01	26/31	37/46	42/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Slovenia EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.67
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.57
1.3	Independent auditing	0.68
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.70
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.78

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.62
2.2	In the judiciary	0.78
2.3	In the police/military	0.77
2.4	In the legislature	0.47

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.68
3.2	Right to information	0.60
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.92
4.3	Due process of law	0.73
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.75
4.7	Freedom of association	0.76
4.8	Labor rights	0.75

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.96
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.73

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.71
6.2	No improper influence	0.75
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.64
6.4	Respect for due process	0.55
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.74
7.3	No corruption	0.67
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.57
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.79

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.67
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.48
8.7	Due process of law	0.73

South Africa

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	5/33	8/40	52/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	0.00	3/33	5/40	40/139
Absence of Corruption	0.48	0.00	6/33	16/40	65/139
Open Government	0.63	0.01	1/33	2/40	32/139
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.00	2/33	6/40	45/139
Order and Security	0.61	0.00	23/33	35/40	118/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	-0.01	6/33	11/40	55/139
Civil Justice	0.61	-0.01	4/33	8/40	47/139
Criminal Justice	0.52	-0.01	5/33	11/40	53/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

South Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.68
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.73

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.73
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.54
3.2	Right to information	0.54
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.75

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.52
4.2	Right to life and security	0.63
4.3	Due process of law	0.55
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.60
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.67

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.49
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.35

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.61
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.68
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.68
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.73

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.59
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.55

Spain

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	15/31	21/46	21/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	-0.01	16/31	19/46	20/139
Absence of Corruption	0.74	0.00	15/31	21/46	21/139
Open Government	0.70	-0.01	18/31	25/46	25/139
Fundamental Rights	0.80	0.01	14/31	15/46	15/139
Order and Security	0.82	0.00	23/31	30/46	32/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.00	19/31	27/46	27/139
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	18/31	26/46	27/139
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.00	17/31	24/46	24/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Spain EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.75
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.65
1.3	Independent auditing	0.74
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.65
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.72
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.85

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.68
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.89
2.4	In the legislature	0.49

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.71
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.71
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.75

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.73
4.2	Right to life and security	0.88
4.3	Due process of law	0.80
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.78
4.6	Right to privacy	0.88
4.7	Freedom of association	0.82
4.8	Labor rights	0.75

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.86
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.61

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.67
6.2	No improper influence	0.82
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.57
6.4	Respect for due process	0.76
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.73
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.72
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.67
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.82

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.57
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.72
8.4	No discrimination	0.61
8.5	No corruption	0.77
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.64
8.7	Due process of law	0.80

Sri Lanka

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.50	2/6	8/35	76/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	-0.02	3/6	7/35	69/139
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.02	1/6	2/35	61/139
Open Government	0.52	0.01	2/6	5/35	64/139
Fundamental Rights	0.53	-0.01	1/6	7/35	76/139
Order and Security	0.56	-0.15*	4/6	31/35	127/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	0.02	2/6	9/35	72/139
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	2/6	21/35	103/139
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.01	2/6	7/35	73/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Sri Lanka South Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.59
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.67

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.62
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.32

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.44
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.58

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.55
4.2	Right to life and security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.60

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.54
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.42
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.21
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.45
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.33
8.4	No discrimination	0.44
8.5	No corruption	0.63
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

St. Kitts and Nevis

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	7/32	38/46	40/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.01	8/32	39/46	46/139
Absence of Corruption	0.65	0.01	7/32	34/46	38/139
Open Government	0.45	0.01	23/32	44/46	90/139
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	7/32	33/46	35/139
Order and Security	0.77	-0.01	3/32	37/46	51/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.00	6/32	36/46	37/139
Civil Justice	0.67	-0.02	4/32	27/46	28/139
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.01	3/32	31/46	32/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

St. Kitts and Nevis Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.54
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.64
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.69

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.50
2.2	In the judiciary	0.89
2.3	In the police/military	0.77
2.4	In the legislature	0.43

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.23
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.64
4.2	Right to life and security	0.85
4.3	Due process of law	0.62
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.76
4.7	Freedom of association	0.69
4.8	Labor rights	0.75

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.50

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.74
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.56
6.4	Respect for due process	0.62
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.67
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.77
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.82
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.37
8.4	No discrimination	0.64
8.5	No corruption	0.75
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7	Due process of law	0.62

St. Lucia

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	8/32	3/40	43/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	0.00	11/32	9/40	53/139
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.00	9/32	5/40	43/139
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	22/32	23/40	79/139
Fundamental Rights	0.67	0.01	10/32	4/40	39/139
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	6/32	16/40	65/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.59	-0.01	7/32	3/40	42/139
Civil Justice	0.69	0.01	2/32	1/40	23/139
Criminal Justice	0.54	0.00	9/32	8/40	47/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Lucia Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.38
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.48
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.54
2.2	In the judiciary	0.89
2.3	In the police/military	0.70
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.48
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.76
4.2	Right to life and security	0.79
4.3	Due process of law	0.53
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.60
4.7	Freedom of association	0.69
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.80
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.72
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.64
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.75
7.3	No corruption	0.82
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.78
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.54
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.86

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.47
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.71
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.73
8.7	Due process of law	0.53

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.64	5/32	2/40	38/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	-0.01	9/32	6/40	47/139
Absence of Corruption	0.69	-0.01	3/32	1/40	28/139
Open Government	0.52	0.00	16/32	16/40	62/139
Fundamental Rights	0.71	0.01	5/32	2/40	33/139
Order and Security	0.76	0.01	5/32	14/40	59/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	-0.01	9/32	8/40	52/139
Civil Justice	0.63	0.00	6/32	4/40	38/139
Criminal Justice	0.62	0.01	1/32	1/40	28/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Vincent and the Grenadines — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3	Independent auditing	0.63
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.56
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.66

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.62
2.2	In the judiciary	0.84
2.3	In the police/military	0.78
2.4	In the legislature	0.52

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.57
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life and security	0.88
4.3	Due process of law	0.62
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.76
4.7	Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.50

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.77
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.45
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.67
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.73
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.64
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.84

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.46
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.57
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.62
8.4	No discrimination	0.56
8.5	No corruption	0.74
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.62

Sudan

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	23/33	11/18	116/139

Score Change	Rank Change
–	–

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	–	18/33	8/18	103/139
Absence of Corruption	0.40	–	14/33	7/18	97/139
Open Government	0.40	–	15/33	7/18	105/139
Fundamental Rights	0.38	–	29/33	16/18	125/139
Order and Security	0.64	–	18/33	8/18	104/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	–	30/33	16/18	133/139
Civil Justice	0.40	–	30/33	16/18	125/139
Criminal Justice	0.37	–	16/33	5/18	92/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2021 Score

Sudan Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.54
1.3	Independent auditing	0.25
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.49

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.39
2.4	In the legislature	0.34

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.29
3.3	Civic participation	0.55
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.32
4.2	Right to life and security	0.26
4.3	Due process of law	0.37
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.47
4.6	Right to privacy	0.14
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.30

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.80
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.52

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2	No improper influence	0.57
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.17
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.29

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.36
7.2	No discrimination	0.32
7.3	No corruption	0.33
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.44

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.40
8.4	No discrimination	0.29
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.33
8.7	Due process of law	0.37

Suriname

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	18/32	25/40	84/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	23/32	23/40	87/139
Absence of Corruption	0.44	-0.01	16/32	22/40	75/139
Open Government	0.38	-0.01	30/32	37/40	116/139
Fundamental Rights	0.53	-0.02	21/32	23/40	77/139
Order and Security	0.64	0.00	20/32	28/40	102/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.02	23/32	31/40	97/139
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	20/32	26/40	85/139
Criminal Justice	0.52	0.00	11/32	10/40	52/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Suriname Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.58
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.54

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.66
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.32
3.2	Right to information	0.27
3.3	Civic participation	0.55
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.37

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life and security	0.59
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.18

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	No improper influence	0.59
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.44

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.53
7.3	No corruption	0.68
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.58
8.5	No corruption	0.58
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.71
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

Sweden

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.86	4/31	4/46	4/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.87	0.00	4/31	4/46	4/139
Absence of Corruption	0.90	0.00	3/31	4/46	4/139
Open Government	0.86	0.00	4/31	4/46	4/139
Fundamental Rights	0.87	0.00	4/31	4/46	4/139
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	4/31	6/46	6/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	0.00	7/31	9/46	9/139
Civil Justice	0.83	0.01	5/31	5/46	5/139
Criminal Justice	0.80	0.00	5/31	5/46	5/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Sweden EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.81
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.83
1.3	Independent auditing	0.97
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.83
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.85
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.92

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.87
2.2	In the judiciary	0.97
2.3	In the police/military	0.96
2.4	In the legislature	0.81

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.78
3.2	Right to information	0.92
3.3	Civic participation	0.85
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.89

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.74
4.2	Right to life and security	0.98
4.3	Due process of law	0.91
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.85
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.98
4.7	Freedom of association	0.87
4.8	Labor rights	0.76

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.87

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2	No improper influence	0.92
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.83
6.4	Respect for due process	0.78
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.88

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.77
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.91
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.81
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.90
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.82

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.72
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.84
8.4	No discrimination	0.79
8.5	No corruption	0.92
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.89
8.7	Due process of law	0.91

Tanzania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/33	17/35	100/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	0.00	11/33	9/35	77/139
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	13/33	11/35	90/139
Open Government	0.37	-0.01	23/33	26/35	120/139
Fundamental Rights	0.43	0.00	21/33	20/35	112/139
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	13/33	13/35	87/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	16/33	17/35	101/139
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.02	13/33	13/35	87/139
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/33	16/35	89/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Tanzania Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.52
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.55
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.52

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.37
2.4	In the legislature	0.40

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.43
3.3	Civic participation	0.46
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.34

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.31
4.3	Due process of law	0.32
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.49
4.8	Labor rights	0.54

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.98
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.40

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.50
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4	Respect for due process	0.30
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.52
7.3	No corruption	0.45
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.38
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.40
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.44
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.40
8.7	Due process of law	0.32

Thailand

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.50	10/15	22/40	80/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.02	11/15	27/40	96/139
Absence of Corruption	0.47	-0.02	9/15	17/40	66/139
Open Government	0.49	-0.02	10/15	21/40	77/139
Fundamental Rights	0.47	-0.02	10/15	33/40	99/139
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	11/15	20/40	76/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	-0.01	12/15	29/40	95/139
Civil Justice	0.48	0.00	10/15	27/40	88/139
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.01	11/15	21/40	75/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Thailand East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.57
1.3	Independent auditing	0.39
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.49
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.38

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.70
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.45
3.2	Right to information	0.44
3.3	Civic participation	0.50
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life and security	0.38
4.3	Due process of law	0.42
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.45
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.79
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.85
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.49

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2	No improper influence	0.55
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.28
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.50

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.72
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.33
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.46

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.26
8.4	No discrimination	0.32
8.5	No corruption	0.58
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.58
8.7	Due process of law	0.42

Togo

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	13/33	5/18	103/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	4▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.01	30/33	18/18	126/139
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.00	10/33	4/18	82/139
Open Government	0.30	0.00	32/33	18/18	134/139
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	19/33	11/18	103/139
Order and Security	0.74	0.01	5/33	2/18	67/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	9/33	2/18	73/139
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	11/33	4/18	84/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	19/33	7/18	97/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Togo Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.36

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.47
2.2	In the judiciary	0.44
2.3	In the police/military	0.45
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2	Right to information	0.26
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.35

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life and security	0.39
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.16
4.7	Freedom of association	0.46
4.8	Labor rights	0.54

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.48

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.64
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.46
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2	No discrimination	0.62
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.29
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.26
8.4	No discrimination	0.53
8.5	No corruption	0.37
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.29
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Trinidad and Tobago

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	14/32	44/46	63/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	14/32	43/46	64/139
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.01	13/32	45/46	60/139
Open Government	0.56	0.00	11/32	39/46	53/139
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	16/32	44/46	60/139
Order and Security	0.66	-0.01	17/32	46/46	99/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	14/32	44/46	66/139
Civil Justice	0.58	0.00	10/32	40/46	51/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	0.00	22/32	46/46	116/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Trinidad and Tobago | Latin America and Caribbean | High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.66
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.68
1.3	Independent auditing	0.30
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.64
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.71

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.70
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.40
3.2	Right to information	0.51
3.3	Civic participation	0.66
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.66

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.61
4.2	Right to life and security	0.57
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.29

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.69
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.31
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.74
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.24
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.16
8.4	No discrimination	0.23
8.5	No corruption	0.55
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.42
8.7	Due process of law	0.36

Tunisia

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	3/8	4/35	65/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	-0.01	1/8	2/35	50/139
Absence of Corruption	0.48	-0.01	3/8	3/35	64/139
Open Government	0.50	0.00	1/8	10/35	73/139
Fundamental Rights	0.55	-0.02	1/8	6/35	73/139
Order and Security	0.67	-0.02	6/8	18/35	94/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	-0.02	4/8	4/35	63/139
Civil Justice	0.50	0.00	6/8	9/35	79/139
Criminal Justice	0.42	0.00	4/8	10/35	79/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Tunisia Middle East and North Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3	Independent auditing	0.61
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.75

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.51
2.2	In the judiciary	0.48
2.3	In the police/military	0.58
2.4	In the legislature	0.36

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.50
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.59
4.2	Right to life and security	0.58
4.3	Due process of law	0.45
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.89
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.47
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.60

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.38
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.54
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.46
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.45

Turkey

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	14/14	38/40	117/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.28	-0.02	13/14	38/40	134/139
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	5/14	19/40	69/139
Open Government	0.40	-0.02	12/14	35/40	107/139
Fundamental Rights	0.31	0.00	14/14	37/40	133/139
Order and Security	0.70	0.01	13/14	23/40	83/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	14/14	39/40	119/139
Civil Justice	0.43	-0.01	14/14	35/40	113/139
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.02	12/14	31/40	103/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Turkey Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.35
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3	Independent auditing	0.21
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.24
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.33

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.43
2.2	In the judiciary	0.57
2.3	In the police/military	0.66
2.4	In the legislature	0.18

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.47
3.2	Right to information	0.47
3.3	Civic participation	0.27
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.38
4.2	Right to life and security	0.34
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.24
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.19
4.6	Right to privacy	0.22
4.7	Freedom of association	0.29
4.8	Labor rights	0.44

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.79
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.75
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.55

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4	Respect for due process	0.14
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.26
7.3	No corruption	0.51
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.20
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.30
8.5	No corruption	0.57
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.07
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Uganda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	29/33	15/18	125/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	0.00	24/33	13/18	113/139
Absence of Corruption	0.26	0.00	31/33	17/18	136/139
Open Government	0.38	-0.02	18/33	9/18	112/139
Fundamental Rights	0.36	-0.01	31/33	17/18	128/139
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	25/33	12/18	122/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	22/33	8/18	114/139
Civil Justice	0.41	-0.02	28/33	13/18	121/139
Criminal Justice	0.32	0.01	26/33	11/18	113/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Uganda Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.43
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.38
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.34

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.28
2.2	In the judiciary	0.33
2.3	In the police/military	0.24
2.4	In the legislature	0.20

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.15
3.2	Right to information	0.42
3.3	Civic participation	0.43
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.44
4.2	Right to life and security	0.23
4.3	Due process of law	0.31
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.08
4.7	Freedom of association	0.46
4.8	Labor rights	0.39

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.54
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.24

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
6.2	No improper influence	0.36
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.37
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.38
7.2	No discrimination	0.38
7.3	No corruption	0.33
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.45
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.31
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.40
8.4	No discrimination	0.29
8.5	No corruption	0.28
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.33
8.7	Due process of law	0.31

Ukraine

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	7/14	7/35	74/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	5 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.01	5/14	18/35	94/139
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	13/14	23/35	115/139
Open Government	0.57	0.00	3/14	3/35	51/139
Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	2/14	1/35	55/139
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	12/14	8/35	63/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.01	10/14	18/35	102/139
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	3/14	5/35	64/139
Criminal Justice	0.37	0.01	11/14	17/35	91/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Ukraine Eastern Europe and Central Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.55
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.64

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.48
2.3	In the police/military	0.43
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.61
3.2	Right to information	0.51
3.3	Civic participation	0.58
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.67
4.2	Right to life and security	0.66
4.3	Due process of law	0.45
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.75
4.6	Right to privacy	0.43
4.7	Freedom of association	0.64
4.8	Labor rights	0.65

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.58

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.41
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.57
6.4	Respect for due process	0.41
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.40
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.40
8.4	No discrimination	0.48
8.5	No corruption	0.32
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.45

United Arab Emirates

Region: Middle East and North Africa
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.64	1/8	36/46	37/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	2/8	42/46	63/139
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	1/8	18/46	18/139
Open Government	0.35	-0.01	6/8	46/46	128/139
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.01	4/8	46/46	105/139
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	1/8	11/46	11/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	-0.02	1/8	26/46	26/139
Civil Justice	0.66	-0.02	1/8	29/46	30/139
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.00	1/8	23/46	23/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

United Arab Emirates Middle East and North Africa High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.56
1.3	Independent auditing	0.70
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.73
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.33
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.53

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.78
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.84
2.4	In the legislature	0.71

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2	Right to information	0.30
3.3	Civic participation	0.32
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life and security	0.50
4.3	Due process of law	0.72
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.33
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.44
4.6	Right to privacy	0.32
4.7	Freedom of association	0.25
4.8	Labor rights	0.43

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.82

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.63
6.2	No improper influence	0.86
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.72
6.4	Respect for due process	0.66
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.65

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.82
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.62
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.66
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.68
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.71
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.70
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.77
8.4	No discrimination	0.57
8.5	No corruption	0.80
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.45
8.7	Due process of law	0.72

United Kingdom

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	13/31	16/46	16/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.81	-0.01	13/31	14/46	14/139
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	9/31	12/46	12/139
Open Government	0.79	0.00	10/31	12/46	12/139
Fundamental Rights	0.80	0.01	13/31	14/46	14/139
Order and Security	0.83	-0.01	21/31	28/46	29/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.80	-0.01	12/31	16/46	16/139
Civil Justice	0.71	0.00	13/31	20/46	20/139
Criminal Justice	0.71	-0.01	12/31	16/46	16/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

United Kingdom EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.82
1.3	Independent auditing	0.79
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.75
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.83
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.86

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.84
2.2	In the judiciary	0.96
2.3	In the police/military	0.86
2.4	In the legislature	0.64

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.90
3.2	Right to information	0.67
3.3	Civic participation	0.83
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.77

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.69
4.2	Right to life and security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.79
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.83
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.86
4.6	Right to privacy	0.80
4.7	Freedom of association	0.88
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.89
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.70

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.76
6.2	No improper influence	0.94
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.74
6.4	Respect for due process	0.81
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.75

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.91
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.82
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.65
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.69
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.67
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.70
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.61
8.5	No corruption	0.86
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.84
8.7	Due process of law	0.79

United States

Region: EU, EFTA, and North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.69	20/31	27/46	27/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.03*	21/31	27/46	28/139
Absence of Corruption	0.72	-0.02*	16/31	23/46	23/139
Open Government	0.75	-0.02*	14/31	16/46	16/139
Fundamental Rights	0.66	-0.06*	27/31	38/46	42/139
Order and Security	0.81	0.00	26/31	33/46	38/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.00	16/31	22/46	22/139
Civil Justice	0.62	0.00	23/31	36/46	41/139
Criminal Justice	0.60	-0.03	22/31	29/46	30/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

United States EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.73
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.69
1.3	Independent auditing	0.64
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.59
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.71
2.2	In the judiciary	0.89
2.3	In the police/military	0.81
2.4	In the legislature	0.47

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.77
3.2	Right to information	0.71
3.3	Civic participation	0.73
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.81

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life and security	0.73
4.3	Due process of law	0.60
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.76
4.7	Freedom of association	0.78
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.67

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.87
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.56
6.4	Respect for due process	0.75
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2	No discrimination	0.37
7.3	No corruption	0.83
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.69
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.61
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.67
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.65
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.63
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.76
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.69
8.7	Due process of law	0.60

Uruguay

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.71	1/32	25/46	25/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	0.00	2/32	17/46	18/139
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.00	1/32	22/46	22/139
Open Government	0.72	0.01	1/32	20/46	20/139
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	1/32	16/46	16/139
Order and Security	0.70	0.00	12/32	43/46	82/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.01	1/32	24/46	24/139
Civil Justice	0.73	-0.01	1/32	19/46	19/139
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.00	6/32	36/46	39/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Uruguay Latin America and Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.79
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.73
1.3	Independent auditing	0.69
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.67
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.78
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.91
2.3	In the police/military	0.82
2.4	In the legislature	0.53

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.72
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.77
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.76

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.74
4.2	Right to life and security	0.85
4.3	Due process of law	0.63
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.78
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.86
4.6	Right to privacy	0.79
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	Labor rights	0.83

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.68
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.68
6.2	No improper influence	0.80
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.60
6.4	Respect for due process	0.66
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.82

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.80
7.2	No discrimination	0.81
7.3	No corruption	0.80
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.79
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.66
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.61
8.5	No corruption	0.77
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.72
8.7	Due process of law	0.63

Uzbekistan

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	10/14	11/35	85/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.02 ▲	14 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.38	0.05*	10/14	25/35	121/139
Absence of Corruption	0.46	0.05*	6/14	4/35	70/139
Open Government	0.37	0.03*	13/14	27/35	122/139
Fundamental Rights	0.44	0.03	11/14	18/35	109/139
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	1/14	1/35	16/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	11/14	20/35	104/139
Civil Justice	0.50	-0.01	7/14	8/35	78/139
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.01	5/14	5/35	67/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uzbekistan Eastern Europe and Central Asia Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.31
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.44
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.46

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.45
2.3	In the police/military	0.43
2.4	In the legislature	0.53

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2	Right to information	0.30
3.3	Civic participation	0.35
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life and security	0.49
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.55
4.6	Right to privacy	0.28
4.7	Freedom of association	0.33
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.60
6.4	Respect for due process	0.24
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.25

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.45
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.72
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.60
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.58
8.4	No discrimination	0.40
8.5	No corruption	0.45
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.20
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Venezuela, RB

Region: Latin America and Caribbean
Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.27	32/32	40/40	139/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	0

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.17	0.00	32/32	40/40	139/139
Absence of Corruption	0.29	-0.02*	29/32	39/40	129/139
Open Government	0.28	0.00	32/32	39/40	136/139
Fundamental Rights	0.29	-0.02*	32/32	38/40	135/139
Order and Security	0.50	0.02	32/32	40/40	132/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.19	-0.01	32/32	40/40	139/139
Civil Justice	0.27	-0.01	32/32	40/40	138/139
Criminal Justice	0.12	-0.01	32/32	40/40	139/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Venezuela, RB | Latin America and Caribbean | Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.31
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.12
1.3	Independent auditing	0.07
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.10
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.24
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.19

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.29
2.2	In the judiciary	0.15
2.3	In the police/military	0.36
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.18
3.2	Right to information	0.24
3.3	Civic participation	0.25
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life and security	0.05
4.3	Due process of law	0.17
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.24
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.02
4.7	Freedom of association	0.28
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.32
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.20

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.31
6.2	No improper influence	0.40
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.10
6.4	Respect for due process	0.00
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.14

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.53
7.3	No corruption	0.16
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.04
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.06
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.17
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.46

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.11
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.12
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.05
8.4	No discrimination	0.12
8.5	No corruption	0.27
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.01
8.7	Due process of law	0.17

Vietnam

Region: East Asia and Pacific
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	11/15	12/35	88/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	4▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	12/15	19/35	99/139
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	13/15	12/35	92/139
Open Government	0.46	0.00	11/15	13/35	86/139
Fundamental Rights	0.45	0.00	11/15	15/35	104/139
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	9/15	3/35	50/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	-0.01	14/15	19/35	103/139
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	11/15	18/35	98/139
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.00	9/15	4/35	65/139

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level



Low Medium High

Vietnam East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.39
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3	Independent auditing	0.54
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.60
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.44

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.37
2.3	In the police/military	0.45
2.4	In the legislature	0.36

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.53
3.2	Right to information	0.34
3.3	Civic participation	0.42
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life and security	0.47
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.30
4.6	Right to privacy	0.46
4.7	Freedom of association	0.36
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.39
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.60
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4	No discrimination	0.53
8.5	No corruption	0.52
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.27
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

Zambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	16/33	21/35	107/139

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	-0.03	20/33	21/35	106/139
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.02	18/33	18/35	106/139
Open Government	0.38	0.00	19/33	23/35	113/139
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	24/33	23/35	118/139
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	15/33	15/35	90/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	18/33	23/35	110/139
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.01	16/33	19/35	99/139
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.00	11/33	11/35	81/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Zambia Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.35
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.38
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.44

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.51
2.3	In the police/military	0.35
2.4	In the legislature	0.22

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.41
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.40

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.49
4.2	Right to life and security	0.32
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.38
4.8	Labor rights	0.43

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.61
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.40
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.41
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.40

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.41
7.2	No discrimination	0.38
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.68
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.46
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4	No discrimination	0.46
8.5	No corruption	0.37
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Zimbabwe

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	30/33	27/35	127/139

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.00	33/33	32/35	132/139
Absence of Corruption	0.31	0.00	24/33	26/35	120/139
Open Government	0.32	0.00	31/33	32/35	133/139
Fundamental Rights	0.34	0.00	32/33	30/35	129/139
Order and Security	0.67	-0.01	17/33	20/35	97/139
Regulatory Enforcement	0.35	-0.01	31/33	33/35	134/139
Civil Justice	0.46	0.01	15/33	17/35	97/139
Criminal Justice	0.37	0.00	17/33	18/35	94/139



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Zimbabwe Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.32
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.26
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.24

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.30
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.31
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.36
3.3	Civic participation	0.28
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.47

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.45
4.2	Right to life and security	0.28
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.26
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.50
4.6	Right to privacy	0.12
4.7	Freedom of association	0.29
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.61
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.29
6.4	Respect for due process	0.30
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.28

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2	No discrimination	0.43
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.64
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.51
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.34
8.4	No discrimination	0.36
8.5	No corruption	0.34
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.36

"Where-ever law ends, tyranny begins."

John Locke
Two Treatises of Government (1689)

Behind the Numbers



180 Methodology
188 Contributing Experts
221 Acknowledgements
222 About the World Justice Project

Methodology Snapshot: Steps to Produce the WJP Rule of Law Index

The production of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* can be summarized in 11 steps:

1. The WJP developed the conceptual framework summarized in the Index's nine factors and 47 sub-factors, in consultation with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from around the world.

2. The Index team developed a set of five questionnaires based on the Index's conceptual framework to be administered to experts and the general public. Questionnaires were translated into several languages and adapted to reflect commonly used terms and expressions.

