Banks

Non-Bank Financial Institutions

Mexico

Instituto para la Proteccion al Ahorro Bancario (IPAB)

Update

Key Rating Drivers

Sovereign's Adequate Ability to Support: IPAB's IDRs and National Ratings are driven by its GSR, which is equalized with the Long-Term IDR of Mexico (BBB-/Stable). This considers Mexico's high propensity to support IPAB but adequate ability to do so, as indicated by Mexico's 'BBB-' sovereign rating.

High Propensity to Support: The propensity to support IPAB is reflected in its important policy role, 100% state ownership and laws that guarantee IPAB's operations. IPAB is the sole deposit insurer in the country and the government's tool to execute the resolution of banks with financial problems. IPAB helps maintain the country's financial system stability.

Effective Bank Resolutions: The execution of bank resolutions over the last two years has been effective. As of 1Q22, IPAB paid 99.2% and 97.1% of the guaranteed obligations of Banco Ahorro Famsa and Accendo Banco, respectively. In 2022, IPAB will use any guaranteed obligation payment recoveries from BAF and Accendo to support the Banking Protection Fund.

Laws Guarantee IPAB's Obligations: If IPAB is unable to fulfil its financial obligations, it is stipulated in the Bank Saving Protection Law that the Mexican Congress will establish the criteria and measures for the payment of guaranteed obligations and its financing, if required. That law also stipulates that the Congress will provide budgetary resources to the institute to face its financial obligations if required. Mexico's Revenues Law also specifies that the entity's financial agent, Mexico's Central Bank, could transitorily debit the treasury's accounts to meet the payment of IPAB's debt issuances.

Financial Performance Reflects Public Ownership: Historically, IPAB's profitability has been negative due to the high influence of its policy role. IPAB's net income is affected by the interest expenses from the BPAs. The federal transfers, installments charged to banks and interest income are not sufficient to cover operational and interest expenses. IPAB's funding structure has been modestly sensitive to investor confidence. In Fitch's view, IPAB has demonstrated a high ability to handle refinancing risk. Its ample expertise in debt markets and high linkage to Mexico's government have supported its recurrent presence in the local debt markets.

Rating Sensitivities

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- IPAB's GSR and IDRs could be upgraded if the sovereign rating is upgraded and Fitch continues to view IPAB as having a significant policy role for the federal government.
- National ratings cannot be upgraded because they are at the highest level in the national rating scale.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- IPAB's GSR and IDRs could be downgraded if the sovereign rating is downgraded.
- GSR, IDRs and national ratings could be downgraded if Fitch perceives a decrease in IPAB's policy role to the federal government, through modifications of its legal framework or if the government reduces transfers of budgetary resources to IPAB in respect to requested amounts; however, this scenario is unlikely in the short and medium term.

Ratings

Foreign Currency
Long-Term IDR BBBShort-Term IDR F3

Local Currency

Long-Term IDR BBB-Short-Term IDR F3

Government Support bbb-

National

National Long-Term Rating AAA(mex)
National Short-Term Rating F1+(mex)

Sovereign Risk

Long-Term Foreign-Currency
IDR BBBLong-Term Local-Currency IDR BBBCountry Ceiling BBB+

Outlooks

Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR Stable
Long-Term Local-Currency IDR Stable
National Long-Term Rating Sovereign Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR Stable
Sovereign Long-Term Local-Currency IDR Stable

Applicable Criteria

National Scale Rating Criteria (December 2020)

Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria (January 2022)

Related Research

Mexican NBFIs Face Elevated Refi Risk After Recent Issuer Defaults (March 2022)

Analysts

Alejandro Tapia +52 81 4161 7056 alejandro.tapia@fitchratings.com

Bertha Patricia Cantu Leal +52 81 4161 7028 berthapatricia.cantuleal@fitchratings.com

Update | June 14, 2022 fitchratings.com



Banks
Non-Bank Financial Institutions
Mexico

Other Debt and Issuer Ratings

Rating Level	Rating
Senior Unsecured: National Long Term	AAA(mex)
Source: Fitch Ratings.	

• The senior local debt rating is equalized with IPAB's 'AAA(mex)' rating, as the likelihood of a default of the notes is the same as that of the company.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade

• Downgrades in IPAB's local debt issuance ratings would mirror similar action on the issuer's national scale ratings.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade

• There is no upside potential for IPAB's local debt issuance ratings as they are at the highest end of the local scale.