3. The Index team identified, on average, more than 300 potential local experts per country to respond to the expert surveys, or Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). The team engaged the services of leading local polling companies to implement the household surveys, or General Population Poll (GPP).

4. Polling companies conducted pilot tests of the GPP in consultation with the Index team, and launched the final survey for full fieldwork.

5. The Index team sent the QRQ questionnaires to local experts and engaged in continual interaction with them.

6. The Index team collected and mapped the data onto the eight factors and 44 sub-factors with global comparability that make up the scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*.

7. The Index team constructed the final scores using a five-step process:

    a. Codified the questionnaire items as numeric values

    b. Produced raw country scores by aggregating the responses from several individuals (experts and/or general public)

    c. Normalized the raw scores

    d. Aggregated the normalized scores into sub-factors and factors using simple averages

    e. Produced the normalized scores, which are rounded to two decimal points, and the final rankings

8. The data was subject to a series of tests to identify possible biases and errors. For example, the Index team cross-checked all sub-factors against more than 70 third-party sources, including quantitative data and qualitative assessments drawn from local and international organizations.

9. A sensitivity analysis was conducted by the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the Index team, to assess the statistical reliability of the results.

10. To illustrate whether the rule of law in a country significantly changed over the course of the past year, a measure of change over time was produced based on the annual difference in the country-level factor scores, the standard errors of these scores (estimated from a set of 100 bootstrap samples), and the results of the corresponding t-tests.

11. The data was organized into country reports, tables, and figures to facilitate its presentation and interpretation. For tables organized by income group, the WJP follows the World Bank income classifications.

Methodology

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the first attempt to systematically and comprehensively quantify the rule of law around the world and remains unique in its operationalization of rule of law dimensions into concrete questions.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* report presents information on eight composite factors that are further disaggregated into 44 specific sub-factors (see page 16). Factor 9: Informal Justice is included in the conceptual framework but has been excluded from the aggregated scores and rankings in order to provide meaningful cross-country comparisons.

The country scores and rankings presented in this report are built from more than 500 variables drawn from the assessments of more than 138,000 households and 4,200 legal practitioners and experts in 139 countries and jurisdictions, making it the most accurate portrayal of the factors that contribute to shaping the rule of law in a country or jurisdiction.

Data Sources

To present an image that accurately portrays the rule of law as experienced by ordinary people, each score of the Index is calculated using a large number of questions drawn from two original data sources collected by the World Justice Project in each country: a General Population Poll (GPP) and a series of Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). These two data sources collect up-to-date firsthand information that is not available at the global level, and constitute the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind. They capture the experiences and perceptions of ordinary citizens and in-country professionals concerning the performance of the state and its agents and the actual operation of the legal framework in their country or jurisdiction.

The GPP surveys provide firsthand information on the experiences and the perceptions of ordinary people regarding a range of pertinent rule of law information, including their dealings with the government, the ease of interacting with state bureaucracy, the extent of bribery and corruption, the availability of dispute resolution systems, and the prevalence of common crimes to which they are exposed.

The GPP questionnaire includes 127 perception-based questions and 213 experience-based questions, along with socio-demographic information on all respondents. The questionnaire is translated into local languages, adapted to common expressions, and administered by leading local polling companies using a probability sample of 1,000 respondents.[3] In previous editions of the Index, the poll has been conducted in the three largest cities of each country. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Towards this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 77 countries and jurisdictions covered in the 2021 *WJP Rule of Law Index*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries in future editions of the Index. Depending on the particular situation of each country or jurisdiction, one of three different polling methodologies is used: face-to-face, telephone, or online. The GPP has been carried out in each country every few years. The polling data used in this year's report was collected during fall 2020 through summer 2021 (for 13 countries), fall 2019 (for 10 countries), fall 2018 (for 69 countries), fall 2017 (for 45 countries), fall 2016 (for four countries), fall 2014 (for three countries), fall 2012 (for one country), and fall 2011 (for two countries). Detailed information regarding the country coverage (cities covered or nationally representative), the polling companies contracted to administer the questionnaire, and the polling methodology employed in each of the 139 countries and jurisdictions is presented on page 184.

The QRQs complement the household data with assessments from in-country practitioners and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law, criminal and constitutional law, labor law, and public health. These questionnaires gather timely input on a range of topics from practitioners who frequently interact with state institutions. Such topics include information on the efficacy of courts, the strength of regulatory enforcement, and the reliability of accountability mechanisms.

The questionnaires contain closed-ended perception questions and several hypothetical scenarios with highly detailed factual assumptions aimed at ensuring comparability across countries. The QRQ surveys are conducted annually, and the questionnaires are completed by respondents selected from directories of law firms, universities and colleges, research organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through referrals from the WJP global network of practitioners, and all are vetted by WJP staff based on their expertise. The expert surveys are administered in six languages: Arabic, English, French, Portuguese, Russian, and Spanish. The QRQ data for this report includes more than 4,200 surveys, which represents an average of 30 respondents per country. This data was collected from October 2020 through May 2021.

Data Cleaning and Score Computation

Once collected, the data is carefully processed to arrive at country-level scores. As a first step, the respondent level data is edited to exclude partially completed surveys, suspicious data, and outliers (which are detected using the Z-score method). Individual answers are then mapped onto the 44 sub-factors of the Index (or onto the intermediate categories that make up each sub-factor), codified so that all values fall between zero (weakest

3 Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 184-187.

adherence to the rule of law) and 1 (strongest adherence to the rule of law), and aggregated at the country level using the simple (or unweighted) average of all respondents.

This year, to allow for an easier comparison across years, the resulting 2021 scores have been normalized using the Min-Max method with a base year of 2015. These normalized scores were then successively aggregated from the variable level all the way up to the factor level to produce the final country scores, rounded to two decimal points, and rankings. In most cases, the GPP and QRQ questions are equally weighted in the calculation of the scores of the intermediate categories (sub-factors and sub-sub-factors).

A full picture of how questions are mapped onto indicators and how they are weighted is available on the *WJP Rule of Law Index* web page at worldjusticeproject.org.

Data Validation

As a final step, data is validated and cross-checked against qualitative and quantitative third-party sources to provide an additional layer of analysis and to identify possible mistakes or inconsistencies within the data. Most of the third-party data sources used to cross-check the Index scores are described in Botero and Ponce (2011).[4]

Methodological Changes to this Year's Report

Every year, the WJP reviews the methods of data collection to ensure that the information produced is valid, useful, and continues to capture the status of the rule of law in the world. To maintain consistency with previous editions and to facilitate tracking changes over time, this year's questionnaires and data maps are closely aligned with those administered in the past.

In order to improve the accuracy of the QRQ results and reduce respondent burden, proactive dependent interviewing techniques were used to remind respondents who participated in last year's survey of their responses in the previous year.

This year, no new questions or indicators were added to the Index. Overall, 100 percent of questions remained the same between the 2020 and 2021 editions of the Index. A description of the variables is available at worldjusticeproject.org.

Tracking Changes Over Time

This year's report includes two measures to illustrate whether the rule of law in a country, as measured through the factors of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*, changed since the previous year. One measure is the change in factor score, which is included in the country profiles for each factor in each country. The second measure is a measure of statistically significant changes, both positive and negative. This measure is presented in the form of a green or red asterisk and text, and represents a summary of rigorous statistical testing based on the use of bootstrapping procedures (see below). For each factor, this measure has no asterisk and is written in black text if there was no statistically significant change in the score since last year. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant improvement in the score, the change in factor score is written in green text and has a green asterisk. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant decline in the score, the change in factor score is written in red text and has a red asterisk. This measure complements the numerical scores and rankings presented in this report, which benchmark each country's current performance on the factors and sub-factors of the Index against that of other countries. The measure of change over time is constructed in three steps:

1. First, last year's scores are subtracted from this year's to obtain, for each country and each factor, the annual difference in scores.

2. To test whether the annual changes are statistically significant, a bootstrapping procedure is used to estimate standard errors. To calculate these errors, 100 samples of respondent-level observations (of equal size to the original sample) are randomly selected with replacement for each country from the pooled set of respondents for last year and this year. These samples are used to produce a set of 100 country-level scores for each factor and each country, which are utilized to calculate the final standard errors. These errors—which measure the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents—are then employed to conduct pair-wise t-tests for each country and each factor.

3. Finally, to illustrate the annual change, a measure of change over time is produced based on the value of the annual difference and its statistical significance (at the 10 percent level).

Strengths and Limitations

The Index methodology has both strengths and limitations. Among its strengths is the inclusion of both expert and household surveys to ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by the population. Another strength is that it approaches the measurement of rule of law from various angles by triangulating information across data sources and types of questions. This approach not only enables accounting for different perspectives on the rule of law, but it also helps to reduce possible bias that might be introduced by any other particular data collection method. Finally, it relies on statistical testing to determine the significance of the changes in the factor scores over the last year.

[4] Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No. 1, available at worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

With the aforementioned methodological strengths come a number of limitations. First, the data sheds light on rule of law dimensions that appear comparatively strong or weak, but is not specific enough to establish causation. Thus, it will be necessary to use the Index in combination with other analytical tools to provide a full picture of causes and possible solutions. Second, in previous editions of the Index, the methodology has only been applied in three major urban areas in each of the indexed countries for the General Population Poll. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Towards this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 77 countries and jurisdictions covered in the 2021 *WJP Rule of Law Index*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries in future editions of the Index. Third, given the rapid changes to the rule of law occurring in some countries, scores for some countries may be sensitive to the specific points in time when the data was collected. To address this, the WJP is piloting test methods of moving averages to account for short-term fluctuations. Fourth, the QRQ data may be subject to problems in measurement error due to the limited number of experts in some countries, resulting in less precise estimates. To address this, the WJP works constantly to expand its network of in-country academic and practitioner experts who contribute their time and expertise to this endeavor. Finally, due to the limited number of experts in some countries (which implies higher standard errors) and the fact that the GPP is carried out in each country every few years (which implies that for some countries, some variables do not change from one year to another), it is possible that the test described above fails to detect small changes in a country's situation over time.

Other Methodological Considerations

A detailed presentation of the methodology, including a table and description of the more than 500 variables used to construct the Index scores, is available at: worldjusticeproject.org and in Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at: worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

Using the *WJP Rule of Law Index*

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* has been designed to offer a reliable and independent data source for policy makers, businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other constituencies to assess a country's adherence to the rule of law as perceived and experienced by the average person, identify a country's strengths and weaknesses in comparison to similarly situated countries, and track changes over time. The Index has been designed to include several features that set it apart from other indices and make it valuable for a large number of countries, thus providing a powerful resource that can inform policy debates both within and across countries. However, the Index's findings must be interpreted in light of certain inherent limitations.

1. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* does not identify priorities for reform and is not intended to establish causation or to ascertain the complex relationship among different rule of law dimensions in various countries.

2. The Index's rankings and scores are the product of a rigorous data collection and aggregation methodology. Nonetheless, as with all measurements, they are subject to measurement error.

3. Given the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents, standard errors have been calculated using bootstrapping methods to test whether the annual changes in the factor scores are statistically significant.

4. Indices and indicators are subject to potential abuse and misinterpretation. Once released to the public, they can take on a life of their own and be used for purposes unanticipated by their creators. If data is taken out of context, it can lead to unintended or erroneous policy decisions.

5. Rule of law concepts measured by the Index may have different meanings across countries. Users are encouraged to consult the specific definitions of the variables employed in the construction of the Index, which are discussed in greater detail in the methodology section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website.

6. The Index is generally intended to be used in combination with other instruments, both quantitative and qualitative. Just as in the areas of health or economics, no single index conveys a full picture of a country's situation. Policy-making in the area of rule of law requires careful consideration of all relevant dimensions—which may vary from country to country—and a combination of sources, instruments, and methods.

7. Pursuant to the sensitivity analysis of the Index data conducted in collaboration with the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, confidence intervals have been calculated for all figures included in the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. These confidence intervals and other relevant considerations regarding measurement error are reported in Saisana and Saltelli (2015) and Botero and Ponce (2011).

The following pages (184-187) list the coverage and polling methodology for the GPP in the 139 indexed countries and jurisdictions.

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Afghanistan	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions & ACSOR Surveys	Face-to-face	3019	2019
Albania	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Algeria	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Angola	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Antigua and Barbuda	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Argentina	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Australia	Nationally representative	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1067	2018
Austria	Vienna, Graz, Linz	YouGov	Online	1008	2017
The Bahamas	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna	Org-Quest Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000	2016
Barbados	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Belarus	Minsk, Gomel, Mogilev	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/401	2014/2017
Belgium	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1007	2018
Belize	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Benin	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Bolivia	Nationally representative	Captura Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Tuzla	Kantar TNS MIB	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Botswana	Nationally representative	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Brazil	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador	Datum Internacional/About Brazil Market Research	Face-to-face	1049	2017
Bulgaria	Sofia, Plovdiv, Varna	Alpha Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou, Bobo Dioulasso, Koudougou	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1029	2017
Cambodia	Phnom Penh, Battambang, Kampong Cham	Indochina Research	Face-to-face	1000	2014
Cameroon	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Canada	Toronto, Montreal, Calgary	YouGov	Online	1000	2017
Chile	Santiago, Valparaíso/Viña del Mar, Antofagasta	Datum Internacional S.A./Cadem S.A.	Face-to-face	1003	2017
China	Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	508	2018
Colombia	Nationally representative	Tempo Group	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa, Lubumbashi, Mbuji-Mayi	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1083	2018
Congo, Rep.	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	517	2021
Costa Rica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan, Bouaké, Daloa	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2017
Croatia	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Cyprus	Nationally representative	Pulse Market Research	Online	504	2021
Czech Republic	Prague, Brno, Ostrava	YouGov	Online	1013	2017
Denmark	Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg	YouGov	Online	1016	2017
Dominica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	500	2018
Dominican Republic	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Ecuador	Guayaquil, Quito, Cuenca	Dichter and Neira	Face-to-face	703	2017
Egypt, Arab Rep.	Cairo, Alexandria, Giza	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
El Salvador	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Estonia	Tallinn, Tartu, Narva	Norstat Eesti	Online	1010	2017
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa, Gondar, Nazret	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2017
Finland	Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere	YouGov	Online	1014	2017
France	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1040	2018

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
The Gambia	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Georgia	Tbilisi, Batumi, Kutaisi	ACT Market Research and Consulting Company	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Germany	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1048	2018
Ghana	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1103	2018
Greece	Athens, Thessaloniki, Patras	YouGov	Online	1015	2017
Grenada	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Guatemala	Nationally representative	Mercaplan Central America & Caribbean	Face-to-face	1008	2018
Guinea	Conakry, Nzerekore, Kankan	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1065	2018
Guyana	Georgetown, Linden, New Amsterdam	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	527	2018
Haiti	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	501	2021
Honduras	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Hungary	Budapest, Debrecen, Szeged	Ipsos Hungary	Face-to-face	1000	2017
India	Nationally representative	Market Xcel Data Matrix Pvt. Ltd.	Face-to-face	1059	2018
Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung	MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Iran, Islamic Rep.	Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan	BJKA Consulting with local partner MHA Research	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Ireland	Nationally representative	Dynata	Online	1027	2021
Italy	Rome, Milan, Naples	YouGov	Online	1004	2017
Jamaica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1002	2019
Japan	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Jordan	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Kazakhstan	Almaty, Nur-Sultan (formerly Astana), Shymkent	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018
Korea, Rep.	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Latvia	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2021
Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018
Lithuania	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1066	2021
Luxembourg	Nationally representative	TNS Ilres	Online	651	2021
Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017
Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018
Malta	Nationally representative	MISCO International Limited	Face-to-face	500	2021
Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mexico	Mexico City, Guadalajara, Monterrey	Data Opinión Pública y Mercados	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Moldova	Chisinau, Balti, Cahul	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI) in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1043	2017
Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar, Erdenet, Darkhan	Mongolian Marketing Consulting Group LLC	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Morocco	Casablanca, Fes, Tangier	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mozambique	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1009	2018

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Myanmar	Yangon, Mandalay, Nay Pyi Taw	Myanmar Survey Research Co., Ltd (MSR)	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Namibia	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Nepal	Kathmandu, Pokhara, Lalitpur	Solutions Consultant	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Netherlands	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1113	2018
New Zealand	Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1000	2017
Nicaragua	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Niger	Niamey, Zinder, Maradi	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2018
Nigeria	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1083	2018
North Macedonia	Skopje, Kumanovo, Bitola	Ipsos dooel Skopje	Face-to-face	1017	2017
Norway	Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim	YouGov	Online	1007	2017
Pakistan	Nationally representative	Gallup Pakistan	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Panama	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Paraguay	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional/BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2021
Peru	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Philippines	Manila, Cebu, Davao	APMI Partners	Face-to-face	1008	2016
Poland	Warsaw, Krakow, Lodz	IQS Sp. z o.o.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Portugal	Lisbon, Porto, Amadora	YouGov	Online	1016	2017
Romania	Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd. in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg, Novosibirsk/ Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2016/2018
Rwanda	Kigali	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	316	2018
Senegal	Pikine, Dakar, Thiès	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1012	2017
Serbia	Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš	Ipsos Strategic Marketing d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1002	2017
Sierra Leone	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1165	2018
Singapore	Singapore	Survey Sampling International	Online	1000	2017
Slovak Republic	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Online	1022	2021
Slovenia	Ljubljana, Maribor, Celje	Ipsos d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1006	2017
South Africa	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Spain	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1051	2018
Sri Lanka	Colombo, Kaduwela, Maharagama	Kantar LMRB	Face-to-face	1010	2017
St. Kitts and Nevis	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Lucia	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Sudan	Nationally representative	Sudan Polling and Statistics Center	Face-to-face	500	2021
Suriname	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	510	2018
Sweden	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2018
Tanzania	Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2018
Thailand	Bangkok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Udon Thani	Infosearch Limited	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Togo	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1005	2018
Trinidad and Tobago	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Tunisia	Big Tunis, Sfax, Sousse	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1001	2017
Turkey	İstanbul, Ankara, İzmir	Kantar Insights	Face-to-face	1039	2018
Uganda	Kampala, Nansana, Kira	Kantar Public East Africa	Face-to-face	1062	2018
Ukraine	Kyiv, Kharkiv, Odessa	GfK Ukraine	Face-to-face	1079	2017
United Arab Emirates	Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1011/200	2011/2017
United Kingdom	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1056	2018
United States	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1258	2021

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Uruguay	Nationally representative	BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Uzbekistan	Tashkent, Namangan, Samarkand/Nationally representative	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA/ Ipsos/Info Sapiens International LLC	Face-to-face	1000/300/ 507	2014/ 2018/ 2021
Venezuela, RB	Caracas, Maracaibo, Valencia	WJP in collaboration with local partner/Statmark Group	Face-to-face	1000/1015	2016/2018
Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Hai Phong	Indochina Research (Vietnam) Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2011/2017
Zambia	Lusaka, Kitwe, Chipata	SIS International Research/Intraspace Market Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	1004/1014	2012/2017
Zimbabwe	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018

Contributing Experts

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2021* was made possible by the generous contributions of academics and practitioners who contributed their time and expertise. The names of those wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below.

This report was also made possible by the work of the polling companies who conducted fieldwork, and the thousands of individuals who have responded to the General Population Poll around the world.

Afghanistan

Haroun Rahimi
American University of Afghanistan

Khalid C. Sekander

Mahir Hazim
Alberoni University

Mazhar Bangash and Zahid Safi
RIAA Barker Gillette

Mohammad Khalid Massoudi
Masnad Law Firm

Mohammad Shafiq Hamdam
Anti-Corruption Watch Organization

Noorulhuda Niazi
Masnad Law Firm

Thomas Kraemer
Kakar Advocates

Zabihullah Ghazawi
Community Action for Healing Poverty Organization (CAHPO)

Anonymous Contributors

Albania

Albana Fona
LPA Legal Albania

Anteo Papa
Fatmir Braka Partners & Associates

Arjan Vasjari
University of Tirana

Armando Toslluku
Kalo & Associates

Bledar Cenameri
CLO Legal Solutions

Brunilda Subashi
Universiteti "Ismail Qemali" Vlorë

Dorant Ekmekçiu
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Drini Hakorja

Eris Hoxha
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Erlir Puto
Puto, Topi & Partners

Gentiana Agim Tirana
Gentiana Tirana Law Firm

Gjergji Gjika
Gjika & Associates

Irv Vaso
Kalo & Associates

Jonida Melani
Wolf Theiss

Oltion Kaçani
Gjika & Associates

Shirli Gorenca
Kalo & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Algeria

Adel Messaoudi
Ligue Algerienne des Droits de l'Homme

Farouk Yaya

Fayçal Drioueche
Union Internationale des Avocats

Fethi Hathat
Syndicat national des praticiens de santé publique (SNPSP)

John P. Enyelis
Fordham University

Khaled Goussanem
Goussanem & Aloui Law Firm

Nadia Zouani
Nadia Zouani Intellectual Property Office

Seddik Berbar Ababakrine

Sihem Haddadi

Anonymous Contributors

Angola

Alexandre Malungo Isaac

António Abel Saldanha
AS-Advogado

Arlete Amaral Maia
CBAM Advogados Associados

Artur Fernandes Manjata
Conselho Superior da Magistratura

Campos Domingos Agostinho
CDA Advogados

Cássio Emanuel José Monteiro

Celmira Maria Domingos Matias Da Silva Lemos
Celmira Lemos Advogados

Eduardo Manuel da Silva Afonso

Elsa Tchicanha
BCSA Advogados

Eugénio Salesso Ribeiro da Silva

Eurico Ndando
EN-Sociedade de Advogados

Faustino Correia
Quibes Advogados

Jose Ndjamba

Orlando de Oliveira Buta

Osvaldo Sebastião Bartolomeu

Vicente Pongolola
CVP-Sociedade de Advogados, RL

Anonymous Contributors

Antigua and Barbuda

Benjamin A. Drakes
Dentons

Craig L. Jacas
Stapleton Chambers

Damien Benjamin
Anaheim Investment Group Services Ltd.

Ian Thomas
Mount St. John's Medical Centre

Jomokie Phillips
Richards & Company

Leon Chaku Symister
Center for Constitutional Rights & Social Justice

Megan Samuel-Fields
SamuelFields Consulting Group Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

Argentina

Alberto Gonzalez Torres
Baker McKenzie

Alejandro Borda
Borda & Krieger

Alfredo M. Vitolo
Foro de Estudios sobre la Administración de Justicia (FORES)

Alvaro José Galli
Beccar Varela

Belén Serrano
Serrano Fuhr Abogados

Carlos Salvadores de Arzuaga
Universidad del Salvador

Claudio Jesus Santagati
Defensoria General de Lomas de Zamora

Daniela Carrara
Universidad de Buenos Aires

Dante Omar Graña
Fundación Avedis Donabedian

Débora Eliana Fuhr
Serrano Fuhr Abogados

Diego Silva Ortiz
Silva Ortiz, Alfonso, Pavic & Louge

Eduardo Muniagurria
JP O'Farrell Abogados S.A.

Enrique Prini Estebecorena
A&F Abogados

Esteban Cappelloq
Garbarino S.A.

Fernando Rebaudi
Estudio Rebaudi

Francisco Castex
Universidad de Buenos Aires

Francisco Clucellas

Gabriel Alejandro Martoglio
MMR Abogados

Germán A. Martín Quirán
Pérez Alati, Grondona, Benites & Arntsen SC

Guillermo Michelson Irusta

Guillermo Schor-Landman
Fundación Iberoamericana de Telemedicina

Humberto Federico Rios
Estudio Rios Abogados

Joaquin Zappa
JP O'Farrell Abogados S.A.

José Brian Schapira
Centro para la Apertura y el Desarrollo de América Latina (CADAL)

Juan Vicente Sola
Universidad de Buenos Aires

Manuel Gonzalo Casas
Universidad Nacional de Tucumán

Marcelo Aquino
Aquino Abogados

Marcelo Octavio de Jesús
De Jesus & Asociados

María Eugenia Cantenys
Marval O'Farrell Mairal

María Paola Trigiani
TRG - Trigiani Abogados

Martin G. Langsam
New York University (Buenos Aires)

Martin Oyhanarte
Consejo de la Magistratura de la Nación

Mercedes Lorenzo
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Pablo Alejandro Pirovano
Pirovano & Bello Abogados

Sandra Guillan
De Dios & Goyena Abogados Consultores

Anonymous Contributors

Australia

Anne Cregan
Gilbert + Tobin

Breen Creighton
RMIT University

Brendan Ashdown
John Toohey Chambers

Edouard Tursan d'Espaignet
MonEval International

Esther Stern
Flinders University of South Australia

Fiona McDonald
Australian Centre for Health Law Research, Queensland University of Technology

Georgina Costello

Greg Patmore
University of Sydney

James A. Gillespie
Menzies Centre for Health Policy; School of Public Health, University of Sydney

Kate Burns

Kate Eastman
New Chambers

Mary E. Crock
University of Sydney

Merrilyn Walton
University of Sydney

Neil James
Australia Defence Association

Nicholas Cowdery
University of Sydney

Peter Cashman
University of New South Wales

Peter Sainsbury
School of Medicine Sydney; University of Notre Dame

Salar Alehossein
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Sean Cooney
University of Melbourne

Simon Rice
University of Sydney

Sonia Allan Oam
Western Sydney University

Tiphanie J. Acreman

Trevor Clarke
Australian Council of Trade Unions

Anonymous Contributors

Austria

Andreas Hable
Binder Grösswang

Clarissa Nitsch
Binder Grösswang

Claudia Habl
Austrian National Public Health Institute, GOeG

Clemens Egermann
Barnert Egermann Illigasch Rechtsanwälte

Gerhard Jarosch
Eurojust

Karl Stoeger
University of Vienna

Magdalena Ziembicka
Barnert Egermann Illigasch Rechtsanwälte

Martin Reinisch
Brauneis Klauser Prändl Attorneys at Law

Rupert Manhart
Manhart Einsle Partner Rechtsanwälte

Thomas Frad
Karasek Wietrzyk Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Victoria Ranegger
Barnert Egermann Illigasch Rechtsanwälte

Walter Rabl
Institute of Forensic Medicine, Medical University Innsbruck

Wolfgang Mazal
Universität Wien

Anonymous Contributors

The Bahamas

Ashley T. Carroll
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

Candice Hepburn

Felix F. L. Beneby
Mercer UK

G. Deon Thompson
Deleveaux Godet & Co.

Joseph Darville
Rights Bahamas

Justine A. Smith
McKinney, Bancroft & Hughes

Kingdom Advocates and Associates

Leah A. Rolle

Michael D. Toote
Desmond Edwards & Co.

Paul D. Moss II
Colonels Chambers

Rhyan A. A. Elliott
Higgs & Johnson

Rosalee Ferguson
Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Sharanda Humes-Forbes

Tavares K. LaRoda
Sunshine Holdings Limited

Vann P. Gaitor
Higgs & Johnson

Anonymous Contributors

Bangladesh

A. B. M. Nasirud Doulah
Doulah & Doulah

A. H. M. Belal Chowdhury
FM Consulting International

Al Amin Rahman
FM Associates

Anisul Hassan
Syed Ishtiaq Ahmed & Associates

Badhan Roy
Roy & Associates

Darras Abdullah
Akhtar Imam and Associates

Gazi Md Rokib Bin Hossain
The Legal Circle

Ibtida Farhat Tropa
A. S. & Associates

Junayed Ahmed Chowdhury
Vertex Chambers

Khandaker Mashfique Ahmed
Rahman's Chambers

Md. Tajul Islam

Mohammed Muddasir Hossain
Hossain & Khan Associates

Muhammad Rashel Siddiqui
Rashel's Law Desk

S. M. Abid Ur Rahman
D. R. Mina & Associates

Tanim Hussain Shawon

Tanvir Quader
Vertex Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Barbados

Alexandria L. Thomas

Alliston Seale
Department of Public Prosecutions

Anya A. A. Lorde

Chester L. Sue & Co.

Christiane King
Chancery Chambers

Esther Obiora Arthur
Rehoboth Law Chambers

Faith Marshall-Harris
FMH Family Law

Ivan Hugh Walters
Onesimus Legal Services

Jaydene Thomas
Capital Law Chambers

Lalu Hanuman

Liza Harridyal Sodha
Harridyal-Sodha & Associates (Liza Law)

Sumaya Desai

Zarina Khan
Prospect Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Belarus

Alexander Solopov
"Arzinger-Attorneys" Law Office

Aliaksandr Dzerhachou
Republican Public Association "Belarusian Helsinki Committee"

Andrei Famenka
National Bioethics Committee of Belarus

Dmitry Kovalchik
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Dmitry Semashko

Elena Sheleg
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Kirill Tomashevski
Belarusian State University; International University "MITSO"

Maxim
Verkhovodko & Partners LLC

Oksana Puchkovskaya
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Sergej Artemev
Belarusian State University

Sergey Demianenko
Verkhovodko & Partners LLC

Tatiana Ignatovskaya
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Vadzim Samaryn
Belarusian State University

Valentina Komova
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Victor
Belarusian State University

Vital Kalyada
VVK Legal Services

Vitali Nikanovich
Projects Innovative Laboratory

Władimir Laworenko

Yaraslau Halenchyk
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Yury Razvodovsky
Grodno State Medical University

Anonymous Contributors

Belgium

Alain-Charles Van Gysel
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Ann Witters
Claeys & Engels

Anthony Poppe
Xirius Public

Christoph Van der Elst
Tilburg University

Damien Stas de Richelle
Ambos Law

Freek Louckx
Universiteit Antwerpen; Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Jean Jacqmain
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Jean-Marc Gollier

Ledoux Didier
Liège University Hospital

Patrick Goffaux
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Philippe Colle
Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Pieter De Koster
Bird & Bird LLP

Valerie Flohimont
Université de Namur

Anonymous Contributors

Belize

Adler G. L. Waight
Barrow & Williams LLP

Andrea McSweaney Mckoy
Mckoy Torres LLP

Darinka Munoz
Barrow & Williams LLP

Deshawn Torres
Mckoy Torres LLP

Estevan Perera
Estevan Perera & Company LLP

Leo Bradley, Jr.
Human Rights Commission of Belize

Matthew Anthony Nicasio
Hillside Health Care International

Rodwell Williams
Barrow & Williams LLP

Stevanni L. Duncan

Wayne A. Piper
Flores Piper LLP

Anonymous Contributors

Benin

Adounlohoun Gisèle Obognon

Agathe Affougnon Ago
Barreau du Bénin

César Guegni
Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou & Partners

Charles Badou
Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou & Partners

Chris A. M. Balogoun
Balogoun Law Office; Barreau du Bénin

Hervé A. Gbegnide
Catholic Relief Services

Hugues Pognon
Pognon & Détchénou SCPA

Justine Françoise Houzanme
Réseau des ONG et Associations des femmes contre la féminisation du VIH-Sida (ROAFEM)

Lucien Dossou-Gbete
Clinique Louis Pasteur

Ludovic Guedje
Université d'Abomey-Calavi

Marc Déguénon
Université d'Abomey-Calavi

Nadine Dossou Sakponou
Cabinet Robert M. Dossou

Paul Ayelo
Faculté des Sciences de la Santé de Cotonou

Roch Appolinaire Houngnihin
Université d'Abomey-Calavi

Anonymous Contributors

Bolivia

Aleida Verduguez Orellana
Universidad Mayor de San Simón

Alvaro Edgar Gutierrez Aviles
Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

Andrea Nemer Sabag

Antonio Peres Velasco

Antonio Sanjines
C. R. & F. Rojas Abogados

Arturo Yañez Cortes
Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Chuquisaca

Carlos Gerke Siles
Estudio Jurídico Gerke, Soc. Civ.

Dafnee Puttkamer Gutierrez

Ernesto Málaga Vásquez
Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

Ivan B. Cáceres Ibañez
Cáceres & Asoc.

Ivan Lima Magne
Ministro de Justicia y Transparencia Institucional

Javier Mir Pena
Mir & Asociados Consultores Laborales

Jorge Omar Mostajo Barrios
Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

Karen Jimena Montaño Valenzuela

Marcelo Claros
Derechos en Acción

Nicolás Soliz Peinado
Salazar & Asociados

Pedro Barrientos
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba

Primitivo Gutiérrez Sánchez
Guevara & Gutiérrez S.C.

Raul Baldivia
Baldivia Unzaga & Asociados

Rene Soria Saucedo
Autoridad de Supervisión de la Seguridad Social de Corto Plazo (ASUSS)

Rosario Baptista Canedo

Soraya Santiago Salame
Fundación Tribuna Constitucional

Víctor Vargas Montaño

Yerko Ilijic
California Western School of Law

Anonymous Contributors

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Adnan Duraković
University of Zenica

Boris Stojanović
Boris Stojanović Law Office

Denis Pajić
University "Džemal Bijedić"

Dzeneta Omerdic
University of Tuzla

Feđa Dupovac
Law Office Spaho ltd.

Hajrija Sijerčić-Čolić
University of Sarajevo

Hana Korać
Ministry of Internal Affairs of Canton Sarajevo; University of Novi Pazar; University of Travnik

Haris Hasić
University of Travnik

Hodžić Edin

Ivana Stipanović
University of Mostar

Lana Bubalo
University of Stavanger

Lejla Balic
University of Sarajevo

Mehmed Ganic
International University of Sarajevo

Miralem Porobic

Mirela Cokic Dzinic
University of Tuzla

Mirjana Šarkinović
Attorney's Office Šarkinović

Mirza Dzevdetbegovic
Joint Law Firm Elezovic & Dzevdetbegovic

Osman Sinanović
University of Tuzla

Šefket Goletić
University of Zenica

Slaven Dizdar
Maric & Co. Law Firm

Tarik Prolaz

Vjekoslav Domljan
Centre for Regional Economic Development (CRES)

Zijad Hasić
Parliamentary Assembly of Bosnia and Herzegovina

Zinka Grbo
University of Sarajevo

Anonymous Contributors

Botswana

Abdool Rahim Khan
Rahim Khan and Co.

Abel Modimo
Modimo & Associates

Angelica Bojosi
Desai Law Group

Baboki Jonathan Dambe
University of Botswana

Bryan Ramaphane
Rahim Khan and Co.

Joanne Robinson
Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.

Kagiso Jani
Tshekiso Ditiro & Jani Legal Practice

Laone McDonald Serole
Serole & Partners

Morupi Mbeha
Mbeha Attorneys

Motsomi Ndala Marobela
University of Botswana

Munyaka Wadaira Makuyana
Makuyana Legal Practice

Noah Rutherford
Rutherford Attorneys

Tachilisa Badala Balule
University of Botswana

Tapiwa Gachala
Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.

Tshekiso Tshekiso
Tshekiso Ditiro & Jani Legal Practice

Anonymous Contributors

Brazil

Alexandre Fragoso Silvestre
Briganti Advogados

Alexandre Wunderlich
Alexandre Wunderlich Advogados

Ana Paula de Barcellos
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Ana Paula Oliveira Avila
APA Compliance Consulting

Bruno Carazza
Fundação Dom Cabral; Ibmec

Carlos Ayres
Maeda, Ayres e Sarubbi Advogados

Carolina Dzimidas Haber
Defensoria Pública do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (DPERJ)

Carolina Giesbrecht Forte Korbage de Castro
Korbage de Castro Advogados

Christian Jecov Schallenmüller
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Conrado Hubner Mendes
Universidade de São Paulo

Daniel Bushatsky
Advocacia Bushatsky

Daniel de Faria Galvão
Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora

Daniel de Pádua Andrade
Universidade Federal de Viçosa

Daniel Dourado
Universidade de São Paulo

Daniela Batalha Trettel
Defensoria Pública do Estado de São Paulo

Danilo Costa Nunes Andrade Leite

Elival Da Silva Ramos
Universidade de São Paulo

Emilio Peluso Neder Meyer
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Fabio Queiroz Pereira
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Fabio Ulhoa Coelho
Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

Felipe Asensi
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Fernanda Vargas Terrazas
Conselho Nacional de Secretarias Municipais de Saúde (CONASEMS)

Fernando Aith
Universidade de São Paulo

Gabriel Alves da Costa
Shell Brasil Petróleo Ltda.

Gerson Luiz Carlos Branco
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Guilherme Bier Barcelos
RMMG Advogados; Universidade de São Paulo

Guilherme France
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Heloisa Estellita
FGV Direito SP

Heloisa Uelze
Trench Rossi Watanabe Advogados

Henry Gabriel Colombi Barbosa Ferreira
Passos e Chaves Sociedade de Advogados; Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Jessica Gomes da Mata
Universidade de São Paulo

João Augusto Gameiro
Trench Rossi Watanabe Advogados

Joaquim Falcão
Fundação Getulio Vargas

José Ricardo dos Santos Luz Júnior
Braga Nascimento e Zilio Advogados Associados

Konstantin Gerber
Grupo de Pesquisas em Direitos Fundamentais, PUC-SP/CNPQ

Leandro Bonini Farias
Coutinho e Farias Sociedade de Advogados

Lenir Santos
Instituto de Direito Sanitário Aplicado (IDISA)

Ligia Bahia
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Lília Finelli
Finelli Treinamento Profissional

Lucas Costa dos Anjos
Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora

Luciano Feldens
Feldens Madruga Advogados; Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul

Luis Eduardo Serra Netto
Duarte Garcia, Serra Netto e Terra Sociedade de Advogados

Maria Celina Bodin de Moraes
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro

Maria Clara Oliveira Santos
Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei

Matheus Cherulli Alcantara Viana
Viana e Azevedo Advogados

Mauricio Maleck Coutinho
Veirano Advogados

Michael Freitas Mohallem
Fundação Getulio Vargas

Natália de Souza Lisbôa
Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto

Nina B. S. Ranieri
Universidade de São Paulo

Ordélio Azevedo Sette
Azevedo Sette Advogados

Paula Rocha Gouvêa Brener
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Paulo Sergio João
Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

Priscila Pamela C. Santos
Araujo Recchia Santos Sociedade de Advogadas

Raoni Bielschowsky
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

Raquel Betty de Castro Pimenta
Tribunal Regional do Trabalho da 3a Região; Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Roberta de Freitas Campos
Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Fiocruz)

Rodrigo Azambuja
Defensoria Pública do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (DPERJ)

Rodrigo Ghiringhelli de Azevedo
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul

Rômulo Soares Valentini
Centro DTIBR

Rubens Eduardo Glezer
FGV Direito SP

Samir Salman
Instituto Premier de Educação e Cultura

Sergio Cruz Arenhart
Ministério Público Federal

Sergio Mannheimer
Mannheimer, Perez e Lyra Advogados

Soraia Ghassan Saleh
Saleh Advogados Associados

Teresa Ancona Lopez
Universidade de São Paulo

Thales Gomes de Lima
Viana e Azevedo Advogados

Thiago Bottino
Fundação Getulio Vargas

Thiago Lopes Cardoso Campos
Instituto de Direito Sanitário Aplicado (IDISA)

Vaniusa Silva Abade
Centro de Estudos e Pesquisas Dr. João Amorim (CEJAM)

Victor Hugo Criscuolo Boson
Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia

Anonymous Contributors

Bulgaria

Atanas Slavov
Sofia University

Delchev & Partners

Gergana Ilieva
Sofia Bar Association

Ivelina Vassileva
Schoenherr

Jean F. Crombois
American University in Bulgaria

Lachezar Raichev
Penkov, Markov & Partners

Lucia F. Miree
The American University in Bulgaria

Nikolai Hristov
Medical University of Sofia

Pavel Petkov

Petko Salchev
National Center of Public Health and Analyses

Stanley B. Gyoshev
University of Exeter

Victor Gugushev
Gugushev and Partners Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Burkina Faso

Abdoulaye Soma
Université Thomas Sankara

Aoua Ngardoumi Kone
Association UBUNTU

Apollinaire Joachimson Kyélem de Tambèla
Barreau du Burkina Faso; Centre de Recherches Internationales et Stratégiques (CRIS)

Augustin Loada
Centre pour la Gouvernance Démocratique

Baimanai Angelain Poda
Université Thomas Sankara

Bali Bazemo
Barreau du Burkina Faso

Batibié Benao
LEGALIS

Bonvouila Sawadogo
Direction de la Qualite des Soins et de la Securite des Patients

Boubacar Nacro
Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sourô Sanou de Bobo (CHUSS)

Boubakar Toure
Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Yalgado Ouédraogo (CHUYO)

Désiré Lucien Dahourou
Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (IRSS)

Drabo K. Maxime
CNRST-IRSS

Etienne Seni
Cabinet Futurjuris

George Rouamba
Ministère des Enseignements Supérieurs

Hamidou Lamoussa Ouattara
SCPA LOYALTY

Kassem Salam Sourwema
Université Thomas Sankara

Koulika Arnaud Nikiema
Centre d'Etude et de Recherche sur les TICS et la Cyberactivité (CERTIC)

Mahamadou Barro
Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (IRSS)

Ndjamawe Bah Traore

Patrick G. C. Ilboudo
Agence de Médecine Préventive (AMP)

Samuel Ibrahim Guitanga
Barreau du Burkina Faso

Souleymane Tassembédo
Centre MURAZ

T. L. Stephane Tiendrebeogo

T. Pascal Zoungrana
Direction de la prévention et du contrôle des maladies non transmissibles au Ministère de la santé

Tatiana Compaoré
Sarman Services

Victoria Nebie
SCPA HOREB

Anonymous Contributors

Cambodia

Fil B. Tabayoyong, Jr.
Pannasstra University of Cambodia-Executive Leadership Institute

Sophea Im
Integrated Solutions and Development Consultinting (ISDC)

Sopheap Chak
Cambodian Center for Human Rights (CCHR)

Suos Sopheap
Sahmakum Teang Tnaut Organization

Anonymous Contributors

Cameroon

Bernard Burinyuy Ngaibe
The Abeng Law Firm

Charles Harold Kooh
The Abeng Law Firm

Djeutchou Laurence Idelette
Barreau du Cameroun

Gael Tchouba
Citadel Law Firm

Gbaka Ernest Acho
Gbaka & Co. Law Office

Hyacinthe Fansi
Ngassam Fansi & Mouafo Avocats Associés

J. J. Claude Siewe

Jasmine Diane Silabing Epse Ouethy
Cabinet d'Avocats Meuke

Jean Stéphane Tang Mbembe

Joëlle Zeukeng
Cabinet d'Avocats Zeukeng

John Morfaw
Strategic Development Initiatives

Judith Samantha Tchimmoe Fezeu
Cabinet ISIS

Linda Behle Bian
SCP Ngassam, Fansi & Mouafo

Marcelle Nelly Abomo Telolewi
SCP Ngassam, Fansi & Mouafo

Michel Antoine Mben Kanga
SCP Ngassam, Fansi & Mouafo

Mirabel Ntui Mungu Epouse Toko
The Abeng Law Firm

Njini Rose
Regional Hospital Bamenda

Noé Momha
Cabinet M&N Plc

Polycarp Ngufor Forkum
Mission de l'Organisation des Nations Unies pour la stabilisation en République démocratique du Congo (MONUSCO)

Roland Abeng
The Abeng Law Firm

Vanessa Neh Achu
The Abeng Law Firm

Zakariaou Njoumemi
Université de Yaoundé

Anonymous Contributors

Canada

Alexander Crizzle
University of Saskatchewan

Anne McGillivray
University of Manitoba

Brian Etherington
University of Windsor

Brian Langille
University of Toronto

Constance MacIntosh
Schulich School of Law, Dalhousie University

Daniel M. Campbell
Cox & Palmer

Davinder Singh
University of Manitoba

Domenic A. Crolla
Gowling WLG LLP

Fabien Gélinas
McGill University

Gerard Kennedy
University of Manitoba

Graham J. Reynolds
Peter A. Allard School of Law, University of British Columbia

Jabeur Fathally
University of Ottawa

Jason Foster
Athabasca University

Jeffrey J. Gindin

Karen Busby
University of Manitoba

Léo Fugazza

Michel W. Drapeau
University of Ottawa

Patrick Essiminy
Stikeman Elliott

Rania Al Dweik
Abu Dhabi University

Ulisce Desmarais
Desmarais Desvignes Crespo s.e.n.c.r.l.

Anonymous Contributors

Chile

Agustín Hübner Valdivieso
UH&C Lawyers

Carlos Ossandon Salas
Eluchans y Cía. Abogados

Caterina Guidi
Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Claudio Pavlic Véliz
Asociación Chilena de Justicia Terapéutica (ACHJT)

Diego Mendoza Benavente
Aylwin Mendoza Luksic & Valencia

Domingo Eyzaguirre
Della Maggiora Eyzaguirre Abogados Laborales

Edmundo Varas K.
Morales & Besa

Fernando Jamarne
Alessandri Abogados

Fernando Lolas Stepke
Universidad de Chile

Fernando Maturana Crino
Eyzaguirre & Cía.

Francisca Vial Herrera
Eyzaguirre & Cía.

Gabriela Novoa Muñoz
Red de Salud UC CHRISTUS

Gonzalo Hoyl Moreno
Hoyl Alliende & Cía.

Ignacio Abogabir Méndez
DLA Piper

Jorge Bofill G.
Bofill Escobar Silva Abogados

Jorge Canales G.
Kennedys Law

José Ignacio Jiménez
Jiménez y Cía. Abogados

Juan Maass
Instituto Psiquiatrico Horwitz

Juan Pablo Cox Leixelard
Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Luis Felipe Hübner
UH&C Lawyers

Luis Parada
DLA Piper

Manuel Jiménez P.
Jara del Favero

Manuel Jose Fernandez B.

Marcelo Villalón C.
Universidad de Chile

Martín Besio Hernández
Universidad Diego Portales

Michele Daroch Sagredo
Abdala & Cía. Abogados

Omar Morales
Montt y Cía. S.A.

Orlando Palominos
Morales & Besa

Patricio Morales Aguirre
Estudio Jurídico Pérez Donoso

Ramon Garcia Odgers
Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción

Ricardo Lillo
Universidad Diego Portales

Rodrigo de Alencar Baraona

Rodrigo Sanhueza
Dentons

Anonymous Contributors

China

He Qingjie
Yunnan Provincial Health Development Research Center

Kaiming Liu
The Institute of Contemporary Observation

Manuel Torres Salazar
Garrigues

Wenwu Liu
Zhong Lun Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Colombia

Alba Yaneth Rincón Méndez
Proinapsa - Universidad Industrial de Santander

Ana Maria Soleibe
Médicos Unidos de Colombia

Angela Maria Ruiz Sternberg
Universidad del Rosario

Bernardo Carvajal Sánchez
Bernardo Carvajal & Asociados

Carlos Andrés Gómez González
Gómez Penalistas Abogados

Carlos Mario Molina Arrubla
Molina Diaz & Abogados

César Alejandro Osorio Moreno
Universidad de Antioquia

David F. Varela, Sr.
Pontificia Universidad Javeriana

Dionne Cruz
Asociación Colombiana de Salud Pública

Eduardo Barajas Sandoval
Universidad del Rosario

Elizabeth Castillo Castillo

Enrique Álvarez
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Francisco J. Castellanos
Organización para la Defensa del Paciente Derechos Humanos

Gabriel Guarín Alzate
Cooperativa de Hospitales de Antioquia; Universidad Pontificia Bolivariana

Guillermo Hernando Bayona Combariza
BVP Abogados

Gustavo Tamayo Arango
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Hernando Nieto Enciso
Asociación Colombiana de Salud Pública

Ignacio Santamaria Escobar
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Jaime Lombana Villalba
Jaime Lombana Villalba & Abogados

Jorge Acosta Reyes
Universidad del Norte

Jorge Julián Osorio Gómez
Universidad CES

Jorge Lara
LaraConsultores

Jorge Voidonikolas
Godoy Cordoba Abogados

Juan Pablo Cruz Escobar

Luis Alberto Tafur Calderón
Universidad Del Valle

Luisa Fernanda Toro Restrepo
Firma Legal Molina Díaz & Abogados S.A.S

Martha Eugenia Uribe Mutis
Universidad Libre Seccional Cali

Patricia Vergara
Patricia Vergara Asociados SAS

Paula Samper Salazar
Gómez-Pinzon Abogados

Rafael Abuchaibe L.
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Rafael Hernando Gamboa Bernate
Data & Tic Consultores s.a.s.

Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila

Anonymous Contributors

Congo, Dem. Rep.

Abdou Muhima
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

Adolphe Kilomba Sumaili
Centre Congolais de la Justice Transitionnelle et de la Sécurité Humaine

Alain Iyeti
Ministère de la Santé

Anicet Bessa
Fondation Bongisa Mokili (FBM)

Antoine Luntadila
Emery Mukendi Wafwana & Associates

Benjamin Ifeka Momponza

Bienfait Uwimana
Université de Goma

Bruno Bitangilayi Kapongo
Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

Clément Shamashanga
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

Edouard Kabukapua
Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

Eleonore Ilunga Ina Mutombo
Programme National Multisectoriel de Lutte contre le Sida (PNMLS)

Fabrice Muabila M.
Cabinet André & Vincent

Freddy Lokossa

Freddy Mulamba
Cabinet Mulamba et Associés

Fulbert Kwilu Nappa
Université de Kinshasa

Grace Beda Mbazi
Université de Goma

Hilaire Nsabala Mbwolie
Progrès Santé Sans Prix

Jean Michel Mvondo
Réseau d'Education Civique au Congo (RECIC)

Jean-Michel Kumbu ki Ngimbi
Université de Kinshasa

Jerry Bulaya
Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

Joseph Cihunda Hengelela
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

L. Michael Selemani

Lebrun Kembo Nsayi
Fondation Bongisa Mokili (FBM)

Liévin Kapend
Programme National Multisectoriel de Lutte contre le Sida (PNMLS)

Marie Nyombo Zaina
Réseau Nationale des ONGs pour le Développement de la Femme (RENADEF)

Nicaise Munyiogwarha Chikuru
Chikuru & Associés

Pierrot Ntumba
Commission Justice et Paix

Rodino Tshibuyi

Roger Kabeya Mulumba
Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

Tanayi Mbuy Mbiye
Centre d'Arbitrage du Congo

Upio Kakura Wapol
Université de Kinshasa

Yves Ikobya
Programme National Multisectoriel de Lutte contre le Sida (PNMLS)

Anonymous Contributors

Congo, Rep.

Claude Coelho
Cabinet d'Avocats Claude Coelho

Claude Joël Paka
Ordre National des Avocats du Congo

Dunia P. Zongwe
Walter Sisulu University

Eric Michel Elangui Okoko
Cabinet d'Avocat Armand Robert Okoko

Franck Darnod Nguimbi Mbenze

Gyslain Nkounkou
Barreau de Brazzaville

Jean Pierre Mahoungou
Réseau National des Associations des Positifs du Congo (RENAPC)

Marien William Gervais Koumou Atipo
Association de Soutien aux Groupes Vulnérables

Mathilde Quenum
Cabinet d'Avocats A. F. Quenum

Parfait Euloge Linvani
Cabinet d'Avocats Gomes

Patricia Bigemi

Premier Claude Franck Kipemosso
Plateforme des ONGs et Associations de Lutte contre le Paludisme en République du Congo

Thierry Mamimoue
Cabinet d'Avocats Gomes

Anonymous Contributors

Costa Rica

Alexa Narváez Arauz
Lexincorp Abogados

Alfonso Carro Solera
Central Law

Arnoldo André Tinoco
Lexincorp Abogados

Benjamín Gutiérrez
BG&A Abogados Corporativos

Carlos Valerio

Carmen Zúñiga Quesada
GLC Abogados

David Gutierrez
BLP Legal

Diego González Fernández
Universidad Pompeu Fabra

Eric Alfredo Chirino Sánchez
Universidad de Costa Rica

Eslava Hernández Jiménez
BG&A Abogados Corporativos

Ewald Acuña Blanco
Bufete Acuña & Asociados

Federico Morales Chaves
Central Law

Gabriel Lizama Oliger
Lexing Costa Rica

Juan Ignacio Gallegos Gurdián
Zürcher Odio & Raven

Kendall David Ruiz Jiménez
Aselecom Abogados

Luis Gonzalez Aguilar
Universidad de Costa Rica

Marco Durante Calvo
BDS Asesores Jurídicos

María del Rocío Quirós Arroyo
AG Legal

Pablo Enrique Guier Acosta
Asejur Abogados

Paola Alvarenga Barragán
Acuña & Asociados

Rafael Angel Rodriguez Salazar
La Firma de Abogados Costa Rica

Rafael Quirós
Central Law

Randall Madrigal Madrigal
Colegio de Farmacéuticos de Costa Rica

Rodrigo Oreamuno B.