Banks

Non-Bank Financial Institutions Mexico

Non-Bank FI Ratings Navigator

Environmental, Social and Governance Considerations

FitchRatings	Instituto para la Proteccion al Ahorro Bancario (IPAB)					s Navigator g Companies
Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation					0	verall ESG Scale
Instituto para la Proteccion al Ahorro Bancario (IPA		key driver	0	issues	5	
Governance is minimally relevant t	to the rating and is not currently a driver.	driver	0	issues	4	
		potential driver	4	issues	3	
		not a rating	4	issues	2	
		driver	6	issues	1	
Environmental (E)						

General Issues	E Score	Sector-Specific Issues	Reference
GHG Emissions & Air Quality		Regulatory risks, emissions fines or compliance costs related to owned equipment, which could impact asset demand, profitability, etc.	Operating Environment
Energy Management	1	Investments in or ownership of assets with below-average energy/fuel efficiency which could impact future valuation of these assets	Risk Appetite
Water & Wastewater Management	1	n.a.	n.a.
Waste & Hazardous Materials Management; Ecological Impacts	1	n.a.	n.a.
Exposure to Environmental Impacts	2	Impact of extreme weather events on assets and/or operations and corresponding risk appetite & management; catastrophe risk; credit concentrations	Company Profile; Asset Quality

E Scale				
	5			
	4			
	3			
	2			
	1			

4

2

How to Read This Page ESG scores range from 1 to 5 based on a 15-level color gradation. Red (5) is most relevant and green (1) is least relevant.

The Environmental (E), Social (S) and Governance (G) tables break out the individual components of the scale. The right-hand box shows the aggregate E, S, or G score. General Issues are relevant across all markets with Sector-Specific Issues unique to a particular industry group. Scores are assigned to each sector-specific issues to the issuing entity's overall credit rating. The Reference box highlights the factor(s) within which the corresponding ESG issues are captured in Fitch's credit analysis.

The Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation table shows the overall ESG score. This score signifies the credit relevance of combined E, S and G issues to the entity's credit rating. The three columns to the left of the overall ESG score summarize the issuing entity's sub-component ESG scores. The box on the far left identifies some of the main ESG issues that are drivers or potential drivers of the issuing entity's credit rating (corresponding with scores of 3, 4 or 5) and provides a brief explanation for the score.

Classification of ESG issues has been developed from Fitch's sector ratings criteria. The General Issues and Sector-Specific Issues draw on the classification standards published by the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investing (PRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB).

Secto	Sector references in the scale definitions below refer to Sector as				
	CREDIT-RELEVANT ESG SCALE				
How	How relevant are E, S and G issues to the overall credit rating?				
5	Highly relevant, a key rating driver that has a significant impact on the rating on an individual basis. Equivalent to "higher" relative importance within Navigator.				
4	Relevant to rating, not a key rating driver but has an impact on the rating in combination with other factors. Equivalent to "moderate" relative importance within Navigator.				
3	Minimally relevant to rating, either very low impact or actively managed in a way that results in no impact on the entity rating. Equivalent to "lower" relative importance within Navigator.				
2	Irrelevant to the entity rating but relevant to the sector.				
1	Irrelevant to the entity rating and irrelevant to the sector.				

GHG Emissions & Air Quality	1	Regulatory risks, emissions fines or compliance costs related to owned equipment, which could impact asset demand, profitability, etc.	Operating Environment
Energy Management	1	Investments in or ownership of assets with below-average energy/fuel efficiency which could impact future valuation of these assets	Risk Appetite
Water & Wastewater Management	1	n.a.	n.a.
Waste & Hazardous Materials Management; Ecological Impacts	1	n.a.	n.a.
Exposure to Environmental Impacts	2	Impact of extreme weather events on assets and/or operations and corresponding risk appetite & management; catastrophe risk; credit concentrations	Company Profile; Asset Quality
Social (S)			

S Score	Sector-Specific Issues	Reference
1	n.a.	n.a.
	Fair lending practices; pricing transparency; repossession/foreclosure/collection practices; consumer data protection; legal/regulatory fines stemming from any of the above	Asset Quality
		Company Profile; Management & Strategy; Earnings & Profitability; Capitalization & Leverage; Funding, Liquidity & Coverage
1	n.a.	n.a.
		Company Profile; Earnings & Profitability
	1 2 2 1	1 n.a. Fair lending practices, pricing transparency, repossession/foreclosure/collection practices, consumer data protection; legal/regulatory fines stemming from any of the above 2 Impact of labor negotiations, including board/employee compensation and composition 1 n.a.

Governance (G)			
General Issues	G Score	Sector-Specific Issues	Reference
Management Strategy	3	Operational implementation of strategy	Management & Strategy
Governance Structure	3	Board independence and effectiveness; ownership concentration; protection of creditor/stakeholder rights; legal /compliance risks; business continuity; key person risk; related party transactions	Management & Strategy
Group Structure		Organizational structure; appropriateness relative to business model; opacity; intra-group dynamics; ownership	Company Profile
Financial Transparency	3	Quality and timing of financial reporting and auditing processes	Management & Strategy

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.



Banks
Non-Bank Financial Institutions
Mexico

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read and disclaimers following this https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. addition. following the https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.