Sergio Amador
Batalla Legal

Sylvia Bejarano Ramírez
Central Law

Yesenia Navarro Montero
Artech Law

Yolanda Ruiz Castillo

Anonymous Contributors

Côte d'Ivoire

Alexandre Bairo
SCPA KSK

Aliou Niangadou
Cabinet Niangadou

Allo Stéphane Elisée Boli
KSK Société d'Avocats

André Kouamé Guillaume N'Guetta
Université Alassane Ouattara de Bouaké

Arsene K. Dable
Barreau de Côte d'Ivoire

Bi Manh Yoli
Université Alassane Ouattara de Bouaké

Carine Kouadio
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny de Cocody

Daugbley Guy Roland Kragbe
Institut Pasteur de Côte d'Ivoire

Eric Bably
BK & Associés

Fotienworo Mathias Coulibaly
Societe d'Avocats Jurisfortis

Françoise Angeline Assi-Kaudjhis-Offoumou
Association Internationale pour la Démocratie (AID-Afrique)

Genevieve Diallo
REPSFECOCI

Géraldine Odehouri-Koudou
Barreau de Côte d'Ivoire

Hugues Kovizié N'Guessan
Association des Francophones pour le Développement et la Paix (AFDP)

Jules Gotre
Amepouh

Junior Franck Wilfried Tigby
Ivoire-Juriste

Kignaman Soro
KS & Associés

Komla Fabrice Folly

Kone G. Dogbemin
SCPA Nambeya-Dogbemin & Associes

Paterne Mambo
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny-Boigny d'Abidjan

Saa Barthélemy Tolno

Souleymane Sakho
SCPA Sakho-Yapobi-Fofana & Associes

Anonymous Contributors

Croatia

Alan Bosnar

Alan Soric
Attorneys Soric & Tomekovic Dunda

Anita Krizmanić
Macesic & Partners LLC

Arsen Bacic
Croatian Acedemy of Science and Arts; University Of Split

Boris Kozjak
Boris Kozjak Law Firm

Božidar Feldman
Law Firm Matić, Feldman & Herman

Branko Smerdel
University of Zagreb

Darko Jurišić
General Hospital "Dr. J. Benčević"

Ema Menđušić Škugor
Divjak, Topić, Bahtijarević & Krka Law Firm

Floriana Bulic-Jakus
University of Zagreb

Ivana Manovelo
Macesic & Partners LLC

Ivo Grga

Mario Krka
Divjak, Topić, Bahtijarević & Krka Law Firm

Marko Borsky
Odvjetnički Ured Marko Borsky

Marko Lovrić

Miroljub Macesic
Law Offices Macesic & Partners LLC

Rudolf Gregurek
University Hospital Centre Zagreb; University of Zagreb

Snježana Burić

Tomislava Furcic
Law Office Furcic

Zvonko Sosic
Andrija Stampar School of Public Health, University of Zagreb

Anonymous Contributors

Cyprus

Charis Papacharalambous
University of Cyprus

Christos Clerides
Cyprus Bar Association; Frederick University

Dimitrios Ntourakis
European University Cyprus

Elias A. Stephanou
Ilias A. Stefanou LLC

Elias Necleous
Elias Neocleous & Co. LLC

Lora Stylianou
LC Law Stylianou & Associates LLC

Michalis C. Zambartas
Michalis C. Zambartas Advocates

Minhan Sagiroglu
Juris Law Firm

Murat Metin Hakki
Metin A. Hakki & Murat M. Hakki Advocates and Legal Consultants

Neofytos Pirilides
N. Pirilides & Associates LLC

Savvas Savvides
Michael Kyprianou & Co. LLC

Stamatina Yannakourou
European University Cyprus

Theodoros Xanthos
European University Cyprus

Yiannos Georgiades
Georgiades & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Czech Republic

Arkady Alexandrov

Daniel Bartoň

Helena Hangler
Schoenherr

Jan Hurdík
Masaryk University Brno

Jan Poláček
Poláček, Tryznová & Prudlová

Jaroslav Kuba

Jitka Kadlcikova
NK Law Group, advokátní kancelář

Lukáš Prudil
AK PRUDIL a spol., s.r.o.

Michal Peškar
Randl Partners

Petr Kotáb
Dentons

Radek Matouš
Eversheds Sutherland

Stepan Holub
Holubova Advokati s.r.o.

Tomas Cihula
Kinstellar

Tomas Matejovsky
CMS Law

Veronika Prudlová
Advokátní Kancelář Poláček Tryznová & Prudlová

Vojtech Steininger
Hartmanova & Steininger

Anonymous Contributors

Denmark

Allan Krasnik
University of Copenhagen

Anette Schulz
University College South Denmark

Anne Brandt Christensen

Anne-Louise Bjerregaard
Steno Diabetes Center Sjælland

Christian Bay Nielsen
STORM Advokatfirma

Christian Bentz
Lind Advokataktieselskab

Hanne Marie Motzfeldt
University of Copenhagen

Jacob Schall Holberg
Bech-Bruun

Jakob S. Johnsen
HjulmandKaptain

Jannik Haahr Antonsen
Codex Law

Jens Rye-Andersen
Eurojuris

Jørn Vestergaard
University of Copenhagen

Kim Trenskow
Kromann Reumert

Marianne Granhøj
Kromann Reumert

Natalie Videbaek Munkholm
Aarhus University

Poul Hvilsted
Horten Law Firm

Signe Smith Jervelund
University of Copenhagen

Søren Skjerbek
Norrbom Vinding

Vibeke Bregnballe
Aarhus University

Anonymous Contributors

Dominica

Beverly Leblanc
Achievement Learning Centre; Circle of Friends

Dawn Yearwood
Dawn Yearwood Chambers

Joshua Francis
Joshua Francis Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Dominican Republic

Angeanette Tejeda García
OMG, S.A.S.

Argenys Matos Feliz
Consultores Juridicos del Caribe

Arismendi Díaz Santana

Demian Herrera
Hospital Pediátrico Dr. Hugo Mendoza

Denny E. Díaz Mordán
Universidad Autónoma de Santo Domingo

Edwin Grandel Capellán
Grandel y Asociados Abogados

Elisabetta Pedersini
Aaron Suero & Pedersini

Fernando Roedan
Roedan & Asociados

Georges Santoni Recio
Russin, Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti

Gonzalo Sánchez Modesto
Cana Law Legal Strategy

Jefrey Lizardo
Comisión Nacional de Bioética (CNB)

Jesus Feris-Iglesias
Fundación Dominicana de Infectología, Inc.

Josvane Japa Rodríguez
Centro de Investigación Dr. Hugo Mendoza

Juan Carlos Ortiz Abreu
Ortiz & Comprés

Juan Emilio Ulloa
Tribunal Superior Electoral

Juan Vizcaino Canario
AbogadoSDQ

Julio César De la Rosa Tiburcio
Alianza Dominicana Contra la Corrupción (ADOCCO)

Julio Cury
Inteligencia Legal, SRL

Kelvin W. Herrera
Consejo Latinoamericano de Estudiosos de Derecho Internacional y Comparado (COLADIC)

Luis Julio Jimenez
Jimenez Peña

Magdalena Rathe
Fundación Plenitud

Manuel Colomé-Hidalgo
Hospital Pediátrico Dr. Hugo Mendoza

Mary Fernandez
Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernandez

Massiel Méndez Jorge
Hospital Pediátrico Dr. Hugo Mendoza

Miguel Angel Reyes Taveras
Taveras & Taveras Abogados

Pascal Peña Pérez
Pontificia Universidad Católica Madre y Maestra (PUCMM)

Roberto Medina Reyes
Jorge Prats Abogados & Consultores

Rodolfo Mesa
Mesa Abogados

Rodolfo Valentin Santos

Smerly Rodriguez Jimenez
Trajano Potentini y Asociados

Stalin Ciprián
Ciprián Arriaga & Asocs.

Victor Santana
Consejo Latinoamericano de Estudiosos de Derecho Internacional y Comparado (COLADIC)

Virgilio A. Mendez Amaro
Mendez & Asociados

Zoila Leonor Torres
Pediatric Hospital Dr. Hugo Mendoza

Anonymous Contributors

Ecuador

Alexis Noboa Arregui
Cevallos & Noboa

Alfredo G. Brito
Brito & Pinto

Ana Belen Posso Fernández
Ontaneda & Posso Abogados

Ana Veloz Avendaño

Antonio Pachacama

Carlos Carrasco Yépez
Meythaler & Zambrano Abogados

Carlos Humberto Páez Fuentes

Carlos Solines Coronel
Solines & Asociados Abogados

Ciro Pazmiño Zurita
P&P Law Office

Claudia Storini
Universidad Andina Simón Bolívar-E

Clementina Pomar Anta
Bustamante y Bustamante Law Firm

David Ponce
Ponce & Ponce Abogados

Diego Almeida-Guzmán
Almeida Guzmán & Asociados

Elizabeth Grijalva Yerovi
PwC Asesores Empresariales Cía. Ltda.

Farith Simon
Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Fernando Sacoto Aizaga
Sociedad Ecuatoriana de Salud Publica

Gerardo Aguirre Vallejo
Estudio Jurídico Vivanco & Vivanco

Gladis Proaño Reyes

James Pilco Luzuriaga
Universidad del Azuay

José Luis Tapia

Juan José Campaña del Castillo

Marcelo Proaño Paredes

María José Luna Lara
Universidad de Los Hemisferios

Mario I. Armendáriz Y.
Armendáriz & Andino Abogados

Mary Cabrera
SENDAS

Miguel Ángel Gavilánez Guerrero
Universidad Estatal de Bolívar

Pablo Andino Fiallos
Armendáriz & Andino Abogados

Santiago Solines Moreno
Solines & Asociados Abogados

Ximena Moreno
Solines & Asociados Abogados

Anonymous Contributors

Egypt, Arab Rep.

Ahmed Taha Drwish
Badran Law Office

Hassan Bassiouny
High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University

Ibrahim Ahmad

Ibrahim F. Kharboush
High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University

Khaled El Shalakany
Shalakany Law Office

Mamdooh Abdelmottlep
Lotus Law Firm

Mohamed Abdelaal
Alexandria University

Mohamed El-Shenawy
El-Shenawy Law Firm

Osama Omar
Osama Omar Law Firm

Salaheldin El-Saman
Salah El-Saman Law Office

Taher Benany
University of Michigan

Anonymous Contributors

El Salvador

Adán Araujo
Arias Law

Ana Yesenia Granillo de Tobar
Escuela Superior de Economía y Negocios (ESEN)

Arturo Magaña

Benjamin Valdez Iraheta
Benjamin Valdez & Asociados

Carlos Arturo Muyshondt
Consulado de Belgica

Christian Bara Cousin
Bara Legal Corporation

David Claros
García & Bodán

Delmer Edmundo Rodríguez Cruz
Escuela Superior de Economía y Negocios (ESEN)

Diego Martin Menjivar
Consortium Legal

Feridee Alabí
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Francisco Murillo
Central Law

Giancarlo de la Gasca
De la Gasca & Cía.

Israel Antonio Chinchilla
Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas

Ivan Seassal

Jose Mario Valdivieso Berdugo
LEGIC El Salvador

José Raúl Guerrero J.
LEGALIS

José Roberto Romero
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Kelly Romero
Nassar Abogados

Luis Alonso Navarrete Soto
Despacho de Abogados LANS

Piero Rusconi Gutiérrez
Central Law

Porfirio Díaz Fuentes
DLM, Abogados, Notarios y Consultores

Rebeca Atanacio de Basagoitia
Escalon & Atanacio

Anonymous Contributors

Estonia

Aare Tark
Law Firm Tark

Andres Vutt
University of Tartu

Ene Soop
Law Firm Narlex

Gaabriel Tavits
University of Tartu

Hanna Pahk
Ellex Raidla Law Firm

Ilmar-Erik Aavakivi
LEADELL Pilv Law Office

Jaanus Mägi
Magnusson

Jaanus Tehver
Estonian Bar Association

Kaarel Kais
Advokaadibüroo Premium OÜ

Kaja Põlluste
University of Tartu

Madis Kiisa
Law Office Laus & Partners

Maksim Greinoman
Advokaadibüroo Greinoman & Co.

Martin Triipan
Ellex Raidla Law Firm

Merle Erikson
University of Tartu

Mirjam Frey
Advokaadibüroo Lion Law

Peep Peterson
Estonian Trade Union Confederation

Anonymous Contributors

Ethiopia

Abduljebar Abdulahi Muhamed
Arsi University

Abdurahman Seid Hussen
Abdurahman Seid Law Office

Abebe Assefa Terefe
Bahir Dar University

Abiyou Girma Tamrat
Abiyou Girma Law Office

Abrham Yohanes
Abrham Law Office

Afework Kassu Gizaw
Addis Ababa University

Alebachew Birhanu Enyew
Bahir Dar University

Alemu Meheretu Negash
Jimma University

Aschalew Ashgare Byness
Addis Ababa University

Cherinet and Simeneh Law Office

Dessalegn Tigabu
Bahir Dar University

Fikadu Asfaw Demmisie
Fikadu Asfaw and Associates Law Office

Gebi Husein Jima
Arsi University

Gebreyesus Abegaz Yimer
KU Leuven; Mekelle University

Getachew Mandefro Abate

Getu Shiferaw
Mehrteab Leul & Associates

Gianpiero Succi
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office in cooperation with BonelliErede

Girma Kassa Kumsa
Arsi University

Guadie Sharew Wondimagegn
Bahir Dar University

Habtamu Siraj
Habtamu Siraj and Associates Law Office

Hiruy Wubie Gebreegziabher
Monash University

Kidist Sheferaw
54 FMCG

Mehari Redae
Addis Ababa University

Mehreteab G.
Mekelle University

Mesfin Tafesse
Mesfin Tafesse and Associates (MTA)

Misganaw Gashaw Beza
Bahir Dar University

Muhammed Hillo
Arsi University

Mulu Beyene Kidanemariam
University of Bergen

Rahel Alemayehu

Simeneh Kiros Assefa
Addis Ababa University

Solomon Negussie
Addis Ababa University

Tameru Wondm Agegnehu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office in cooperation with BonelliErede

Temesgen Sisay Beyene
Bahir Dar University

Tesfaye Deresse Zewdie
Tesfaye Deresse Law Office

Tsehai Wada
Addis Ababa University

Yodit Gurji Argaw
Fikadu Asfaw and Associates Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Finland

Ari Miettinen
Fimlab Laboratories, Inc.

Arja R. Aro
EduRes Consulting Ltd.

Harri Hietala
Sopla lp.

Janne Salminen
University of Turku

Johan Åkermarck
Dittmar & Indrenius Attorneys Ltd

Johanna Niemi
University of Turku

Jorma Saloheimo

Jukka Paloheimo
Roschier Attorneys ltd.

Jukka Peltonen
Foundation of the Finnish Bar Association

Kimmo Nuotio
University of Helsinki

Kirsi-Maria Halonen
University of Lapland

Lauri Railas
Railas Attorneys Ltd.

Markku Fredman
Law Office Fredman & Månsson

Mats Welin
Hästö & Co Attorneys Ltd.

Matti Ilmari Niemi
University of Eastern Finland

Matti Tolvanen
University of Eastern Finland

Mika J. Lehtimäki
Attorneys-at-Law TRUST

Mika Launiala
University of Eastern Finland

Mikael Hidén
University of Helsinki

Patrick Lindgren
Advocare Law Office

Tatu Hyttinen
University of Turku

Teuvo Pohjolainen
University of Eastern Finland

Tommi Koivistoinen
Asianajotoimisto Tuutti Oy

Tuomas Lehtinen
Castren & Snellman Attorneys; Helsinki University

Anonymous Contributors

France

Benoît Goulesque-Monaux
Taylor Wessing

Carlos M. Herrera
CY Cergy Paris Université

Cyril Bloch
Aix-Marseille Université

Dominique Inchauspé
Cabinet Inchauspé & Remy

Elisabeth Grabli
Barreau de Paris

Evelyne Friedel
Taylor Wessing

Florence Debord
Université Lyon 2

Georges Sioufi
SRDB Law Firm

Henri Dubois
ATD Quart Monde

Isabelle Victoria Carbuccia
Cabinet IVCH

Jacques Delga
Barreau de Paris et Essec

Juliette Chapelle
Chapelle Avocat

Marie-Christine Cimadevilla
Cimadevilla Avocats

Nicole Stolowy
HEC Paris

Olivier Péan de Ponfilly

Patrice Le Maigat
Université de Rennes 1

Sébastien Ducamp
Sesame Avocats

Véronique Tuffal-Nerson
Cabinet TNDA

Anonymous Contributors

The Gambia

Francois S. Mendy
Nova Scotia Gambia Association

Henry Alisigwe
University of the Gambia

Junkung Jobarteh
Mansafing Law Practice

Loubna Farage
Farage Andrews Law Practice

Madi Jobarteh

Malick F. M'Bai
Fajara Chambers

Momodou A. Bah
Hands on Care (HoC)

Muhammed B. Sowe
African Center for Legal Research

Musa Touray
Bijilo Medical Center

Satang Nabaneh
Law Hub Gambia

Anonymous Contributors

Georgia

Anna Arganashvili
Partnership for Human Rights

Davit Maisuradze
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information (IDFI)

George Gotsadze
Curatio International Foundation

Giorgi Chkheidze
East West Management Institute (EWMI)

Giorgi Davituri
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information (IDFI)

Giorgi Kikiani

Giorgi Kldiashvili
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information (IDFI)

Gocha Vanidze
Law Firm Svanidze & Partners

Grigol Gagnidze
Georgian Barristers & Lawyers International Observatory

Ivdity Chikovani
Curatio International Foundation

Ketevan Kukava
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information (IDFI)

Lasha Gogiberidzer
BGI Legal

Levan Avalishvili
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information (IDFI)

Nata Kazakhashvili
Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Natia Skhvitaridze
The University of Georgia

Nona Kurdovanidze
Georgian Young Lawyers' Association (GYLA)

Sofia Roinishvili
GRATA International

Tamar Jikia
MG Law Office

Tamara Tevdoradze
BGI Legal

Tamta Nutsubidze
Begiashvili & Co. Ltd

Zurab Chkheidze
Begiashvili & Co. Ltd

Anonymous Contributors

Germany

Alexander Putz
Putz und Partner, Steuerberater & Rechtsanwalt

Annegret Berne
Berne Law Firm

Axel Nagler
Nagler Rohfahl Haberkern Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaftsgesellschaft mbB

Carsten Momsen
Freie Universität Berlin

Christian Wolff
Schock Rechstanwälte GbR

Christoph Hexel
Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek

Christoph Lindner
Dr. Lindner Rechtsanwälte

Dirk Vielhuber
Berufsgenossenschaft der Bauwirtschaft

Eckart Brödermann
Brödermann Jahn RA GmbH

Friederike Lemme
Kanzlei Lemme

Gerhard Wegen
Gleiss Lutz

Gernot A. Warmuth
Plaßmann Unkrich PartGmbB

Gregor Dornbusch
Baker McKenzie

Hauke Hagena
ProMINT - Tax & Legal

Henning Rosenau
Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

Hermann Bietz
Bietz Arbitration

Hermann Pünder
Bucerius Law School

Ingo Friedrich
Dr. Friedrich und Partner Rechtsanwaelte mbB

Ingo Klaus Wamser
Rechtsanwalt Wamser

Jessica Jacobi
KLIEMT.Arbeitsrecht

Juergen Nazarek
Law Office Dr. Nazarek

Jürgen Baumann

Kerstin Nlethammer-Jürgens
Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH

Marc Seifert
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Martin Reufels
Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek

Martin Sträßer
Sträßer Rehm Barfield

Matthias Nodorf
Kanzlei Dr. Nodorf

Michael Staudenmayer
Fachanwalts- und Steuerkanzlei Staudenmayer

Monika Hagen
Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Hagen

Oliver Bolthausen
DWF

Oliver Schellbach
Rechtsanwalt Oliver Schellbach

Othmar K. Traber
Ahlers & Vogel Rechtsanwälte

R. Kunz-Hallstein

Rainer M. Hofmann
Kanzlei im Hofhaus

Reinhard Arndts
Mittelstein Rechtsanwälte

Roland Gross
gross::rechtsanwaelte

Sabine Barth
LANGE BRUNNER Rechtsanwälte mbB

Sebastian Reinsch
Janke & Reinsch Rechtsanwälte

Silke Graeser

Stefan Huster
Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Stephan Sander
Sander Terhedebrügge Treumann Rechtsanwälte

Thilo Mahnhold
JUSTEM Rechtsanwälte

Thomas Feltes
Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Thomas Jürgens
Jürgens Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH

Volker von Moers
Lawyers von Moers

Wibke Köppler
Oelmüller Rechtsanwälte GbR, Bürogemeinschaft

Wolf Stahl
ADA Cosmetics International

Anonymous Contributors

Ghana

Alex Owiredu Dankwa
Jasford Legal Consult

Azanne Kofi Akainyah
A & A Law Consult

Daphne Lariba Nabila
Legal Resources Centre

Elikem Adisenu-Doe
Gyandoh Asmah & Co.

Enock Jengre
Legal Resources Centre

Esine Okudzeto
Sam Okudzeto and Associates

Frank Owusu-Sekyere
Korle Bu Teaching Hospital

Isaac Aburam Lartey
Sam Okudzeto and Associates

Isidore Tufuor
Law Plus

Kofi Asmah
Gyandoh Asmah & Co.

Kwame Owusu Agyeman

Melisa Amarteifio
Sam Okudzeto and Associates

Nana Esi Aferba Ahlijah
Ariel Avery Law

Nii Nortey Hanson-Nortey
Hilly Consult Inc.

Richmond Aryeetey
University of Ghana

Samson Lardy Anyenini
A-Partners at Law

Samuel Alesu-Dordzi
AudreyGrey Unlimited

Tata Kosi Foliba
Fugar & Company

Theophilus Tawiah
WTS Nobisfields

Anonymous Contributors

Greece

Alexios Athanassopoulos
AA Law Firm

Alexis Anagnostakis
Anagnostakis Law Offices

Anthony G. Mavrides
Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates, L.P.C.

Athina Marina Christofilopoulou
E-nomos

Dionysis Balourdos
National Centre for Social Research

Effimia Tsagkalidou
E. Tsagkalidou and Partners

Eleni Kloukinioti
Transparency International

Evripidis Rizos
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Fotini N. Skopouli
Harokopio University

Grace Katsoulis
Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates, L.P.C.

Ilias Anagnostopoulos
Anagnostopoulos

Konstantinos Apostolopoulos
Apostolopouloslaw Law Firm

Konstantinos Valmas-Vloutis
Valmas-Vloutis Law Office

Kostoula Mazaraki
Nomos Law Firm

Magda Kapoti-Tazedaki
Tazedakis Law Firm

Marialena Papachristou
Marialena Papachristou Law Office

Melina Avagianou
Klimaka NGO

Nigel Bowen-Morris
Stephenson Harwood

Nikolaos Kondylis
Kondylis & Partners Law Office

Panagiotis Gioulakos
E-nomos

Stavros J. Karageorgiou
Karageorgiou and Associates Law Firm

Stelios Andreadakis
Brunel University London

Stelios Gregoriou
Gregoriou Law Firm

Themis Tosounidis
KPAG Law Firm

Vasileios Tetokas

Virginia Theodoropoulou
Theodoropoulou-Dendias Law Office

Yota Kremmida
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Anonymous Contributors

Grenada

Chevanev Charles
Temple Stoke Law Chambers

Karen M. M. Samuel
Samuel Phillip & Associates

Lutz N. Amechi
St. Augustine's Medical Services Inc.

Rae T. J. Thomas
Franco Chambers & Co.

Rosana John
Dentons

Sabrita Khan-Ramdhani
Ramdhani & Associates

Tanya K. Lambert

Yurana Phillip
Afi Ventour & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Guatemala

Alejandro Balsells Conde
Universidad Rafael Landívar

Álfaro Vinico López Marroquín
Carrillo & Asociados

Alvaro R. Cordón
Universidad Regional de Guatemala

Ana Gisela Castillo
Grupo Abántica

Angélica Lucía Aguilar Gutiérrez
Ingeniería, Investigación y Desarrollo (INDESA)

Brian Leo Treacy

Carlos Roberto Cordón Krumme
Cordón Krumme & Asociados

Christian Roberto Villatoro Martínez
Universidad Rafael Landívar

David Ernesto Chacón Estrada
Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala

Diego Alejos Rivera
Consortium Legal

Dina Castro
Instituto de Derecho de Familia (IDF)

Edson Lopez
ECIJA Integrum

Emanuel Callejas
Carrillo & Asociados

Fernando Guerra
Guerra Ruiz y Asociados

Fredy Abed Alabi
Hospital Herrera Llerandi; Universidad Francisco Marroquín

Fredy Aníbal Quinteros Sagastume

Gabriel Arturo Muadi Garcia
Muadi, Murga, Jimenez & Luna

Harvey Álvarez
HP Abogados

J. Guillermo Gándara Espino
Asociación Iberoamericana de Juristas del Derecho del Trabajo y la Seguridad Social

Jorge Barrios

Jorge Estuardo Arias Ramírez

Jorge Luis Rodas Garcia
NexusLegal

Jorge Mario Andrino Grotewold

José Miguel Argueta Bone

Juan Andrés Marroquín
Carrillo & Asociados

Juan José Porras Castillo
GT Legal

Juan Pablo Carrasco de Groote
Central Law

Juan Pablo Gramajo Castro
Mayora & Mayora

Liz Gordillo Anleu
Arias Law

Luis Pedro Cazali

Mario Roberto Guadrón Rouanet
GT Legal

Marvin Javier Dávila Villegas

Oscar Augusto Rivas Villanueva
GR Legal

Patricia Lorena Marcucci Ruano
GR Legal

Pedro Mendoza Montano
Iurisconsulti, Abogados y Notarios

Rolando Escobar Menaldo
Universidad Rafael Landívar

Saúl González Cabrera
Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala

Sergio Alejandro Peña Mandujano
Globalex Guerra & Asociados

Vilma Judith Chavez Espina de Pop
Universidad Galileo

Ximena Tercero
Arias Law

Anonymous Contributors

Guinea

Adama Kourouma

Adrien Tossa Montcho
Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous (MDT)

Aimé Christophe Labilé Kone
Avocats Sans Frontières

Ali Badara Bangoura
Barreau de Guinée

Alpha Kourouma

Amadou Babahein Camara
JFC Avocats Guinée

Ansoumane Kalivogui
Étude Notariale Kalivogui Ansoumane

Benjamin Zogbelemou
Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous (MDT)

David Beavogui
Barreau de Guinée

Foromo Frederic Loua
Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous (MDT)

Halimatou Camara
Ordre des Avocats de Guinée

Moussa Diakite

Oumar Baldé

Ousmane Dambel
Brussels United Lawyers (BUL)

Thierno Souleymane Barry
TS Barry & Partners

Vaza Louis Marie Haba
Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous (MDT)

Yaramo Cé Saoulomou
Barreau de Guinée

Anonymous Contributors

Guyana

Adrian Smith
Toussaint Law Firm

Chandrawattie Persaud
Ibis Law Chambers

Eva Scott
Bahdursingh and Rawana-Scott

Madan Rambaran
Woodlands Hospital

Mirza Sahadat
Sahadat Law Office

Nicholas Carryl
Roberts, Carryl & Associates

Rajendra R. Jaigobin
Mohabir A. Nandlall and Associates

Shaunella Glen-George

Anonymous Contributors

Haiti

Alain Lemithe
Cabinet Menard-Lemithe

Anderson Gazenord
Themis Cabinet d'Avocats

Bernard H. Gousse
Université Quisqueya

Elizabeth Mentor Lafontant
Cabinet Lissade-Succar

Genel Pierre
Cabinet Rigaud Duplan et Associés

Jean Guy Honore
Centre Haitien pour le Renforcement du Système de Santé (CHARESS)

Jean Rene Michel
Cabinet Jean Rene et Associes

Jerry Chandler
Polyclinique de Turgeau

Karl B. Couba
Karl B. Couba & Associés

Lucien Albert
Université de Montréal

Marie Dorothie Jean-Charles
Jean-Charles Law Offices

Michel Succar
Cabinet Lissade-Succar

Olnert Casimir

Patrick Daniel Frantz Laurent
Cabinet Patrick Laurent & Associés

Rene Rodrigue Dumas
Rodrigue Dumas & Associés

Salim Succar
Cabinet Lissade-Succar

Vanessa Abdel-Razak
Cabinet Abdel-Razak & Associés

Anonymous Contributors

Honduras

Andrea Cecilia Idiáquez Martínez
Melara & Asociados

Astarté A. Alegría Castellanos
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Cesar Gonzalez Icaza
Roatan Lawyers - Gonzalez Icaza y Asociados

Claribel Medina
Central Law

Claudia Midence
Arias Law

David Armando Urtecho López
Universidad de Navarra

David Israel Díaz Hernández
Díaz, Alonzo & Bernhard Law Firm

Dennis Emilio Hércules Rosa
Legal Corporate Advisors

Emy Carolina Castellon
Hombro a Hombro

Evangelina Lardizábal
Arias Law

Fanny Rodriguez
Arias Law

Jessica Marleni Kennerley
Palma y Asociados

Jissell Sarahi Contreras Sanchez
Cruz Roja Hondureña

Jorge Arturo Reina Interiano
ECIJA Honduras

Jorge Lopez
Jorge Lopez & Asociados

Jose Alvarez
BLP Legal

Juan José Alcerro Milla
Aguilar Castillo Love

Lino Carmenate Milián
Centro de Investigación en Salud, Trabajo y Ambiente (CIDSTA); Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Lisandro Valle Pérez
Hospital y Clínicas DIME

Maria Elena Sabillon Paz
Centro Americano para Solidaridad Sindicalista Internacional (Solidarity Center)

Marlon Ortiz
Universidad Tecnologica Centroamericana (UNITEC)

Max Salgado
Bufete Actio Juris

Miguel Armando Zapata Izaguirre
García & Bodán

Nidia Vanessa Lopez A.
Clinica Dra. Nidia Lopez

Rafael Gomez Mateo
Grupo Legalsa de Honduras

Valerya Theodoracopoulos Lopez
Arias Law

Yesenia Martinez
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Anonymous Contributors

Hong Kong SAR, China

David K. Y. Tang
K&L Gates

Ella Cheong
ELLALAN

M. Vidler
Vidler & Co. Solicitors

Michael Chai
Bernacchi Chambers

P. Y. Lo
Nanyang Chambers

Paul Shieh
Hong Kong Bar Association

Philip Dykes

Rick Glofcheski
University of Hong Kong

Robert Pang
Bernacchi Chambers

Tam Yat Hung

Anonymous Contributors

Hungary

Ákos Bajorfi
Noerr és Társai Iroda

Alexandra Bognar
Schoenherr

András Jakab
University of Salzburg

Andras L. Pap
Centre for Social Sciences Institute for Legal Studies; Eötvös University; Ludovika University of Public Service

Balázs Tóth
Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Emese Szilágyi
Hungarian Academy of Sciences Centre of Excellence

Éva Bíró
University of Debrecen

Gábor Baruch
Baruch Law Office

Judit Sandor
Central European University

Petra Bárd
Central European University

Viktor Olivér Lőrincz
Hungarian Academy of Sciences Centre of Excellence

Zsolt Zengődi

Anonymous Contributors

India

Abraham C. Mathews

Anil Fernandes
Anil Fernandes & Associates

Anil Kumar K.
Tata Institute of Social Sciences

Anil Paleri

Anshul Prakash
Khaitan & Co.

Anupamaa V.
AVRC Legal

Arvinder Pal Singh Narula
Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University Medical College

Ashok Ramgir
Harsh Impex

Atul Gupta
Trilegal

Avik Biswas
IndusLaw

Bontha V. Babu
Indian Council of Medical Research

Damodhar Padmanabha
DXC-ULX Enterprise Legal Department

Justin M. Bharucha
Bharucha & Partners

Lalit Bhasin
Bhasin & Company Advocates

Nagarathna A.
National Law School of India University

Nirmal Kanti Chakrabarti
West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences

Prakash Prabhakarrao Doke
Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University Medical College

Rabindra Jhunjhunwala
Khaitan & Co.

Rajas Kasbekar
CRK Legal

Rajeev Tewari
Bhoruka Charitable Trust

Rajiv K. Luthra
L&L Partners Law Offices

S. Ramakrishnan

Sanjay Janardan Patil
BDH Industries Limited

Saurabh Misra
Saurabh Misra & Associates

Shankar Das
Tata Institute of Social Sciences

Sheahan Verghese
Tree of Life Associates

Shyamal Mukherjee
Fox Mandal & Associates

Siddhartha George
Poovayya & Co.

Srishti Kalra

Subhrarag Mukherjee
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Suvir Sidhu

Vidya Bhushan Rawat
Social Development Foundation

Vipender Mann
KNM & Partners

Y. S. Kusuma
All India Institute of Medical Sciences

Yashomati Ghosh
National Law School of India University

Anonymous Contributors

Indonesia

Alamo D. Laiman
Legisperitus Lawyers

Atika Yuanita Paraswaty
APTA Law Firm

Budiyono Budiyono
Universitas Diponegoro

Dominikus Raditya Atmaka
Universitas Airlangga

Erwin Natosmal Oemar
Dewan Pimpinan Pusat Ikatan Advokat

Felicia Tania
Legisperitus Lawyers

Giffy Pardede
Ali Budiardjo Nugroho Reksodiputro

Hadi Pratomo
Universitas Indonesia

Hanifa M. Denny
Diponegoro University

Indra Setiawan
ABNR Counsellors at Law

Jono Yeo
Yeo & Co. Law Offices

Muhammad Fatahillah Akbar
Universitas Gadjah Mada

Nabiyla Risfa Izzati
Universitas Gadjah Mada

Ningrum Sirait
Universitas Sumatera Utara

Nini N. Halim
Hutabarat Halim & Rekan

Noverizky Tri Putra Pasaribu
AM Oktarina Counsellors at Law

Raditya A. Titus and Rahayu Hoed
Makarim & Taira S. Counsellors at Law

Sianti Candra
Agung Podomoro University

Sri Handayani
Asian Health Literacy Association (AHLA)

Sunardjo Sumaegono
Law Office of Semar Suryakencana

Susilo Andi Darma
Universitas Gadjah Mada

Tanius Sebastian
Parahyangan Catholic University

Tommy Nugroho
Prahasaskita & Co.

Tristam Pascal Moeliono
Parahyangan Catholic University

Yaya Winarno Junardy
Indonesia Global Compact Network (IGCN)

Yuniarti
University of Pekalongan

Anonymous Contributors

Iran, Islamic Rep.

Abdolkarim Hamedi
Mashhad University of Medical Science

Arash Izadi
Dr. Arash Izadi & Associates

Ardeshir Atai

Hamid Reza Bakhshi Moakher

Iraj Zareban
Zahedan University of Medical Sciences

Kamiar Alaei
Health Science Department

Mehran Tamadonfar
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Mohammad H. Zarei

Naser Jafari

Sanaz Alasti
Lamar University

Yahya Rayegani
Praelegal Iran

Anonymous Contributors

Ireland

Caroline Fennell
University College Cork

Catherine Hayes
Trinity College Dublin

Damien Rudden

David Conlan Smyth
Bar of Ireland

David Higgins
Berwick Solicitors

Declan Black
Mason Hayes & Curran

Deirdre Madden
University College Cork

Ronan Geary
Ronan Daly Jermyn

Sara Benedi Lahuerta
University College Dublin

Vicky Conway
Dublin City University

Anonymous Contributors

Italy

Alberto Fantini
Tonucci & Partners

Alessia-Ottavia Cozzi
University of Udine

Andrea Barontini

Anna Simonati
Trento University

Antonella Antonucci
Università degli Studi "Aldo Moro" di Bari

Antonio Cassatella
University of Trento

Antonio Viscomi
Università Magna Graecia di Catanzaro

Emanuele Cortesi
CMA Legal

Emanuele Scafato
Italian Society of Alcohology

Francesco De Angelis

Gianfranco Di Garbo
Interconsulting

Gianmaria Ajani
University of Torino

Giuseppe Lorenzo Rosa

Ilaria Bottigliero
International Development Law Organization

Laura Pavese
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Luca Barontini

Luigi Mori
Biolato Longo Ridola & Mori

Marco Esposito
Università di Napoli Parthenope

Mariano Cingolani
Institute of Legal Medicine, Macerata University

Mario Perini
Università degli Studi di Siena

Patrizia Magarò
University of Genoa

Patrizio Ivo D'Andrea
Università di Roma Tre

Pierpaolo Martucci
Università di Trieste

Pietro Faraguna
Università di Trieste

Riccardo Del Punta
University of Florence

Roberto Ceccon
ACLAW Ceccon & Associates

Rocchina Staiano
Università di Teramo

Vittorio Fanchiotti
University of Genoa

Anonymous Contributors

Jamaica

Allan S. Wood
Livingston Alexander & Levy

Annalee Gray Brown
Caribbean Institute for Health Research

Anthony Clayton
University of the West Indies

Antoinette Barton-Gooden
University of the West Indies

Audrey Brown

Carla-Anne Harris-Roper
Employment Matters Caribbean

Colleen Coleman-Wright

Donovan Jackson
Nunes Scholefield DeLeon & Co.

Dwight Williams
Nigel Jones & Company

Emile G. R. Leiba
DunnCox

Hadrian R. Christie
HRC Law

Jacqueline Goulbourne
University of the West Indies

Jodi Ann Paulwell

Kevin O. Powell
Hylton Powell

Laura D. Richards

Lisa N. Russell
Russell & Russell, Attorneys-at-Law & Notaries Public

Malcolm D. McDonald
McDonald Millingen Attorneys at Law

Marie Freckleton
University of the West Indies

Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers
Law Office of Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers

Oraine Nelson

Paul Brown
University of the West Indies

Sonia Gatchair
University of the West Indies

Torna M. Samuels
Commercial Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Japan

Akifumi Mochizuki
Atsumitoshiyuki Law Office

Hiroshi Matsuo
Keio University Law School

Hiroyuki Ota
Rajah & Tann Singapore

Junko Ogushi
Atsumi & Sakai

Kazumasa Yamagishi
University of Tsukuba

Kosuke Oie
Hiroo Park Law Firm

Manabu Wagatsuma
Tokyo Metropolitan Law School

Nanako Tamiya
University of Tsukuba

Nobuo Koinuma
Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University

Takeshi Awao
Awao Law Office

Tomohisa Muranushi
Baker McKenzie

Toshiaki Higashi
Nishinihon Occupational Health Service Center

Wakaba Hara
Japan Federation of Bar Associations

Yasuyuki Suzuki
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

Yohei Suda
Law Office of Yohei Suda

Yosuke Shimamura
Shimamura Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Jordan

Abdulellah Mohammad Al-Nawayseh
Mutah University

Adnan Mohamed Khair Zaza
Eversheds Sutherland

Bashar Malkawi
Hashemite University

Eman Al-Dabbas
International Business Legal Associates (IBLAW)

Farah Al-Majali
International Consolidated for Legal Consultations (ICLC)

George Hazboun
International Consolidated for Legal Consultations (ICLC)

Hisham A. Kassim
Kassim Legal

Hisham Ababneh
Ababneh Law & Arbitration

Kamal Jamal Alawamleh
University of Petra

Laith Nasrawin
Nasrawin Law Office

Ossama F. Shaban
The Jordanian Counselor Attorneys at Law (JC Law)

Ridha Mahmoud Nasair
Law Gate Attorneys & Legal Counselors

Yousef Khader
Jordan University of Science and Technology

Zaid Muhmoud Aqaileh
Mutah University

Anonymous Contributors

Kazakhstan

Aigul Sabyr
GRATA International

Amangeldy Shormanbayev
International Legal Initiative

Annel Shvechikhina
Unicase LLP

Arlan Yerzhanov
PwC Kazakhstan

Artem Timoshenko
Unicase LLP

Aybek Kambaliyev
Unicase LLP

Dmitry Valeryevich Chumakov
Sayat Zholshi and Partners

Erdjanov Timur

Eugene Zhovtis
Kazakhstan International Bureau for Human Rights and Rule of Law

Iskakova Nadira Nurbekovna
Kazakhstan Medical University "VSHOZ"

Mikhail Nadtochiy
Business Optima, LLP

Nurzhan Stamkulov
Synergy Partners Law Firm

Sergei Vataev
Almaty City Advocates' Bar

Sofiya Zhylkaidarova
SIGNUM Law Firm

Yerzhan Kairbekovich Toktarov
Sayat Zholshi and Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Kenya

Abbas Esmail
Anjarwalla & Khanna LLP

Atiq S. Anjarwalla
Anjarwalla & Khanna LLP

Benjamin Musau
B. M. Musau & Co. LLP

Beryl Orao
Kenya National Commission on Human Rights

Charles Kanjama
Lexserve Trust

Charles Kanyari
Citari Consulting

Dennis Chiruba
Anjarwalla & Khanna LLP

Dennis Mung'atta
Gichimu Mung'ata & Company

Donald W. Kaniaru
Kaniaru & Kaniaru Advocates

Elizabeth Wangari Odhiambo
University of Nairobi

Fred Ondieki Mogotu
Anjarwalla & Khanna LLP

Gathari Ndirangu Gichuhi
Pathfinder International

Hiram Nyaburi
DLA Piper Africa, Iseme Kamau & Maema Advocates (IKM)

John Kihiu
Confederation of Micro and Small Enterprises Organizations

Kioko Kilukumi
Kilukumi & Co. Advocates

Lattif N. Shaban
Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims

Lyla Latif
Lai'Latif & Co.

Patrick Rugo Githinji
Muthoga Gaturu & Company

Peter Gachuhi
Kaplan & Stratton Advocates

Remigeo P. Mugambi
Muthoga Gaturu & Company

Ronald Rogo
ROW Advocates LLP; University of Nairobi

Samson Leonard Opundo
Opundo & Associates

Thomas Chokwe-Muinga
University of Nairobi

Thomas N. Maosa
Maosa & Company

Timothy Mutambuki

Wilfred Nderitu
Nderitu & Partners

Yvonne Wangui Machira
International AIDS Vaccine Initiative (IAVI)

Anonymous Contributors

Korea, Rep.

Amanda J. Kim
Yonsei University

Chang Young Kwon
Jipyong LLC

Changwoo Lee
Donghwa Labor LLF

Dongjin Lee
Seoul National University School of Law

Haksoo Ko
Seoul National University School of Law

Hwang Lee
Korea University School of Law

Jaehyuk Ahn
Kim & Chang

Jaeseop Song
Shin & Kim

James I. S. Jeon
Sojong Partners

Junsok Yang
The Catholic University of Korea

Moon-hyuck Ho
Seoul National University School of Law

Sang Hoon Han
Yonsei University

Sangbong Lee
DR & AJU International Law Group LLC

Anonymous Contributors

Kosovo

Adrian Islami

Agron E. Beka
University of Mitrovica "Isa Boletini"

Ahmet Kasumi
Kasumi & Partners

Alban Krasniqi

Albana Rexha
Democracy Plus

Ardian Rexha
Deloitte Kosova

Bahtir Troshupa

Basri Kastrati

Besfort Rrecaj
University of Pristina

Besim Tafa

Ehat Miftaraj
Kosovo Law Institute

Fatmire Haliti
Kosova Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims (KRCT)

Fisnik Salihu
RPHS Law

Florentina Grubi Vula

Gjyljeta Mushkolaj
University of Pristina

Halim Bajraktari

Hava Ismajli
University of Pristina

Haxhi Gashi
University of Pristina

Lavdim Bajraktari
Kosovo Law Institute

Mervete Shala
University for Business and Technology

Nerxhivane Dauti
University of Pristina

Osman Havolli
Havolli & Partners

Qerim Qerimi
University of Pristina

Shpresa Ibrahimi
Centre for Legal Aid and Regional Development (CLARD)

Teuta Beka

Urim Vokshi
Vokshi & Lata Law Firm

Valon Hasani

Anonymous Contributors

Kyrgyz Republic

Aikanysh Jeenbaeva

Aslan Kulbaev

Ayaz Baetov
American Bar Association Rule of Law Initiative (ABA ROLI)

Azamat Kerimbaev
American Bar Association Rule of Law Initiative (ABA ROLI)

Chinara Musabekova
Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University

Dinara Asanbaeva
American University of Central Asia

Elida K. Nogoibaeva
American University of Central Asia

Ermek Mamaev
Kalikova & Associates

Gulshaiyr Abdirasulova
"Kylym Shamy" Human Rights Center

Kerim Begaliev
Centil Law Firm

Nurlan Ismailov
Ala-Too International University

Nurzhan Bostonova
Centil Law Firm

Orekhov Alexander

Saltanat Moldoisaeva
World Health Organization

Sanzhar Aldashev

Tamirlan Muktarov
GRATA International

Volosatova Anastasia Pavlovna
"VERITAS" Law Agency

Zhazgul Atantaeva
GRATA International

Anonymous Contributors

Latvia

Aldis Lieljuksis
Riga Stradins University

Daiga Zivtina
Ellex Klavins Law Firm

Edijs Brants
University of Latvia

Eriks Kreslins
J. Karklins Law Firm

Girts Brigis
Riga Stradins University

Girts Strazdins

Ieva Andersone
Sorainen

Irina Kostina
Ellex Klavins Law Firm

Janis Sarans
COBALT Law Firm

Juta Gulkevica
COBALT Law Firm

Kristine Neimane
Business University Turiba

Oskars Jonans

Sandis Petrovics
TGS Baltic

Toms Kārlis Broks

Uldis Ķinis
Riga Stradins University

Violeta Zeppa-Priedīte
TGS Baltic

Anonymous Contributors

Lebanon

Antoine G. Ghafari

Elias Matar
Lex&Co.

Elias W. Chalhoub
The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity (ACRLI)

Fadia Rizk
Hachem Rizk Law Office

Georges Sioufi
Marcel Sioufi Law Firm

Jihad Irani
University of Balamand

Joelle Choueifati

Joelle Khater
Etude Badri and Salim El Meouchi

Khatoun Haidar
Synergy-Takamol

Mohamad Ziad Ramadan
Elaref International Law Office

Nada Antoine Hachem
Lex&Co.

Nadim Abboud
Abboud and Associates

Najib Mikhael Khattar
Khattar Associates Law Firm

Rihab Aboul Hosn
Rihab Aboul Hosn Law Firm

Roger El Khoury
The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law

Roula Zayat
The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity (ACRLI)

Salah Mattar
Mattar Law Firm

Sheryne Koteiche
Awada & Tyan Law Firm

Talal Jaber

Tony Zreik
Lebanese American University

Wassim Harb
The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity (ACRLI)

Yalda Sacre
Sader & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Liberia

Aagon Fremling Tingba, Jr.
Consortium of Legal Practitioners

Alexandra K. Zoe
Law Offices of Zoe and Partners

Arthur T. Johnson
Consortium of Legal Practitioners

Beyan G. Mulbah
Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.

Cecil B. Griffiths
Center for Criminal Justice Research and Education

J. Awia Vankan
Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.

Jamal Christopher Dehtho, Jr.
Dehtho & Partners, LLC

James C. R. Flomo
James C. R. Flomo & Associates

John Kamma
Citizens' Bureau for Development and Productivity

Lawrence Tomah

Lucia D. S. Gbala
Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.

Moses B. F. Massaquoi
Liberia Medical and Dental Association

Samwar S. Fallah

Stephen K. McGill
Stop AIDS in Liberia (SAIL)

Anonymous Contributors

Lithuania

Andzelika Vosyliute
Vilnius University

Aukse Domeikiene
Lithuanian University of Health Sciences

Hendryk Malevski
Mykolas Romeris University

Ingrida Botyriene
I. Botyriene and R. A. Kucinskaite Vilnius Law Office

Loreta Andziulytė
ECOVIS ProventusLaw

Martynas Bielskis
Law Firm Norkus and Partners COBALT

Oleg Fedosiuk
Supreme Court of Lithuania

Rasa Aurelija Kučinskaitė
I. Botyriene and R. A. Kucinskaite Vilnius Law Office

Remigijus Merkevičius
APB Merkevičius, Juonys ir Partneriai

Rimantas Stanevičius
Ellex Valiunas

Romualdas Drakšas
Mykolas Romeris University

Salomeja Zaksaite
Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences

Tomas Girdenis
Mykolas Romeris University

Tomas Jakubauskas
Eversheds Saladžius

Vytaute Ladigaite
Vilnius Centre Law Firm

Žilvinas Stašaitis
Stašaitis & Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Luxembourg

Anna Bracke
YourLaw (YL)

Anne Hertzog
Wies & Hertzog

Antoine Fortier Grethen
SJL Legal

Cedric Schirrer
Schirrer Walster

Emilia Fronczak
Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg

Emmanuelle Priser
C.A.S.

Frank Wies
Wies & Hertzog

Iulia Gay
SJL Jimenez Lunz

Leo Bagze
Centre Hospitalier du Luxembourg

Marc Lentz

Marie Lahaye
Étude Wurth Kinsch Olinger

Pierrot Schiltz
Étude d'Avocats Penning-Schiltz-Wurth

Sadler Noémie
Étude Sadler

Anonymous Contributors

Madagascar

Alain Ramanarivo

Andriambololona Tojo
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Andry Herisoa Andrianasolo
Institut International des Sciences Sociales

Antsa Lalaina Ramiakajato

Bakoly Razaiarisolo Rakotomalala

Chantal Razafinarivo
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Gilberte Razanajatovo
Ministère de la Santé Publique

Jean Rakotonomenjanahary
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Jedidia Rakotomalala
Catholic University of Madagascar

Lala Ratsiharovala
Ministère de la Justice

Lalatiana Meredith Andrianirina
Ministère de la Justice

Léonard Velozandry
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Maherintsoa Radson
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Nathalie Rakotomalala Tianasoa

Niaina Rakotonomenjanahary
Cabinet d'Avocats Rakotonomenjanahary

Njivasoa Nathalie Rambeloson

Olivia Rajerison
Cabinet Rajerison

Rabenja Rapelanoro
Skintech Dermatology Research

Randriaminosoa Rakotomanantsoa Lantonirina Andry
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Solo Radson
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Tino H. Razafinimanana
Ordre des Avocats du Barreau de Madagascar

Tokisetra Ramilison

Tsarazara Andrianasoavina

Anonymous Contributors

Malawi

Adamson Sinjani Muula
University of Malawi

Annabel Mtalimanja
Judiciary of Malawi

Anthony Jeckson Malunga
For Generation Institute of Gender and Development

Duncan Singano
Savjani & Co.

Florence Kasende Chinguwo
Kamuzu University of Health Sciences

Gabriel Kambale
GK Associates

George Naphambo
Naphambo and Company

Immaculate Maluza
Women Lawyers Association

Joseph Mfutso-Bengo
Center of Excellence in Ethics & Governance, University of Malawi

Kelita Nyamali Kamoto
Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital, Ministry of Health

Khumbo Bonzoe Soko
Soko & Co.

Krishna Savjani
Savjani & Co.

Noel Misanjo
Savjani & Co.

Sarai Chisala-Tempelhoff
The Gender and Justice Unit

Tyler Holmes
Irish Rule of Law International

Anonymous Contributors

Malaysia

Chew Li Hua
Universiti Malaya

Dato' Sri Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed
International Islamic University Malaysia

Halimah Awang
Universiti Malaya

Harlida Abdul Wahab
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Mahadirin Hj.Ahmad
Universiti Malaysia Sabah

Mohd Munzil Muhamad

Nanda Suppiah

Norhisham Abd Bahrin
Azmi & Associates

Phye Keat Chew
Raja, Darryl & Loh

R. Usha Devi
R. Usha Devi & Associates

Rizal Rahman
Malaysian and Comparative Law Centre, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

Rohana Abdul Rahman
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Saw Tiong Guan
Universiti Malaya

Suganthi Singam
Messrs Shearn Delamore & Co.

Yusramizza Md Isa
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Zainal Amin Ayub
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Anonymous Contributors

Mali

Abdel Kader Cisse

Abdoul Kader Siby
Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako

Aly Soumountera
Wale Action Sante Population

Boulkassoum Maiga

Famoussa Keita
Cabinet d'Avocats Famoussa Keita

Giuseppe Raffa
Medecins du Monde

Issa Traoré
CAES

Kadidia Bokar
Association pour la Relance et le Développement du Mali (AREDEMA)

Kadidiatou Bouare
Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako

Lassana Diakité

Mama Traoré
ONG Walé

Mamadou Sylla
Barreau du Mali

Mamary Diarra
SCP JFC Avocats

Omorou Zackaria Toure
Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako

Oumar Niare
SCPA Hera Conseils

Ousmane Sylla
Direction Générale de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique

Samba Baba N'Diaye
Barreau du Mali

Seydou Doumbia
Avocats Sans Frontières

Soungalo Ouarza Goita

Zakaria Keita
University Clinical Research Center

Anonymous Contributors

Malta

Ian Refalo
Refalo Advocates

Malcolm Mifsud
Mifsud & Mifsud Advocates

Roberto Cassar
Camilleri Cassar Advocates

Stanley Joe Portelli
Iuris Malta

Tonio Azzopardix

Anonymous Contributors

Mauritania

Elyezid Yezid

Fatoumata Barry Kane
CNC

Lemrabott Cheikhna

Mine Abdoullah

Mohamed El Dah Abdel Qader

Mohamed SidAhmed Meinouh
Barrau de Mauritanie

Taleb Moussa Zeinebou
Association Mauritanienne pour la Santé de la Mère et de l'Enfant

Yahya
AMPE

Youssoupha Diallo
Exco GHA Mauritania

Anonymous Contributors

Mauritius

Abdullah Yusuf Ali Bauluck
Bibi Chambers

Burty Francois
Juristconsult Chambers

Cindy Trevedy
AILES

Deepti Bismohun

Dev Ramano
Ramano Chambers

Fezal Khan Boodhoo
FB Law Chambers

H. S. Bunjun
Dabee & Bunjun Chambers

Jean Gael Basset
Basset Chambers

Krishan M. Beeharry

Mohammad Nawaz Dookhee

Roshan Dauharry

Vijay Cooshna

Vishnu Dhunputh
Vishnu Dhunputh Chambers

Yousuf Ali Azaree
MC Law Offices

Yves Hein

Anonymous Contributors

Mexico

Alfonso Rodriguez-Arana
Legalmex S.C.

Alfredo Kupfer-Dominguez
Sánchez Devanny Eseverri, S.C.

Alma Priscilla Torres Malanche
Hernández Torres Abogados

Anais Cortes Escamilla
Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública

Ángel Delfino Gómez Lizarrága
Secretaria de Salud

Angélica Ángeles-Llerenas
Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública

Carla Samantha Peña Zepeda

Carlos de Buen Unna
Bufete de Buen, S.C.

Carlos Riquelme Rodríguez
Consultores Penales, S.C.

Cinthya Castillero Vera
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Cristina Sánchez Urtiz
Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.

Daniel Cruz González
Notarias Visoso

Diana Ivette Fuentes Ulloa
Gentera

Elias Huerta Psihas
Asociacion Nacional de Doctores en Derecho

Enrique Camarena Domínguez
Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar y Camarena, S.C.

Esteban Maqueo Barnetche
Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar y Camarena, S.C.

Franz Oberarzbacher
Centro de Innovación para el Acceso a la Justicia

Gerardo Rodríguez Montes de Oca
Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.

Gilberto Miguel Valle Zulbarán
Basham, Ringe y Correa, S.C.

Gloria Alejandra Moreno Altamirano
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Guillermo A. Gatt Corona
Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Occidente; Universidad Panamericana

Guillermo Piecarchic
PMC Law S.C.

Hugo Hernández-Ojeda Alvírez
Hogan Lovells

Iván Vladímir Ayala Guevara

Jorge G. De Presno Arizpe
Basham, Ringe y Correa, S.C.

José Alberto Campos Vargas
Sánchez Devanny Eseverri, S.C.

José Iván Magdaleno Camacho
Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar y Camarena, S.C.

Juan David Balanzátegui

Juan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo
Hogan Lovells

Juan Manuel Juarez Meza
Contramar Abogados

Luciano Mendoza Cruz
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Luis Raymundo Lozano Juárez
Instituto Nacional de Geriatría

María Luisa Mendoza López
Sánchez Devanny Eseverri, S.C.

Mariana Tejado Gallegos
Green Business Partners

Mario Alberto Rocha
PricewaterhouseCoopers, S.C.

Martín Galván
Galvan Legal Law Firm

Mayra Ortiz Ocaña
Documenta desde Abajo

Miguel Angel Gonzalez Bravo
GB Abogados

Mónica Jazmín Martínez Pérez
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Oliva López Arellano
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

Pablo Nosti Herrera
Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.

Sergio López Moreno
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

Sofía de Robina Castro
Centro de Derechos Humanos Miguel Agustín Pro Juárez

Victor Manuel Ortega Gonzalez
Machuaztic International Trade

Anonymous Contributors

Moldova

Alexei Croitor

Andrei Bivol
Bivol Soțchi & Partners Law Firm

Andrei Borsevski
Institute for Democracy

Angela Popil
Law Office Avornic and Partners

Avornic Gheorghe
University of Political and Economic Studies "Constantin Stere"

Belii Adrian
Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Cristina Copaceanu
University of Political and Economic Studies "Constantin Stere"

Cuznetov Alexandru
State University of Moldova

Foltea Vasile
Cabinetul Avocatului "Vasile Foltea"

Galina Obreja
Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Gorincioi Mihail

Inga Baciu
"Alecu Russo" Balti State University

Ion Guzun
Legal Resources Centre from Moldova

Iulia Furtuna
Turcan Cazac Law Firm

Laura Urschi

Mihail Durnescu

Nelea Prodan
IMSP Centrul Național de Asistență Medicală Urgentă Prespitalicească

Osoyan Tudor
Institute for Legal, Political and Sociological Research

Roger Gladei, Iulian Pașatii, and Mihai Triboi
Gladei & Partners Law Firm

Serghei Cozma
Serghei Cozma Law Firm

Sergiu Ursu
Moldova Bar Association; Zürich University

Svetlana Doltu
Act for Involvement (AFI)

Vasile Gherasim
GRATA International

Viorel V. Berliba
Berliba, Ungurean și Partenerii (BUNPartener)

Vitalie Zama
Lawyers for Human Rights, P.A.

Anonymous Contributors

Mongolia

Badamragchaa Purevdorj
Open Society Forum

Bolormaa Volodya
GRATA International

Enkhtur Demberelsuren
MahoneyLiotta LLP

Indermohan S. Narula
The Global Fund

Luke Lkhaasuren
Agaa & Partners LLP

M. Badral
Mongol-Advocates LLP

Munkhbat Munkhjargal
MJL Atttorneys LLP

Nominchimeg Odsuren
Nomin & Advocates LLP

Nomingerel Khuyag

Sergelen Tumurbagana
Novus Law Group LLP

Uyanga Tsogtsaikhan
Law Alumni Australia Association

Anonymous Contributors

Morocco

Abdellah Bakkali
Bakkali Law Firm

Ahmad Hussein
Cabinet HHH Avocats

Ali Badi
Association Nationale pour des Droits del Homme au Maroc

Ali Lachgar Essahili
Lachgar Essahili Law Firm

Asmae Khattabi
École Nationale de Santé Publique; Ministère de la santé du Maroc

Azzedine Kettani
Faculté de Droit de Casablanca; Kettani Law Firm

Khachai Abdelmajid

Lhassan M'Barki
South for Studies and Sustainable Development

Mimoun Charqi
Charqi Lex Consulting

Mohamed Baske Manar
Universite Cadi Ayyad

Nassri Ilham
Ministère Éducation National

Rachid Attahir
Université Hassan 1er de Settat

Tarik Mossadek
Université Hassan 1er de Settat

Youssra Khayee
Moulay Ismail University

Anonymous Contributors

Mozambique

Alexandre Chivale
Alexandre Chivale & Associados

César Vamos Ver
SAL & Caldeira Advogados Lda.

Danilo Nhaca

Deise Avelino
Fernanda Lopes & Associados

Isalcio Mahanjane
Isalcio Mahanjane, Advogado e Associados

Jennifer Gilda Arnaldo
Fernanda Lopes & Associados

Joaquim Lourenco Uate
Associação Ntumbuluku

Joaquim Manhique
Kenguelekeze for Health and Human Rights

José Gil Vicente
Universidade Federal do Amazonas

José Manuel Caldeira
SAL & Caldeira Advogados Lda.

Natércia Sitoe
TTA Advogados

Pascoal Bié
Universidade Eduardo Mondlane

Paula Duarte Rocha
HRA Advogados

Rafique de Albuquerque
Fernanda Lopes & Associados

Ricardo Rodrigues Lopes
Caiado Guerreiro

Télio Chamuço
Télio Chamuço, Advogados e Associados

Vasco Chambal
Fernanda Lopes & Associados

Anonymous Contributors

Myanmar

Caitlin Reiger

Chester Toh
Rajah & Tann Asia

Maung Maung Min Khaung
Luther Law Firm

Min Thein
Rajah & Tann Asia

Myat Ko Ko
Yangon School of Political Science

Nickey Diamond
Fortify Rights

Stephen Kent
Justice Base

Anonymous Contributors

Namibia

Clement Daniels

Eliaser Nekwaya

Emmanuel Nepolo
University of Namibia

Helga Zaire
University of Namibia

Hendrik Krüger
Krüger Van Vuuren & Co.

Kenneth Ferdie Mundia
University of Namibia

Nambili T. K. Shipena
Namibia Special Risks Insurance Association Limited

Nawala Kamati-Unger
Engling, Stritter & Partners

Ndatega Asheela-Shikalepo
University of Namibia

Rachel Freeman
University of Namibia

Tapiwa Victor Warikandwa
University of Namibia

Trevor Phillip Brockerhoff
Brockerhoff and Associates

Tshuka Luvindao
Dr Weder, Kauta & Hoveka Inc.

Anonymous Contributors

Nepal

Aakriti Khanal
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Ananta Raj Luitel
Desire Law Firm

Ayasha Sharma
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Bidur Prasad Dhungana
B&B Law Chamber Private Ltd.

Bishnu Luitel
BG Law Foundation

Bishwa Nath Khanal
Prithivi Legal Service Centre

Deepak Raj Joshi
Baridhi Law and Research Center

Kalyan Pokhrel
Chakrabarti Habi Education Academy (CHEA), Purbanchal University; F-dimensional Legal Research & Consultancy Services

Kumar Silwal
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Madhab Raj Ghimire
PSM Global Consultants

Meen Chhetri
Nepal Center for Disaster Management

Mukunda Prasad Paudel
National Law Chamber

Narayan Ghimire
Center for Rule of Law - Nepal

Narayan Shrestha
Shrestha Legal Service Center

Nil Mani Upadhyay
Nepalese Army Institute of Health Sciences (NAIHS)

Prabin Subedi
Paramount Legal Advisory Services

Prashanna Shrestha
Pradhan & Associates

Rajeshwor Shrestha
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Rukamanee Maharjan
Tribhuvan University

Sambidha Sharma
Pradhan & Associates

Sangha Ratna Bajracharya
Institute of Medicine

Shital Subedi

Shiva Prasad Rijal
Pioneer Law Associates

Shringa Rishi Kafle
Merit Legal Consultancy Pvt. Ltd

Shyam Kumar Khatri
Kathmandu Legals

Shyam Sundar Budhathoki
Nepalese Society of Community Medicine (NESCOM)

Subarna K. Khatry
Nepal Nutrition Intervention Project-Sarlahi (NNIPS)

Sudeep Gautam

Upendra Raj Dulal
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Anonymous Contributors

Netherlands

André Lieshout
Van Diepen Van der Kroef Advocaten

Anne Lafarre
Tilburg University

Arnold Versteeg
Macro & Versteeg Advocaten

Barbalique Peters
Peters Strafrechtadvocaat

Eugenie Nunes and Marije Ozinga
Dentons Europe LLP

Gabriel Meijers
MeijersCanatan

Gwen Jansen
Gwen Jansen Advocatuur

Hansko Broeksteeg
Radboud University

Henricus J. Snijders
Snijders Law Firm; University of Leiden

Jaap-Willem Roozemond
Roozemond [+] De Haan advocaten

Jacqueline van den Bosch
Ivy Advocaten

Jolanda Meeuwissen
Trimbos Institute, Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction

Joop van Dort
Van Dort Advocatuur

N. P. Scholte
Advocatenkantoor Scholte

Reinier W. L. Russell
Russell Advocaten

Simon van der Sluijs
Van Diepen Van der Kroef Advocaten

Anonymous Contributors

New Zealand

Alan Knowsley
Rainey Collins Lawyers

Andrew Geddis
University of Otago

Andrew Schulte
Cavell Leitch

Asha Stewart
Quigg Partners

Austin Forbes
Clarendon Chambers

Bennet Castelino
Castlefinn Law Ltd.

Charl Hirschfeld
Ranfurly Chambers Ltd.

Chris Noonan

Christopher Griggs

Colin Henry
Libertas Chambers

Douglas Lyon
Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers

Elcei Nerida
Advent Ark Lawyers

Erich Bachmann
Hesketh Henry

Frances Joychild

Francisc Catalin Deliu

Gay Morgan
University of Waikato

Gordon Anderson
Victoria University of Wellington

Grace Haden
Transparency New Zealand

Ian Miller

Julie-Anne Kincade

Kate Diesfeld
Auckland University of Technology

Marie Bismark
University of Melbourne

Mark Winger
Holmden Horrocks

Matthew Berkahn
Massey University

Michael Bott

Nigel Hampton

Paul Michalik

Peter Watts
Bankside Chambers

Petra Butler
Victoria University of Wellington

Philip Skelton
Bankside Chambers

Scott Wilson
Duncan Cotterill

Simon Gyenge
Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers

Simon Ladd
Bell Gully

Sonja M. Cooper
Cooper Legal

Stephen Eliot Smith
University of Otago

Stephen Franks
Franks Ogilvie

Steven Zindel
Zindels

Trevor Daya-Winterbottom
University of Waikato

W. John Hopkins
University of Canterbury

W. Murray Thomson
University of Otago

Warren John Brookbanks
Auckland University of Technology

William Akel
Sangro Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Nicaragua

Avil Ramírez Mayorga
Central Law

Doris Isabel Rodríguez Dávila
García & Bodán

Edgard Torres M.
Dentons Muñoz

Gabriel Alvarado
Dentons Muñoz

Gerardo Martin Hernandez
Consortium Legal

Gerardo Melecio Escorcia Díaz
Bufete Garcia & Bodan

John Lordsal Minnella-Romano
Minnella Romano and Associates

Juan R. García Q.
García & Bodán

Leonardo José Maldonado González
Arias Law

Lowell Ernesto Hodgson Wilson
García & Bodán

Lucas Quiroz González

Luis Manuel Perezalonso Lanzas
Bufete Perezalonso & Asociados

Maria Ofelia Medina
García & Bodán

Yaser Gabriel Bonilla
COBRACORP, S.A.

Anonymous Contributors

Niger

Abdoul-Aziz Mamadou Maiga
Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

Aboubacar Souley
Cabinet d'Expertise Socio Anthropologique (CRAMS-EXA)

Albert Tshiula
RENIP+

Aliou Seyni Maikibi

Alkassoum Salifou Ibrahim
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Amadou Imerane Maiga
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Bachir Talfi Idrissa
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Barry Bibata Gnandou
Reseau des Femmes Ministres et Parlementaires

Boubacar Oumarou
Barreau du Niger; SCPA BNI

Idrissa Tchernaka
SCPA LBTI and Partners

Mahamadou Rabiou Souley Dagouma

Mahamane Laouali Manzo
Ministère de la Santé Publique

Mamane Daou
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Mamane Sani Souley Issoufou
Laboratoire d'Etudes et de Recherche sur les Dynamiques Sociales et le Développement Local (LASDEL)

Nafiou Idi
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Rabani Adamou
Université de Tahoua

Rakia Boubakar
Centre de Recherche Médicale et Sanitaire Niamey

Souleymane Brah
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Yahouza Amani
Barreau de Niamey

Anonymous Contributors

Nigeria

Abdulhamid Bagara
Strategic HIV Response Program, Task Order 3

Adamu M. Usman
F.O. Akinrele & Co.

Ade Omofade

Aina Precious Aderemi
Roygold Solicitors

Akin Osinbajo
Abdulai, Taiwo & Co.

Aminu Magashi Garba
Africa Health Budget Network

Anthony C. Nkadi
F.O. Akinrele & Co.

Babajide Ogundipe
Sofunde, Osakwe, Ogundipe & Belgore

Babatunde Ajibade
S. P. A. Ajibade & Co.

Bola Jibogun
Legal Aid Council of Nigeria

Chiamaka Irene Chukwukelu
Digital Rights Lawyers Initiative

Chioma Kanu Agomo
University of Lagos

Chisom Ndubuisi
Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Christine O. Ike
University of Nigeria

Chudi Nelson Ojukwu
L. C. & N. Legal Practitioners

Chukwudi Ajaegbo
Olumide Babalola Legal Practitioners

Daprim Ogaji
University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital

Fagbemi Sunday Akinlolu
S. Akin' Fagbemi & Co.; University of Ibadan

Femi Aborisade
Abope Chambers

Festus Okechukwu Ukwueze
University of Nigeria

Folabi Kuti
Perchstone & Graeys

Folake Tafita
University of Ibadan

Gbenga Odusola
Gbenga Odusola & Co., Acme Law Partners

Gbenga Oyebode
Aluko & Oyebode

Godwin Etim
Aelex Legal Practitioners & Arbitrators

Helen Uchenna Agu
University of Nigeria

Ibrahim Imam
University of Ilorin

Jonathan Chi Daboer
University of Jos

Joseph E. O. Abugu
Abugu & Co.

Joshua Abe
Aluko & Oyebode

Joshua Oluwadamilare Yomi-Alliyu
Chief Yomi Alliyu & Co.

Laura Omolola Ikwuagwu
George Ikoli & Okagbue

Michael C. Asuzu
University of Ibadan, University College Hospital

Nkechi Odinukwe
Solidarity Center AFL-CIO

Obiajulu Nnamuchi
University of Nigeria

Offornze D. Amucheazi
Amucheazi, Ozioko and Co.

Oghogho Makinde
Aluko & Oyebode

Oladipupo Abraham Ayodele
Emollient Law Practice

Olaniyi Felix Olayinka
Redeemers University

Olasupo Olaibi
Supo Olaibi And Company

Olatunbosun Akanmidu
Israel Akanmidu & Associates

Olumide Babalola
Olumide Babalola Legal Practitioners

Oluseyi Akinwunmi
Akinwunmi & Busari Legal Practitioners

Oluwafunke Adeoye
Hope Behind Bars Africa

Oluwole Agbo
Axiom Legal Practitioners & Consultants

Osagie Agbonlahor
Agbonlahor & Co.

Oseinoma Okpeku
The Law Crest LLP

Patrick Okonjo
Okonjo, Odiawa, & Ebie

Peter K. Fogam
University of Lagos

Pontian N. Okoli
University of Stirling

Sarat Akinloye
Chief Rotimi Williams Chambers

Shedrack Ekpa
Kogi State University

Simisola Akintola
University of Ibadan

Soji Awogbade
Aelex Legal Practitioners & Arbitrators

Sylvester Anya
University of Nigeria

Terrumun Z. Swende
Benue State University; University Teaching Hospital Makurdi

Uju Obuka
University of Nigeria

Wahab Egbewole
University of Ilorin

Yomi Alliyu
Chief Yomi Alliyu & Co.

Yomi Dare
Checkers Consultancy Services

Anonymous Contributors

North Macedonia

Aleksandar Godjo
Law Firm Godzo, Kiceec & Novakovski

Aleksandar Ickovski

Andon Majhosev
"Goce Delchev" University

Andrijana Trpchevska Stojanovska
Law Office Lazarov

Biljana Panova
Law Office Panova

Darko Spasevski
Saints Cyril and Methodius University

Deljo Kadiev

Dori Kimova
Kimova Law Office

Dragan Lazarov
Law Office Lazarov

Elena Dimova-Ivanoska
CAKMAKOVA Advocates

Elena Nikodinovska
Emil Miftari Law Office

Emil Miftari

Filip Nacevski
Law Firm Donevski

Ilija Ilijovski
Chichevaliev & Ilijovski Law Firm

Leonid Trpenoski
Law Firm Trpenoski

Ljupka Noveska Andonova

Maja Risteska Nedelkoska
AD Osiguritelna Polisa Skopje

Marija Shulevska
Law Office Lazarov

Martin Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Neda Milevska-Kostova
Institute for Social Innovation

Olivera Docevska

Sami Mehmeti
Southeast European University

Svetlana Necheva
Law Office Pepeljugoski

Svetlana Veljanovska
Faculty of Law Kichevo, University "St. Kliment Ohridski"

Valentin Pepeljugoski
Law Office Pepeljugoski

Vojdan Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Zlatko Antevski
Lawyers Antevski

Anonymous Contributors

Norway

Bent Endresen
Endresen Brygfjeld Torall

Bjørg Ven
Advokatfirmaet Haavind AS

Eivind Smith
University of Oslo

Frank Thrana
Med Base

Geir Steinberg
Advokatfirmaet Haavind AS

Geir Sverre Braut
Stavanger University Hospital; Western Norway University of Applied Sciences

Harald B. Ciarlo

Henrik Bjørnebye
University of Oslo

Inge Lorange Backer
University of Oslo

Jan Fougner
Wiersholm

Karl Harald Søvig
University of Bergen

Magne Strandberg
University of Bergen

Morten Grandal
Norwegian Consumer Authority

Niels R. Kiaer
Rime Advokatfirma DA

Ola Mestad
University of Oslo

Olav Molven
VID Specialized University

Stella Tuft
Microsoft

Ulf Stridbeck
University of Oslo

Werner H. Christie
World Health Connections AS

Anonymous Contributors

Pakistan

Aabida Ali
Asia Floor Wage Alliance

Abdul Ghaffar Khan
Fazleghani Advocates

Adil Shafi

Adnan Aslam Qureshi
Qureshi Law Associates

Aiman Tariq
National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases

Azhar Faruqui
National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases

Benazir Jatoi

Faiza Muzaffar
Legis Inn Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Firasat Siddiqui
Toyota Indus Motor Company

Hasan Hameed Bhatti
Lahore Waste Management Company

Hassan Arif
Liaquat Merchant Associates

Mehek Ali
Global Health Directorate

Mohammad Akram Sheikh
Akram Sheikh Law Associate

Muhammad Nouman Shams
Qazi Law Associates

Muzaffar Islam
Legis Inn Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Qazi Shams ul Haq
Qazi Law Associates

Qudsia Anjum Fasih

Taffazul Haider Rizvi
Rizvi and Rizvi Advocates

Tariq Rahim
Tariq Rahim Law Associates

Umer Farooq
Ayub Medical College

Anonymous Contributors

Panama

Adán Arnulfo Arjona L.
Galindo, Arias y Lopez

Campo Elías Muñoz
Universidad de Panamá

Carlos Barsallo
Transparency International

Carlos E. González Ramírez
Morgan & Morgan

Damarys Itzel Caballero de Almengor
Almengor Caballero & Asociados

Ebrahim Asvat

Eduardo Quiros

Erick R. Muñoz M.
Sucre, Arias & Reyes

Ernesto Shirley
Shirley & Asociados

Jorge Molina Mendoza
Fábrega Molino

José Alberto Barraza Serracín

Juan Pablo Fábrega Polleri
Fábrega Molino

María Eugenia Brenes Tovar
Morgan & Morgan

Mario Rognoni
Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Mayte Sánchez González
Morgan & Morgan

Milagros Caballero
Morgan & Morgan

Nadya Price
Patton, Moreno & Asvat

Natalia Vega
University of South Florida

Rafael Rodriguez Barrios
Lex Labour Panama

Ramón Ricardi Arias

Victor Javier Almengor Torres
Almengor, Caballero & Asociados

Victoria Valdes
Universidad de Panamá

Anonymous Contributors

Paraguay

Addis A. Rivarola Sosa
Hospital Regional de Encarnación

Alberto J. Andrada Argaña

Alberto Manuel Poletti Adorno
Colegio de Abogados del Paraguay

Andres Szwako
Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Carlos Miguel Rios González
Universidad Nacional de Caaguazú

Clarisse Díaz Reissner
Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Diego Moreno Rodriguez A.
Instituto Paraguayo de Derecho Constitucional

Edgar Gimenez Caballero
Universidad Nacional de Concepción

Fatima Liz Gonzalez Ayala
Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Fernando Andrés Beconi Ortiz
Estudio Beconi Abogados, Centro de Derecho Empresarial

Germán Ramón González Escurra
Estudio Juridico G & M

Gloria Celeste Samudio Domínguez
Hospital Nacional

Javier Parquet Villagra
Parquet & Asociados

Jorge Giubi Bobeda
Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Juan Manuel Moratal
M&B Law Firm

Laura Emilce Flores Rodríguez

Luciano Garrido B.
Asesoría Jurídica Integral

Marta Inés Ferreira-Gaona
Universidad Católica "Nuestra Señora de la Asunción"

Mauricio Marengo
Vinclum Lawyers & Consultants

Nohelia María Pérez Bejarano
Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Pablo Cheng Lu
Mersan Abogados

Pasionaria Ramos
Ministerio de Salud Pública y Bienestar Social

Rodney Maciel Guerreño
RMG Abogados

Rodrigo Fernandez de Nestosa
Vouga Abogados

Anonymous Contributors

Peru

Alejandro Vela Quico
Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa

Alicia Boluarte Carbajal
Instituto de Desarrollo e Inclusión Psicosocial

Alicia Jimenez Llerena
Philippi Prietocarrizosa Ferrero DU & Uria

Antoinette Carrillo Durand
Estudio Jurídico Carrillo

Antonio David Bardales Pereira
Universidad César Vallejo

Arturo Garate Salazar
Sociedad Peruana de Neurologia

César Puntriano Rosas
Universidad ESAN

Christian Valencia Sarmiento
Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Danilo Américo Sánchez Coronel
Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Neurológicas

Dennis Oswaldo Vilchez Ramirez
Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Gonzalo Garcia Calderon
Universidad de Lima

Grover Jonny Arangurí Carranza
EsSalud

Gustavo Víctor de los Ríos Woolls
Rey & de los Ríos Abogados

Héctor Zúñiga
Rey & de los Ríos Abogados

Javier Castro Salinas

Javier de Belaunde
Estudio Echecopar

Jose Ugaz
Estudio Benites, Vargas & Ugaz

Karla Zuta Palacios
Benites, Vargas & Ugaz

Luciano López Flores
Estudio Luciano López Flores & Abogados

Manuel Villa Garcia Noriega
Estudio Olaechea

Marcos Ricardo Revatta Salas
Universidad Nacional San Luis Gonzaga de Ica

Maria del Pilar Pozo Garcia
Clínica San Felipe

Marino Costa Bauer

Mario Castillo Freyre
Estudio Mario Castillo Freyre Abogados

Mario Pasco
Rodrigo Elias & Medrano Abogados

Mónica Pizarro
Baker McKenzie; Estudio Echecopar

Rosa María Velasco Valderas
Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Neurológicas

Rossana Maccera de Alayza

Anonymous Contributors

Philippines

Afdal B. Kunting
Zamboanga City Medical Center

Albert Domingo

Alexander C. Dy
Villanueva Gabionza & Dy Law Offices

Augusto San Pedro, Jr.
Villaraza & Angangco

Carlo Irwin A. Panelo
University of the Philippines

Carmelita G. Nuqui
Development Action for Women Network

Cesar L. Villanueva
Institute of Corporate Directors

Emerico O. De Guzman
Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Firm (ACCRALAW)

Enriquito J. Mendoza
Romulo Mabanta Buenaventura Sayoc & De los Angeles

Fidel T. Valeros, Jr.
Puyat Jacinto & Santos (PJS Law)

Geneses R. Abot
World Vision Development Foundation, Inc.

Jaime Z. Galvez Tan
Health Justice Foundation Inc.

Jesusito G. Morallos
Follosco Morallos & Herce

Joel H. Buenaventura
Philippine Society of Public Health Physicians

Jonathan Sale
International Labour Process Conference (ILPC)

Jose Cochingyan III
Cochingyan & Partners Law Offices

Karen S. Gomez Dumpit
Commission on Human Rights

Khristine A. Fullante-San Andres
World Vision Development Foundation, Inc.

Leland Villadolid, Jr.
Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Firm (ACCRALAW)

Nancy Joan Javier
Javier Law

Oliver Pantaleon
Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Firm (ACCRALAW)

Pinky Rose Lustre
University of the Philippines

Ramil E. Bugayong
Puyat Jacinto & Santos (PJS Law)

Ramon G. Samson
Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Firm (ACCRALAW)

Reginald A. Tongol
Regie Tongol & Associates

Rhea Quimson
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Ronahlee A. Asuncion
University of the Philippines

Roy Enrico C. Santos
Puyat Jacinto & Santos (PJS Law)

Anonymous Contributors

Poland

Adam Morawski
Morawski & Partners Law Firm

Agnieszka Helsztyńska
Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat

Andrzej Brodziak
University of Applied Sciences

Ewa Lachowska-Brol
Eversheds Sutherland Wierzbowski Sp.k.

Grażyna Kuźma
Taylor Wessing

Iwona Kowalska-Bobko
Jagiellonian Univeristy Medical College

Jerzy Lachowski
University of Nicolaus Copernicus Toruń

Joanna Kosińska-Wiercińska
Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat

Justyna Bartnik
Morawski & Partners Law Firm

Karolina Stawicka
Bird & Bird LLP

Krzysztof Kowalczyk
BSJP Brockhuis Jurczak Prusak Sroka Nilsson Sp. k.

Maria Libura
University of Warmia and Mazury

Paweł Waszkiewicz
University of Warsaw

Piotr Kuźniak
Kancelaria Radcy Prawnego Piotr Kuźniak

Piotr Sadownik
Gide Loyrette Nouel

Robert Mołdach
Institute of Health and Democracy

Wojciech Babicki
Miller Canfield

Wojciech Baginski
Baginski.Pro

Zbigniew Kruger
Kruger & Partners Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Portugal

António Casa Nova
Escola Superior de Saúde de Portalegre

António Vaz de Castro
Universidade de Coimbra

Carlos Lopes Ribeiro
CR Advogados

Carlos Pinto de Abreu
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Carolina Boullosa Gonzalez
BIND Sociedade de Advogados

Cláudia Amorim
Sérvulo & Associados

Eduardo Buisson Loureiro

Felipe Baião do Nascimento
Paulo Espírito Santo Amil & Associados (PESA)

Fernando Alves Correia
Universidade de Coimbra

Francisco Marques Bom
Marques Bom & Associados

Francisco Morais Coelho
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Gonçalo Gil Barreiros
PRA - Raposo, Sá Miranda & Associados

Inês Gomes da Cruz
PLMJ Advogados

Ines Reis
pbbr

Joana Barrilaro Ruas
FCM - Ferreira da Conceição, Menezes & Associados

João Carlos Pereira Medeiros
Vieira de Almeida Legal Partners

José Alves do Carmo
AVM Advogados

José Carlos Carvalho
Escola Superior de Enfermagem do Porto

Luís Brito Correia
Luís Brito Correia - Advogados

Luis Miguel Amaral
Luis Miguel Amaral - Advogados

Maria do Rosário Anjos
Anjos, Martins e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados

Miguel Andrade
Miguel Andrade Attorneys

Miguel Perdigão
Azeredo Perdigão & Associados

P. Saragoça da Matta
SM&SB Law Firm

Patrícia Helena Leal Cordeiro da Costa

Paulo de Sá e Cunha
Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira & Associados

Pedro Miguel Branco
PMB Advogado

Pedro Raposo
PRA - Raposo, Sá Miranda & Associados

Rita Branco
Allawyers Advogados - Law Office

Rui Tavares Correia
Abreu & Marques e Associados

Sandrine Bisson Marvão

Sofia Monge
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Spencer Dohner
MDM Legal Advogados

Teresa Violante
Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Vânia Costa Ramos
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Anonymous Contributors

Romania

Andrei Danciu
SCA Cataniciu & Asociatii

Andrei Mircea Zamfirescu
bnt Gilescu, Valeanu & Partners

Athanasiu Alexandru
University of Bucharest

Aura Campeanu
PETOŠEVIĆ

Aurora Ciuca
Stefan cel Mare University

Bogdan Florea
"1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba Iulia

Christian Bogaru
Christian Bogaru - Law Firm

Corpodean Alexandru
Corpodean S. Alexandru - Cabinet de Avocat

Cosmin Flavius Costas
Costas Negru & Associates

Dan Oancea
Bucharest Bar Association; University of Bucharest

Diana Botau
Babeş-Bolyai University

Dragoș Daghie
Universitatea Dunărea de Jos Galați

Felicia Rosioru
Babeş-Bolyai University

George Nedelcu
Nedelcu George Law Office

Gheorghe Piperea
Bucharest University

Iulian Alexander Stoia
Cluj Bar Association

Iulian Patrascanu
Fine Law | Patrascanu & Associates

Larion Alina-Paula
Stefan cel Mare University

Lazăr Ioan
Uniunea Națională a Barourilor din România

Marius Balan
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University

Mihai Carabas
Carabas, Lungu - Attorneys-at-Law

Mihai Dunea
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University

Mihail-Romeo Nicolescu
"Romeo Nicolescu" Law Office

Miloiu Ciprian
Auxmundus Law

Miloiu Maria
Auxmundus Law

Nicolae-Bogdan Bulai
University of Bucharest

Nicolae-Horia Țiț
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University

Ovidiu Podaru
Babeş-Bolyai University

Paul Buta
Musat & Asociatii

Ploeșteanu Nicolae
Universitatea de Medicină şi Farmacie din Tîrgu Mureş

Radu Rizoiu
University of Bucharest

Sergiu Bogdan
Babeş-Bolyai University

Sergiu Golub
Babeş-Bolyai University

Sergiu-Valentin Gherdan
Gherdan Law Office

Silvia Gabriela Scintee
National School of Public Health, Management and Professional Development Bucharest

Simona Petrina Gavrila
Dunărea de Jos University

Valerius M. Ciucă
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University

Veronica Dobozi
STOICA & Asociatii

Anonymous Contributors

Russian Federation

Andrey Demin
Russian Public Health Association

Andrey Zelenin
Lidings

Demin Aleksei Afanas'evich
Moscow State University

Dmitry Dozhdev
Moscow School of Social and Economic Sciences

Dmitry Kravchenko
Asnis & Partners

Gleb Glinka
Glinka, Rubinstein & Partners

Konstantin Dobrynin
Pen&Paper

Nikolay Valeriyevich Kostenko
"Contemporary Natural Science" Foundation

Pavel Kabanov
Kazan Innovative University

Sergei Murzakov

Sergey
Russin & Vecchi

Sergey Bogatyrev

Svetlana Igorevna Dobrovolskaya
Moscow Region Bar Association

Vladimir Yarkov
Ural State Law University

Vyacheslav Chasnyk
Saint Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University

Anonymous Contributors

Rwanda

Arsène Rutiyomba

Augustin Rwabigwi
JJA Law Firm; Rwanda Bar Association

Edgar Ankunda
Stabit Advocates

Herbert Zziwa
Stabit Advocates

Innocent Muramira
Muramira & Associates

Jean Pierre Gafaranga
Kigali University Teaching Hospital; University of Rwanda

Kayihura M. Didas
Fountain Advocates

Olivier Manzi
University of Rwanda

Safari Gahizi
Global Trade Law Chambers

Vedaste Mugemanyi
Oasis Law Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Senegal

Amadou Yakhya Fall
LEXISEN Avocats

Boubacar Diakite
Geni & Kebe Law Firm

Daouda Faye

Emmanuel Padonou
SCPA Etienne & Padonou

Laura Petiot
LP Consulting

Magna Brice Sylva
LPS L@W

Mansour Gningue
Geni & Kebe Law Firm

Meissa Toure
EUROMED Université

Mohamadou Boye
Université Gaston Berger

Ndeye Khoudia Tounkara
SCPA Mayacine Tounkara et Associés

Ndiouma Ndour
Université Assange Seck de Ziguinchor

Paul Babacar Faye
SCP Sow-Seck-Diagne & Associés

Rahimine Azimari Toure
Geni & Kebe Law Firm

Sadel Ndiaye
SCP Ndiaye et Mbodj

Samba Cor Sarr
Ministry of Health

Semou Ndiaye
Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar

Seyni Gueye
Cabinet d'Avocats Houda

Anonymous Contributors

Serbia

Ana Popović
Zivkovic Samardzic Law Office

Bosiljka Djikanovic
University of Belgrade

Dragan Psodorov
Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Filip Milošević
Law Office Milošević

Goran Vučić
Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Hajrija Mujovic
Institute of Social Sciences

Ivan Kovačcević
OAD Lalin i dr. Novi Sad

Jagoda Drljača
Institute of Social Sciences

Marko Trišić
VMT Law Office

Miljkan Karličić
Law Office Karličić Miljkan

Nebojsa Stankovic
Stankovic and Partners Law Office

Petar Bulat
University of Belgrade

Petar D. Stojanovic
Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Simonida Sladojevic-Stanimirovic

Tomić Jovana
CT Legal

Violeta Mitrovic
Radovic & Ratkovic

Vladimir Hrle
Hrle Attorneys

Zoran Radovic
Radovic & Ratkovic

Anonymous Contributors

Sierra Leone

Abu Augustus Brima
Network Movement for Justice and Development

Abu-Bakarr Sheriff
Tejan-Cole, Yillah & Partners

Ady Macauley

Ibrahim S. Koroma
Mix Africa Resources Consulting Group

Ibrahim S. Yillah

Mariama Momoh
Ministry of Health and Sanitation

Nancy Diana Sesay

Rashid Ansumana
Njala University

Regena J. Kain
BRAC Sierra Leone

Roland Suluku
Njala University

Anonymous Contributors

Singapore

Benjamin Joshua Ong
Singapore Management University

Boon Teck Chia
Chia Wong Chambers LLC

Dan W. Puchniak
National University of Singapore

Eric Tin
Donaldson & Burkinshaw LLP

Eugene K. B. Tan
Singapore Management University

Francis Xavier
Rajah & Tann

Koon Mak

Maartje De Visser
Singapore Management University

Scott G. Wheeler

Simon Chesterman
National University of Singapore

Suet-Fern Lee
Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Ti Lik Chia
Chia Ngee Thuang & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Slovak Republic

Barbara Pavlikova
Comenius University in Bratislava

Danica Valentova
Nitschneider & Partners

Daniela Jezova
Law Office Daniela Jezova

Linda Mendelova
Dentons Europe LLP

Martin Abraham
Martin Abraham

Martin Provazník
provaznik.legal s. r. o.

Martin Rusnak
Trnava University

Michala Mihályová
bnt attorneys in CEE

Milos Deset
Trnava University

Natália Hangáčová

Petra Gajerova
Nitschneider & Partners

Silvia Capíková
Comenius University in Bratislava

Tomas Langer
Paul Q Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Slovenia

Anton Gradišek
Ordinacija VILA DAGRA

Anže Arko
ODI Law Firm

Blaž Prinčič
Ulčar & Partners Law Firm

Borut Bernik Bogataj
Borut Bernik Bogataj Law Office

Branko Ilić
ODI Law Firm

Darja Miklavčič
Šelih & Partners

Denis Baghrizabehi
University of Maribor

Goran Lukić
Counselling Office for Workers

Grega Strban
University of Ljubljana

Gregor Kovačič
Jadek & Pensa Law Firm

Iris Pensa
Jadek & Pensa Law Firm

Ivan Šalinovič

Jorg Sladič

Klemen Drnovšek
University of Maribor

Luka Ticar
University of Ljubljana

Marko Zorman
Odvetniška Družba Zorman

Matej Avbelj
New University

Matija Repolusk
RepoluskLaw

Nana Weber
Odvetniška Družba Weber

Nina Persak
CrimInstitute

Peter Stanovnik
Institute for Economic Research (IER)

Primož Rožman
Blood Transfusion Centre of Slovenia

Sara Ahlin Doljak

Špela Grčar
Grčar Law Office

Tajka Golob Obrenović
Gross&Golob Law Office

Tine Mišic
ODI Law Firm

Uroš Čop
Law Firm Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.

Vesna Rijavec
University of Maribor

Ziga Sternad
Law Firm Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

South Africa

Altair Richards
ENSafrica

B. N. Harris
University of Pretoria

Bernhard Gaede
University of KwaZulu-Natal

Christa Rautenbach
North-West University

D. A. Hellenberg
University of Cape Town

Dejo Olowu
Walter Sisulu University

Desia Colgan
University of the Witwatersrand

Errol Cedric Muller
University of the Free State

Estelle Hurter
University of South Africa

Francois Venter
North-West University

Funmi Abioye
University of South Africa

Gugu Mchunu
University of KwaZulu-Natal

Howard Chitimira
North-West University

Hugh Corder
University of Cape Town

J. Berning

J. S. Horne
University of South Africa

Jacques du Plessis
Stellenbosch University

Jacques Matthee
University of the Free State

Johann Kriegler
Freedom Under Law

Jonathan Klaaren
University of the Witwatersrand

Joyce Shirinde
University of Pretoria

Kelly Phelps
University of Cape Town

Lesiba Lolly Motsepe
University of South Africa

Lufuno Makhado
University of Venda

Lukas Muntingh
Dullah Omar Institute

M. Budeli-Nemakonde
University of South Africa

M. Slabbert
University of South Africa

Martin van Staden
Rule of Law Project

Michelle Posemann

Mildred Bekink
University of South Africa

Mxolisi Zwane
Zwane Sambo Incorporated

Nisha Jacob
University of Cape Town

Nisha Nadesan-Reddy
University of KwaZulu-Natal

Ntombifikile Mtshali
University of KwaZulu-Natal

Peter Mosebo

Pierre De Vos
University of Cape Town

Pieter du Toit
North-West University

PJ Schwikkard
University of Cape Town

Riette du Plessis
University of the Witwatersrand

Ruediger Helm
Southern Africa Labour and Development Research Unit (SALDRU); University of Cape Town

Shrikant Peters
Western Cape Department of Health

Silingene Ngcobo
University of KwaZulu Natal

Werner van Straaten
University of Pretoria

Willemien du Plessis
North-West University

Yousuf Vawda
University of KwaZulu-Natal

Zozo Dyani-Mhango
University of Pretoria

Anonymous Contributors

Spain

Alba García Torres
Universidad de Oviedo

Alberto Blasco
J&A Garrigues SLP

Alfonso Pedrajas
Abdón Pedrajas Abogados

Ana Fernández Pérez
Universidad de Alcalá

Ana Valdivieso Rovira
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Andrea Macía Morillo
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Anna García-Altés
Catalan Agency for Health Quality and Evaluation

Araceli Peláez Rodríguez
De Castro Abogados

Benito Alaez-Corral
Universidad de Oviedo

Bernardo del Rosal
Universidad de Alicante

Carla Sanahuja Fonte
Gómez-Acebo & Pombo

Carlos Alvarez Dardet Diaz
Universidad de Alicante

Carlos Campillo-Artero
IB-Salut; Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Carlos Gómez de la Escalera
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Carlos Ramón Fernández Liesa
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Carmen Sarabia Cobo
Universidad de Cantabria

Carolina Martínez Moreno
Universidad de Oviedo

Concepción Sanz Sáez
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Daniel Pérez del Prado
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

David Lantarón Barquín
Universidad de Cantabria

E. Espinosa
Servicio Cántabro de Salud (SCS)

Eduardo Santamaría Moral
J&A Garrigues SLP

Emilio Díaz Rujiz

Enric Fossas Espadaler
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Federico A. Rodríguez Morata
University of Castilla-La Mancha

Federico Navarro Nieto
Universidad de Cordoba

Fernando Alberich Arjona
Rambla Abogados & Asesores

Fernando Cano Gullón
Cano Asín & Asociados

Fernando Escorza Muñoz
Dirección General de Salud Pública

Fernando G. Benavides
Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Fernando Guanarteme Sánchez Lázaro
Universidad de La Laguna

Francisco J. Bastida
Universidad de Oviedo

Francisco Ramos Romeu
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Gregorio Tudela
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Ildefonso Hernández Aguado
Universidad Miguel Hernández

Jacobo Dopico Gómez-Aller
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Jaime Campaner Muñoz
Campaner Law; Universidad de las Islas Baleares

Javier Melero
Melero & Gené Advocats

Jesús Padilla Gálvez
University of Castilla-La Mancha

José Fernández-Rañada
Garrigues

José Luis Goñi Sein
Universidad Pública de Navarra

José M. Labeaga
Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia

José Manuel Mateo
Garrigues

José R. Repullo
Insituto de Salud Carlos III

José Vicente Martí Boscà
Universitat de València

José-Ignacio Gallego Soler
Universitat de Barcelona

Josep Lluís de Peray

Juan Alberto Díaz López
J. A. Díaz Litigación Penal

Juan Antonio Lascuraín Sánchez
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Juan Francisco Aguiar Rodriguez
Servicio Canario de la Salud, Gobierno de Canarias

Juan Ignacio González Ruiz
Uría Menéndez Abogados SLP

Juan Luis Beltrán Aguirre
Universidad Pública de Navarra

Juan Luis Pérez Francesch
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Juan Manuel López Ulla
Universidad de Cádiz

Juan María Terradillos Basoco
Universidad de Cádiz

Juan Oliva
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Juan Roca Guillamón
Universidad de Murcia

Leonor Suárez Llanos
Universidad de Oviedo

Luis Gaite Pindado
Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM); Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla (HUMV)

M. Rosa Heras Fortuny
Societat Catalana de Mediació en Salut

Mª del Carmen Gete-Alonso y Calera
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Manuel Ángel de las Heras García
Universidad de Alicante

Manuel Cachón Cadenas
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Marcos Loredo Colunga
Universidad de Oviedo

Maria Acale Sánchez
Universidad de Cádiz

María Barberá Riera
Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental

María Elena Sánchez Jordán
Universidad de La Laguna

María José Benítez Jiménez
Universidad de Málaga

Maria Luisa de la Flor Fernández
Universidad de Cádiz

Maria-Luisa de la Puente
Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu

Marina Lorente
J&A Garrigues SLP

Mario Montes
Uría Menéndez Abogados SLP

Martín Godino
Sagardoy Abogados

Miguel Ángel Presno Linera
Universidad de Oviedo

Misi Borrás
Garrigues

Montserrat Casamitjana
Consorci Sanitari Barcelona, CatSalut

Nicolás Bárcena Suárez
Universidad de Oviedo

Orlanda Díaz-García
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Pablo López Ferrer
Uría Menéndez Abogados SLP

Paolo Augusto Ercolani
Ercolani Law Firm

Paz M. De la Cuesta Aguado
Universidad de Cantabria

Rafael Ortiz Cervello
Garrigues

Rebeca Benarroch Benarroch
Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta, Consejería de Sanidad y Consumo

Remedios Aranda Rodríguez
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Remedios Menéndez Calvo
Universidad de Alcalá

Ricard Meneu
Fundacion Instituto de Investigacion en Servicios de Salud

Roberto Mazorriaga Las Hayas
Rambla Abogados & Asesores

Rocio Bonet
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Román Gil Alburquerque
Sagardoy Abogados

Rosa María Urbanos Garrido
Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Rosario Vicente Martínez
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Salvador Peiró
Fundación para el Fomento de la Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de la Comunitat Valenciana (FISABIO)

Santiago Fernández Redondo
Hospital Universitario de La Princesa

Sebastián Cantallops Mir
Rambla Abogados & Asesores

Vicente Ortún
Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Vicente Pastor y Aldeguer
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Anonymous Contributors

Sri Lanka

Adheeba Ilyas
Tiruchelvam Associates

Anusha Wickramasinghe

Asanga Wickramasinghe
Teaching Hospital Ragama

Balakrishnan Parththipan

Chandravathany Vasavan
Vasavan Law Office

Chrishantha Abeysena
University of Kelaniya

D. M. Nisanka Madhubhashini Jayarathna
The Open University of Sri Lanka

Dhanushka Dissanayake

Gamini Perera
International Law Chambers

John Wilson
John Wilson Partners, Attorneys-at-Law & Notaries Public

Kaumadi Galagedara

Krishanth Rajasooriyar
Varners

M. A. D. S. J. S. Niriella
University of Colombo

Prathiba Mahanamahewa
University of Colombo

Priyantha Gamage

Pulasthi Hewamanna

R. M. N. U. Rajapaksha
Ministry of Health

S. J. T. Samarasinghe

Savantha De Saram
D. L. & F. De Saram

Thidas Herath
Sudath Perera Associates

U. A. T. Udayanganie
University of Colombo

Upali Jayatilaka

Anonymous Contributors

St. Kitts and Nevis

Angelina Gracy Sookoo-Bobb
Law Offices of Sylvester Anthony (LOSA)

Garth Wilkin
Kelsick, Wilkin & Ferdinand

Henry Los Browne
Browne & Associates

Jennifer Harding-Marlin
JH Marlin Law

Kurlyn D. V. Merchant
Merchant Legal Chambers

Mark Brantley
Daniel Brantley

Michella Adrien
Michella Adrien Law Offices

Midge Morton Williams
Morton Robinson, L.P.

Rayana Dowden
Webster LP

Shemica K. Maloney
Maloney & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

St. Lucia

Henri-Jacques Mangal

Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil
Leandra Verneuil Chambers

Mary Juliana Charles
Mary Juliana Charles Chambers

Natalie Glitzenhirn-Augustin
Glitzenhirn Augustin & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Patricia Marks-Minors
Law Firm of Marks & Marks

Stephen Williams
Williams & Williams

Anonymous Contributors

Sudan

Abdalla Abuzeid
Abdalla A. Abuzeid & Associates

Aida Rofai Ahmed Abdo Elbein
Oz Real Estate Co. Ltd

Alam Eldin Musa Mustafa
University of Kordofan

Athar Atif Almardi
People's Legal Aid Centre

Fatima Babiker Abdalla Fadulalmawla
Dirdiery & Partners Law Firm

Masaad Awad Al-Kareem Ahmad
Sudan Open University

Mazin Hussein
Ahmed Badran Law Firm

Omer Abdelati
Omer Abdelati Law Firm

Osman Wanni
Wanni & Wanni

Anonymous Contributors

Suriname

Anne-Marel Linger
4 Justice Advocaten

Antoon Karg
North West Legal

Elleson Fraenk
Vision Legalis

Eloa Fanita van der Hilst
Law Firm van der Hilst & Partners

Gloria M. de Mees
Anton de Kom University

Iris Nazir
Law Firm Nazir

Maureen Tjon-Jaw-Chong

Merlin A. Gout
Lawfirm M. A. Gout

Mirjam Lieuw Kie Song
Anton de Kom University

Nashreen R. J. Ilahibaks
Law Firm Essed & Sohansingh

Prema Sohansingh
Law Firm Essed & Sohansingh

Radju Brijobhokun
Anton de Kom University

Shaiesta Z. Nabibaks

Anonymous Contributors

Sweden

Bengt Järvholm
Umeå University

Bengt Lundell
Lund University

Birgitta Nyström
Lund University

Bjorn Ohde
Advokataktiebolaget Roslagen

Boel Flodgren
Lund University

Catherine Lions
Umeå University

Christer Thordson
Legal Edge AB

Gunilla Lindmark
Uppsala University

Gustaf Sjöberg
Stockholm University

Jack Ågren

Jessika van der Sluijs
Stockholm University

Johan Sangborn
Swedish Bar Association

Karl-Arne Olsson
Wesslau Söderqvist Advokatbyrå

Karol Nowak
Lund University

Kavot Zillén
Stockholm University

Laura Carlson
Stockholm University

Lena Enqvist
Umeå University

Mats Hellström
Hellström Advokatbyrå

Mauro Zamboni
Stockholm University

Olov B. Marsater
Uppsala University

Petter Holm
Front Advokater

Reinhold Fahlbeck
Lund University

Staffan Michelson
Hellström Advokatbyrå

Sverker Jönsson
Lund University

Thomas G. Lindqvist
LINQ Advokat AB

Anonymous Contributors

Tanzania

Asina-Emmy A. Omari

Askwar Hilonga
Gongali Model / Nanofilter

Deus Msipotwa Kibamba
Tanzania Citizens' Information Bureau

Eliud Kitime

Grace Kamugisha Kazoba
Institute of Finance Management (IFM)

Hakiel O. Mgonja
Donaldson and Wood, Advocates

John Ntine
Trustworthy Attorneys

Kyariga N. Kyariga
IMMMA Advocates

Prisca Chogero
AVC & Partners

Richard Paresso
The Open University of Tanzania

Anonymous Contributors

Thailand

Anant Akanisthaphichat
Thai Law Firm

Chacrit Sitdhiwej
Thammasat University

Chalermwut Sriporm
Thammasat University

Chulapong Yukate
Price Sanond Law Office

Henning Glaser
German Southeast Asian Center of Excellence for Public Policy and Good Governance

Ittichai Prasongprasit
Rajah & Tann Thailand

Jakkrit Kuanpoth
Tilleke and Gibbins International

Jeeranun Klaewkla
Mahidol University

Manaswee Wongsuryrat
Tilleke and Gibbins International

Munin Pongsapan
Thammasat University

Numchai Ekpatanaparnich
The Federation of Thai Industries (FTI)

Pisut Rakwong
Pisut & Partners

Thanach Kanokthet
Naresuan University

Wanchai Yiamsamatha
LS Horizon Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

Togo

Akou Pignandi
CCM Togo

Damien Kégnidé Amoussou
Conseil National de Lutte Contre le SIDA au Togo (CNLS)

Dékawunga Djoliba Kutolbena
Barreau du Togo

Djima Gueme
École Nationale des Auxiliaires Médicaux de Lomé

Fafavi Tossah Adom
SCP Dogbeavou & Associes

Godwin Tēko Seyram Amenyinu

Jil-Benoit Kossi Afangbedji
Barreau du Togo

Kafui A. Koffi Amekoudi

Kayi Abbey Kounte
Cour Suprême du Togo

Koffi Houndebasso
Société Togolaise de l'Evaluation

Kolou Simliwa Dassa
Université de Lomé

Komla Sohou
Regroupement des Associations pour le Développement Appliqué des Ruraux (RADAR)

Kwami Mawuko Aklassou

Yawo Apélété Agbobli
Centre Hospitalier et Universitaire

Anonymous Contributors

Trinidad and Tobago

Ariel Moonsie
Girwar & Deonarine

Asaf Hosein

Betty-Ann Pilgrim
Ministry of Health

Christopher Sieuchand
M.G. Daly & Partners

Dawn Seecharan
Seecharan Scott Chambers

Dion Abdool
Trinidad and Tobago Transparency Institute

Gerard Hutchinson
University of the West Indies

Jerome Maxime

John C. Knechtle
University of the West Indies

Jonathan Walker
M. Hamel-Smith & Co.

Kaveeta Persad
Fitzwilliam, Stone, Furness-Smith & Morgan

Keri A. Kitson

L. Samuel Harrison
Mair and Company

Michelle Ramnarine

Oscar Noel Ocho
University of the West Indies

Shivangelie Ramoutar
M.G. Daly & Partners

Sonnel David-Longe
M.G. Daly & Partners

Tamara A. Jackson

Anonymous Contributors

Tunisia

Amel Aouij Mrad
Université Tunis El-Manar

Amel Gorbej

Amine Hamdi
Hamdi Law Office

Asma Nouira
Université Tunis El-Manar

Aymen Zaghdoudi
Institut de Presse et des Sciences de l'Information

Bessem Ben Salem
BSLF Avocats à la Cour

Dorsaff Toumi Ben Mahmoud
Cabinet Dentaire Privé Dental Office

Elies Beltaifa
Juris International

Elyes Chafter
Chafter Raouadi | Cabinet d'avocats

Emna Yahyaoui

Hedia Kedadi
Cabinet Kedadi International

Inès Zribi
Association Tunisienne de Droit de la Santé

Mahmoud Dawoud Yaqoub
Université Tunis El-Manar

Mohamed Rhouma

Nizar Sdiri
Nizar Sdiri Law Firm

Rachida Jelassi
Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature

Ridha Mezghani
R. Mezghani Law Office

Trimeche Abdelmajid

Anonymous Contributors

Turkey

Cagatay Yilmaz
Yilmaz Law Offices

Demirhan Burak Çelik
Galatasaray University

Ece Göztepe
Bilkent University

Erdem Çağlar
BCA HUKUK

Esenyel Barak Bal
Isbak Istanbul IT and Smart City Technologies Inc.

Eshat Aktaş

Fatih Selim Yurdakul
Yurdakul Law Office

Gökçe Çelen

Hüseyin Küçükali
İstanbul Medipol University

Mahmut Kaçan
MK Law Office

Mert Namlı
Istanbul University

Muhammed Atak
Istanbul University

Murat Volkan Dülger
İstanbul Aydın University

Nuray Gokcek Karaca
Anadolu University

Orhan Yavuz Mavioglu
ADMD Law Office

Osman Hayran
İstanbul Medipol University

Özer Tuncay
Tuncay & Barcin Law Office

R. Murat Önok
Koç University; Turkish Press Council

Savaş Bozbel
Bozbel Law & Consulting

Sule Ozsoy Boyunsuz
Galatasaray University

Ufuk Aydin
İstanbul Aydın University

Anonymous Contributors

Uganda

Adrian Jjuuko
Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum

Albert Turyahabwe
National Water and Sewerage Corporation

Alunga Patrick Alvarez
BKA Advocates

Angella Nampewo
Kampala Associated Advocates

Brigitte Kusiima
Shonubi, Musoke & Co. Advocates

Charles Kallu Kalumiya
Kampala Associated Advocates

Claire Amanya Rukundo
CR Amanya Advocates & Solicitors

Doreen Nawaali
MMAKS Advocates

Emilio Ovuga
Gulu University

Emmanuel Luyirika
African Palliative Care Association

Francis Opedun
Mutalya & Co. Advocates

Geoffrey Kyomuhendo
DAB Advocates

George Omunyokol
GP Advocates

Laura Nyirinkindi
Pro Initiatives Agency

Lilian Keene-Mugerwa
Kleeva Associated Advocates

Lydia Bwiite
Platform for Labour Action

Miria R. K. Matembe

Moses Segawa
S&L Advocates, DLA Piper Africa

Robert Mugisa
Good Shepherd Initiatives Ltd.

Ronald Mutalya
Mutalya & Co. Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Ukraine

Alexander Sklyarenko
Sklyarenko, Sidorenko & Partners Law Firm

Andriy Gorbatenko
Legal Alliance Law Firm

Anna Konovalova

Anna Mikhailyuk
Mikhailyuk, Sorokolat and Partners

Anna Sakalosh

Hryhoriy Trotskyy
Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University

Ievgen Riabokon
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Igor Fedorenko
AVER LEX Law Firm

Iryna Shevchuk
Engarde Attorneys at Law

Ivan Horodyskyy
Dexis Partners

Khrystyna Dumych
Ukrainian Catholic University

Kodynets Anatolii
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Konstantin Aleksandrovich Nadutyy
Ukrainian Medical Expert Association

Kriachkova Lilia Viktorivna
Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy of Health Ministry of Ukraine

Lekhan Valeriia
Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy of Health Ministry of Ukraine

Lesya
Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University

Liudmyla Hrytsenko
Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy of Health Ministry of Ukraine

Lyubomyr Drozdovskyy
Khasin&Drozdovskyy Barristers Association

Makarenkov Oleksii
Zaporizhzhya National University

Oksana Dankevych
Dexis Partners

Oleksandr Denysenko
Ilyashev & Partners

Olga Ievtushenko
Shipping Register of Ukraine

Roman Sabodash
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Roman Vandzhurak

Sergey Chmut

Sergiy Oberkovych
GOLAW

Shkvorets Yaroslav
Ukrainian Legal Group, LLC

Taras Gutor
Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University

Taras Tsymbrivskyy
Ukrainian Helsinki Human Rights Union

Vitalii Gatseliuk
Koretskyy Institute of State and Law, National Academy of Sciences

Vladyslava Pukhalenko

Anonymous Contributors

United Arab Emirates

Abdulhakim Binherz Advocates and Legal Consultants

Ali Kabel Faghiri
Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates

Jayadevan Sreedharan
Gulf Medical University

Michael Krämer
Meyer-Reumann & Partners

Mirza R. Baig
Dubai Pharmacy College for Girls

Muhammad Mohsin
Horizons & Co.

Nasiruddeen Muhammad
University of Dubai

Rami Omer Abd Al-Latif
Hadef & Partners

Sara Elizabeth Dill
Anethum Global

Shakeel A. Mian
Prudential Middle East Legal Consultants

Tarek Nakkach
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Zayed Saeed Saif Alshamsi
Emirates Association for Lawyers and Legal

Zeyad Jaffal
Al Ain University

Anonymous Contributors

United Kingdom

Amy Holcroft
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Christopher May
Lancaster University

David Josse
5 St Andrew's Hill Chambers

Francis FitzGibbon
23ES Barristers' Chambers

Gavin Irwin
2 Hare Court

Jill Stavert
Edinburgh Napier University

Jonathan Stuart Mitchell
Chambers at 25 Bedford Row

Jonathan Van-Tam
University of Nottingham

Maryellen Reynolds
Attorneys Military Mediators Consultants International

Nigel Duncan
City, University of London

Peter McTigue
Nottingham Trent University

R. W. Whitecross
Edinburgh Napier University

Rebecca Niblock
Kingsley Napley LLP

Richard Ashcroft
City, University of London

Stephen Parkinson
Kingsley Napley LLP

Steve Lorber
Lewis Silkin

Thomas Garner
Fladgate LLP

Tonia Novitz
University of Bristol

Tony Ward
Northumbria University

Anonymous Contributors

United States

Abigail Yull
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Alan W. Houseman
National Equal Justice Library

Allen S. Weiner
Stanford University

Allie Yang-Green

Amelia Buttress
Johns Hopkins University

Amy Widman
Rutgers University

André Douglas Pond Cummings
William H. Bowen School of Law, University of Arkansas

Ariana R. Levinson
Brandeis School of Law, University of Louisville

Artie Renee Pobjecky
Pobjecky & Pobjecky, LLP

Catherine Carr
University of Pennsylvania

Claudia Rast
Butzel Long

David K.Y. Tang
K&L Gates

Deborah Perluss

Efrén Rivera-Ramos
University of Puerto Rico

Elise Groulx Diggs
Doughty Street Chambers; Georgetown University Law Center

Emiliano Baidenbaum
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

H. David Kelly, Jr.
Beins, Axelrod, P.C.

Hal Kauffeldt
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Jack Saul
International Trauma Studies Program

Jaime Ramon
Orenstein Law Group

James H. Pietsch
University of Hawaii

Jeffrey Aresty
InternetBar.org Institute, Inc.

John Pollock
National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel

John R. LaBar
LaBAR LAW PLLC

Jonathan Hiatt
International Lawyers Assisting Workers (ILAW) Network

Kepler B. Funk
Funk, Szachacz & Diamond, LLC

Lawrence Cheskin
George Mason University

Matthew Keck
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Michael D. Felsen
Justice at Work

Michele Forzley
Forzley & Associates

Paul Bender
Arizona State University

Peter Edelman
Georgetown University Law Center

Renaldy J. Gutierrez
Gutierrez & Associates

Renée M. Landers
Suffolk University

Ricks Frazier

Robert J. Collins
University of Pennsylvania

Rovshan Sharifov
Sharifov and Associates, PLLC

Roy M. Poses
Brown University

Sara Elizabeth Dill
Anethum Global

Scott R. Bauries
J. David Rosenberg College of Law, University of Kentucky

Stephen A. Saltzburg
George Washington University

Stephen C. Veltri
Pettit College of Law, Ohio Northern University

Steven A. Ramirez
Loyola University Chicago

Tim Mackey
University of California San Diego

Timothy E. Dolan
Policy Foresight

Vernellia Randall
University of Dayton

Anonymous Contributors

Uruguay

Alejandro Santi Estefan
Arrighi-Mantero-Santi Abogados

Alfredo Taullard Sapelli
Hughes & Hughes

Amalia Laborde García
Hospital de Clinicas; Universidad de la Republica

Andrés Fuentes
ASFM Abogados

Camilo Martínez Blanco
Universidad de Montevideo

Escandor El Ters
Administración de los Servicios de Salud del Estado (ASSE)

Facundo Chávez Fernández
Universidad de la República

Federico Samudio
Posadas, Posadas & Vecino; Universidad Católica del Uruguay; Universidad de la Republica

Isabel Abarno
Delpiazzo Abogados

Joaquín Labandera Beñarán
Universidad de la República

Joaquin Reyes Puig
Estudio Juridico Reyes Rius

Julio Lens
LENS

Julio Soffer
Estudio Jurídico Soffer

Lucía Rueda
Universidad de Montevideo

María Durán
Hughes & Hughes

Mariana Fernandez Fasciolo
Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Martín Fridman
Ferrere Abogados

Martín Risso Ferrand
Universidad Católica del Uruguay

Natalia Veloso Giribaldi
Delpiazzo Abogados

Nicolás Pallas
Estudio Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Ricardo Mezzera
Mezzera Abogados

Santiago Pereira Campos
Rueda Abadi Pereira

Santiago Theoduloz
Guyer & Regules

Tomás Guerrero
Estudio Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Anonymous Contributors

Uzbekistan

Aziz J. Akhtamov
Tashkent Business Advocate Law Firm

Aziz Sattarov
Acceptus Law Firm

Iskander Yakubov
Law & Business Consulting Agency AB

Khalmatova Barno Turdikhadjayevna
Tashkent Medical Academy

Madina Tursunova

Murataev Serikbek Alpamisovich
Tashkent State University of Law

Saodat Erkinovna Usmanova
OOO NORMA

Tajiyeva Feruza Sultanovna
Tashkent Medical Academy

Ulugbek Abdullaev
Dentons

Xasanova Viktoriya Aleksandrovna
Victory Yurconsult

Anonymous Contributors

Venezuela, RB

Alberto Enrique Jurado Salazar
ALC Penal

Alejandro Rísquez
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Alessandra M. Corona Henriques
Harvard University

Alexander Marcano Montero
Lawyers Group, Despacho de Abogados

Alí Daniels
Acceso a la Justicia

Andres L. Halvorssen
RdHOO Abogados

Beatriz Borges
Centro de Justicia y Paz (CEPAZ)

Bernardo Pulido Marquez

Carlos D. Atencio Blackman
Atencio Blackman & Asociados

Claudia Madrid Martínez
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Denkys A. Fritz Payares
Fritz & Vence, S.C.

Edgar Eduardo Berroterán

Eugenio Hernández-Bretón
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Faustino Flamarique
LEGA Abogados

Feliciano Reyna-Ganteaume
Acción Solidaria

Félix Ignacio Sánchez Hernández
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Fernando Javier Baralt Briceño
Centro Venezolano de Estudio y Litigio Estratégico en Derechos Fundamentales

Fernando M. Fernandez
Monitor de Derechos Humanos

Franco Puppio Pérez
Cigala, Puppio & Asociados; Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Frank M. Vicent
Iuriswebvzla

Fredy Ernesto Martinez Díaz
Martínez Díaz & Asociados

Gilberto A. Guerrero-Rocca
Florida International University

Gonzalo Himiob Santomé
Foro Penal

Herbert E. Castillo Urbaneja

Jacqueline Richter
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Jaime Martínez Estévez
Rodner, Martínez & Asociados

Jesús Escudero
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Jesús J. Ortega Weffe
VE&A Escritorio Jurídico Integral

Jorge Rosell Senhenn
Instituto de Estudios Jurídicos del Estado Lara Venezuela

José Alberto Hernández

José Rafael Vargas Rincón
Universidad del Zulia

Juan Alberto Berríos Ortigoza
Universidad del Zulia

Juan C. Garantón B.
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Juan Carlos Sainz Borgo
Universidad para la Paz

Juan Domingo Alfonzo Paradisi
Torres Plaz y Araujo

Karla Andreína Sáez Rodríguez
ESCG Abogados, S.C.

Laura Louza
Acceso a la Justicia

Lolymar Hernández Camargo
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Manuel A. Gomez
Florida International University

Manuel Brito Sánchez

Nayibe Chacon Gomez
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Oscar Eduardo Rivero López
Rivero & Asociados

Rafael Molina
Molina & Asociados

Raul Sanchez Urribarri
La Trobe University

Reinaldo Jesús Guilarte Lamuño
Ayala Dillon Fernández Chavero

Ricardo J. Cruz Rincón
Escritorio Chumaceiro González

Roberto Hung Cavalieri
Cultura Jurídica Org

Rubén A. Guía Chirino
Cultura Jurídica Org

Simón Eduardo Jurado-Blanco Sandoval
Jurado-Blanco & Aguirre Abogados

Sulirma Navarro
Navarro, Catan & Asoc.

Tulio Alberto Álvarez
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello; Universidad Central de Venezuela

Vicente González De La Vega

Víctor R. Hernández-Mendible
Universidad Monteávila

Victor Rujano Bautista
Asociación Civil CIMAS

Yeoshua Bograd Lamberti
Travieso Evans Arria Rengel & Paz

Anonymous Contributors

Vietnam

Do Thi Hang
Susan Mediation Centre

Duy Duc Vo
Santa Law International Law LLP

Dzung Vu

Kent Wong
VCI Legal

Lam Nguyen Ngoc
The Lam Law LLC

Le Tien Dat
Apolat Legal Law Firm

Le Van Thanh
SureLaw Law Firm

Ngo Huu Nhi
Thien An Law Office

Nguyen Huu Phuoc
Phuoc & Partners Law Co., Ltd.

Nguyen Nam Hung
VPS Securities JSC

Nguyen Nhan Tuan

Nguyen Truong Tho
Dai Nghia Law Office; Kien Giang University

Pham Thi Thoa
Apolat Legal Law Firm

Pham Van Phat
An Phat Pham Law Firm

Tra Thu Thao
SureLaw Law Firm

Trinh Le Nguyen
PanNature

Anonymous Contributors

Zambia

Anne Phiri
University of Zambia

Annie K. Chewe
University of Zambia

Ellah T. M. Siang'andu
University of Zambia

Ernest Muketoi Beele
University of Zambia

Fares Florence Phiri
Nodi Trust School

Fredrick Mudenda
University of Zambia

Jackie Jhala
Corpus Legal Practitioners

John Chibalabala
John Chibalabala Legal Practitioners

Joseph Jalasi
Eric Silwamba Jalasi and Linyama

Mehluli Batakathi Malisa
Muyatwa Legal Practitioners

Namwene Kamoto Phiri

Sebastian Chinkoyo
Ndola Teaching Hospital

Tiziana Marietta
Howard & Marietta Legal Practitioners

Vincent Kaunda Mwewa
V. K. Mwewa and Company

Yusuf Ahmed
Levy Mwanawasa Medical University

Anonymous Contributors

Zimbabwe

Bellinda Chinowawa

Brighton Mahuni
Scanlen and Holderness

Caroline Kudzai Tandi
Mangwiro Tandi Law

Casper Pound
Manicaland Network of Most at Risk Populations

Chiratidzo Ellen Ndhlovu
University of Zimbabwe

David Ochieng

David Tinashe Hofisi
University of Wisconsin-Madison

Edwin Isaac Manikai
Dube, Manikai & Hwacha Legal Practitioners

Fraser Edkins
Coghlan Welsh and Guest

Jeremiah Bamu
Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni

Maxwell C. C. Musingafi
Zimbabwe Open University

Memory Mafo
Scanlen and Holderness

Mordecai Mahlangu
Gill Godlonton & Gerrans

Moses Nkomo
DNM Attorneys

Obey Shava
Shava Law Chambers, Rights and Business Centre

Reveal E. Nyamayemombe
T. K. Takaindisa Law Chambers

Simon Sadomba
Gill Godlonton & Gerrans

Simplicio Bhebhe
Kantor and Immerman

Tafara Goro
Messrs Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Tawanda Tandi
Kantor and Immerman

Terence Hussein
Hussein Ranchhod and Co.

Valantine Mutatu
Midlands State University

Anonymous Contributors

Acknowledgements

The World Justice Project's Honorary Chairs, Directors, Officers, Staff, Financial Supporters, and Sponsoring Organizations are listed in the last section of this report. Polling companies, research organizations, and contributing experts are listed in the Methodology section of this report.

Individual Advisors

Mark David Agrast, American Society of International Law; Maurits Barendrecht, Tilburg University; Tim Besley, London School of Economics; Juan Carlos Botero, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana; Paul Brest, Stanford University; Jose Caballero, IMD Business School; Giulio Caperna, EU-JRC; Thomas Carothers, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; Eduardo Cifuentes, Universidad de los Andes; Christine M. Cole, Crime & Justice Institute; Mariano-Florentino Cuellar, Supreme Court of California; Nicolas Dassen, Inter-American Development Bank; Larry Diamond, Stanford University; Sandra Elena, Ministry of Justice and Human Rights (Argentina); Brad Epperly, University of British Columbia; Julio Faundez, Warwick University; Hazel Feigenblatt, University of Maryland; Todd Foglesong, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto; Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago; Joseph Foti, Open Government Partnership; James Goldston, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Jorge Gonzalez, Universidad Javeriana; Jon Gould, Arizona State University; Martin Gramatikov, HiiL; Brendan Halloran, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Linn Hammergren; Tim Hanstad, The Chandler Foundation; Wassim Harb, Arab Center for the Development of Rule of Law and Integrity; Nathaniel Heller; Susan Hirsch, George Mason University; Ronald Janse, University of Amsterdam Law School; Erik G. Jensen, Stanford University; Haroon Khadim, PAE; Rachel Kleinfeld, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; Jack Knight, Duke University; Harold H. Koh, Yale University; Matija Kovacic, EU-JRC; Aart Kraay, The World Bank; Margaret Levi, Stanford University; Iris Litt, Stanford University; Clare Lockhart, The Institute for State Effectiveness; Zsuzsanna Lonti, OECD; Diego Lopez, Universidad de los Andes; William T. Loris, Loyola University; Lauren E. Loveland, National Democratic Institute (NDI); Paul Maassen, Open Government Partnership; Beatriz Magaloni, Stanford University; Jenny S. Martinez, Stanford University; Toby McIntosh, FreedomInfo.org; Toby Mendel, Centre for Law and Democracy; Nicholas Menzies, The World Bank; Ghada Moussa, Cairo University; Sam Muller, HiiL; Robert L. Nelson, American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University; Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina; Randal Peerenboom, La Trobe University and Oxford University; Angela Pinzon, Universidad del Rosario; Pascoe Pleasence, University College London; Shannon Portillo, George Mason University; Michael H. Posner, New York University; Roy L. Prosterman, University of Washington; Anita Ramasastry, University of Washington; Audrey Sacks, The World Bank; Lutforahman Saeed, Kabul University; Michaela Saisana, EU-JRC; Andrea Saltelli, EU-JRC; Moises Sanchez, Alianza Regional por la Libertad de Expresión; Andrei Shleifer, Harvard University; Jorge Luis Silva, Secretaria de Economia; Christopher Stone, Oxford University; Stefan Voigt, University of Hamburg; Barry Weingast, Stanford University; Michael Woolcock, The World Bank.

Institutional Contributors and Advisors

Altus Global Alliance; APCO Worldwide; Fleishman-Hillard; The Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University; The Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law, Stanford University; The German Bar Association in Brussels; Governance Data Alliance; Google Inc.; The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (HiiL); Investigative Reporting Program, UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism; The Legal Department of Hewlett Packard Enterprise; The Legal Department of Microsoft Corporation; The Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies, Yale University; Rule of Law Collaborative, University of South Carolina; The University of Chicago Law School; Vera Institute of Justice; The Wright Center for the Study of Computation and Just Communities.

About the World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) is an independent, multidisciplinary organization working to create knowledge, build awareness, and stimulate action to advance the rule of law worldwide. Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It underpins development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights, and it is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace.

Our Approach

Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Based on this, the WJP builds and supports a global, multi-disciplinary movement for the rule of law by:

1. Collecting, organizing, and analyzing original, independent rule of law data, including the *WJP Rule of Law Index*;

2. Supporting research, scholarship, and teaching about the importance of rule of law, its relationship to development, and effective strategies to strengthen it; and

3. Connecting and building an engaged global network of policy-makers and advocates to advance the rule of law through strategic partnerships, convenings, coordinated advocacy, and support for locally-led initiatives, including through the Resource Hub, a directory of leading organizations advancing the rule of law worldwide; the World Justice Challenge, a competition to identify, recognize, and promote good practices and successful solutions for strengthening the rule of law worldwide; and the World Justice Forum.

Honorary Chairs

The World Justice Project has the support of outstanding leaders representing a range of disciplines around the world. The Honorary Chairs of the World Justice Project are:

Madeleine Albright; Giuliano Amato; Robert Badinter; James A. Baker III; Cherie Blair; Stephen G. Breyer; Sharan Burrow; David Byrne; Jimmy Carter; Maria Livanos Cattaui; Hans Corell; Hilario G. Davide, Jr.; Hernando de Soto; Adama Dieng; Richard J. Goldstone; Kunio Hamada; Lee H. Hamilton; Mohamed Ibrahim; Tassaduq Hussain Jillani; Anthony M. Kennedy; Beverley McLachlin; George J. Mitchell; Sandra Day O'Connor; Ana Palacio; Colin L. Powell; Roy L. Prosterman; Richard W. Riley; Mary Robinson; Desmond Tutu; Antonio Vitorino; Harold Woolf; and Andrew Young.

Board of Directors

Shaikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; William C. Hubbard; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; and James R. Silkenat.

Directors Emeriti

Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai
Emil Constantinescu
Petar Stoyanov

Officers and Staff

Officers: William C. Hubbard, Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board; William H. Neukom, Co-Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Judy Perry Martinez, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer; and Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary.

Staff, Consultants, and Interns: Elizabeth Andersen, Executive Director; Paul Fisher, Chief Development Officer; Ted Piccone, Chief Engagement Officer; Alejandro Ponce, Chief Research Officer; Richard Schorr, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer; Jacob Alabab-Moser; Sally Aldrich; Courtney Babcock; Lindsey Bock; Abigail Broussard; Erin Campbell; Ana Cárdenas; Estefany Caudillo; Lilian Chapa Koloffon; Maria Chavarria; Avery Comar; Miguel Contreras; James Davis; Killian Dorier; Alicia Evangelides; Vianney Fernández; Marco Ivan Figueroa; Emma Frerichs; Joshua Fuller; Nora Futtner; Amir Galván; Eréndira González Portillo; Alejandro González Arreola; Lucía Estefanía González Medel; Kirssy González; Amy Gryskiewicz; Issa Guerra; Fernando Gutierrez O.; Joseph Haley; Matthew Harman, Shakhlo Hasanova; Irene Heras; Roberto Hernández; Grace Hulseman; Natalia Jardon; Verónica Jaso; Osvaldo Jiménez; Tim Kessler; Jaya Khetarpal; Priyanka Khosla; Lauren Kitz; Sarah Chamness Long; Debby Manley; Gabriela Marquez; Joel Martinez; Olimpia Martínez; Karelle Matchum; Ignacio Miranda; María José Montiel; Ana María Montoya; Jason Murray; Fernando Omedé; Sahar Omer; María Fernanda Ortega; Tanya Primiani; Marien Rivera; Mario Rodríguez; Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca; Juan Salgado; Alicia Segovia; Rebecca Silvas; Leslie Solís Saravia; Grace Stanley; Victoria Thomaides; Marcelo Torres; Anna-Theresa Unger; Paulina Vega; Sergio Villanueva; Gerard Vinluan; and Emily Youatt.

World Justice Project Funders

The World Justice Project thanks the following major 2020-2021 donors whose support makes our work possible:

Institutional Donors 2020-2021

American Council of Learned Societies

American Institute of Research

The Asia Foundation

Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

The Chandler Foundation

The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation

The European Commission

Hague Municipality

The Hewlett Foundation

Inter-American Development Bank

Knowledge Management Fund

Microsoft Corporation

Mo Ibrahim Foundation

Neukom Family Foundation

The Open Society Foundation

The Open Society Justice Initiative

UK Foreign Commonwealth and Development Office

United States Agency for International Development

United States Department of State

Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission

WJP League of Law Firms 2020-2021

Fenwick & West

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

Perkins Coie

White & Case

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Foundation

Zuber Lawler

A current list of funders can be found at worldjusticeproject.org.

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small.

More from the World Justice Project



WJP Rule of Law Index 2020



WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 Insights
Highlights and data trends from the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*

WJP Mexico States Rule of Law Index 2020-2021
Perceptions and experiences in 32 states



World Justice Challenge 2021 Outcome Report



Grasping the Justice Gap
2021

Environmental Governance Indicators for Latin America & the Caribbean
2020

For more information or to read these reports, visit
worldjusticeproject.org/our-work

World Justice Project

WASHINGTON, DC SEATTLE SINGAPORE MEXICO CITY

worldjusticeproject.org facebook.com/thewjp twitter.com/theWJP